

HW #8 Solutions

Sec. 6.1 #1, 10-13

#1: Suppose $f(z) = f(x+iy) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (x+iy)^n$

Write f in its real and imaginary components:

$$u(x,y) + i v(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x+iy)^n$$

Differentiating with respect to x gives

$$\textcircled{1} \quad u_x + i v_x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot a_n (x+iy)^{n-1}$$

Differentiating with respect to y gives

$$\textcircled{2} \quad u_y + i v_y = i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot a_n (x+iy)^{n-1}$$

Multiply eqn $\textcircled{2}$ by $-i$ and equate the result with eqn $\textcircled{1}$:

$$-i u_y + v_y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot a_n (x+iy)^{n-1} = u_x + i v_x$$

Now compare real and imaginary parts to obtain the Cauchy-Riemann eqns:

$$u_x = v_y, \quad u_y = -v_x$$

Differentiating again we obtain Laplace's Equ. for both u and v . For example,

$$v_{yy} = \cancel{u}(u_x)_y = (u_y)_x = -v_{xx}, \quad \text{so}$$

$$v_{xx} + v_{yy} = 0. \quad \text{Likewise, } u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence } \Delta f = \Delta u + i \Delta v = 0 + 0$$

#10: Suppose we have two solutions u and v to the given Dirichlet problem, i.e. $\Delta u = f = \Delta v$ in D and $u = g = v$ on ∂D . ~~Answer~~ Let $w = u - v$. Then $\Delta w = \Delta u - \Delta v = f - f = 0$ in D , which is Laplace's eqn., and $w = g - g = 0$ on ∂D . Multiplying by w , we have $w \Delta w = 0$ on D . The plan is to integrate this equation to show that $w = 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (w \nabla w) &= \nabla w \cdot \nabla w + w (\nabla \cdot \nabla w) \\ &= (w_x^2 + w_y^2 + w_z^2) + w \Delta w, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } w \Delta w = \nabla \cdot (w \nabla w) - (w_x^2 + w_y^2 + w_z^2).$$

Now we integrate:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \iiint_D 0 \, dx \, dy \, dz = \iiint_D w \Delta w \, dx \, dy \, dz \\ &= \iiint_D [\nabla \cdot (w \nabla w) - (w_x^2 + w_y^2 + w_z^2)] \, dx \, dy \, dz \end{aligned}$$

We can integrate the first term using the Divergence Theorem: $\iiint_D \nabla \cdot (w \nabla w) \, dx \, dy \, dz = \iint_{\partial D} (w \nabla w) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS$. But $w = 0$ on ∂D ,

$$\text{So } \iiint_D \nabla \cdot (w \nabla w) dx dy dz$$

$$= \iint_{\partial D} 0 dS = 0. \text{ So we}$$

are left with $\iiint_D [w_x^2 + w_y^2 + w_z^2] dx dy dz = 0$

Since each of w_x^2 , w_y^2 , + w_z^2 is nonnegative and continuous, this implies that

$$w_x = w_y = w_z = 0. \text{ Hence } w = \text{constant}.$$

But we know $w = 0$ on ∂D , so $w = 0$

$$\Rightarrow u - v = 0, \text{ i.e. } u = v. \text{ Therefore}$$

the solution to the Dirichlet problem is unique.

#11: Suppose u satisfies $\Delta u = f$ in D a subset

of \mathbb{R}^3 , and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$ on ∂D . We must

show that $\iiint_D f dx dy dz = \iint_{\partial D} g dS$. Recall

that the directional derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ of u in

the direction of the normal vector n is

given by the dot product of the gradient of

u with n : $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \nabla u \cdot n$. We have

$$\iiint_D f dx dy dz = \iiint_D \Delta u dx dy dz$$

$$= \iiint_D \nabla \cdot \nabla u dx dy dz = \iint_{\partial D} \nabla u \cdot n dS$$

$$\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = \iint_{\partial D} g dS,$$

where we have used the Divergence Thm. The two dimensional case is almost identical except we use Green's Thm instead of the Divergence Thm (see A.3 in Appendix). We have

$$\Delta u = f(x, y) \text{ in } D \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \text{ on } \partial D$$

where D is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . We must

$$\text{show that } \iint_D f dx dy = \int_C g ds \text{ where}$$

C is the curve that travels around the boundary of D and the right hand side is a path integral. We have

$$\iint_D f dx dy = \iint_D \Delta u dx dy = \iint_D \nabla \cdot \nabla u dx dy$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Green's Thm}}{=} \int_C \nabla u \cdot n ds = \int_C \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} ds = \int_C g ds.$$

For the one-dimensional case D is just an interval (a, b) and ∂D is just the two points a and b . So specifying boundary conditions means we specify $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ at a and b . At the point b , the outward normal n just points in the positive direction, so $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(b) = u'(b)$. At a , n points in

the negative x direction, so

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(a) = -u'(a). \quad \text{So the}$$

problem is $u_{xx} = f(x)$ on (a, b) and

$-u'(a) = \gamma_1$, $u'(b) = \gamma_2$, where γ_1, γ_2 are arbitrary constants, and we must

show that $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &= \int_a^b u_{xx}(x) dx = u_x \Big|_a^b \\ &= u'(b) - u'(a) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2. \end{aligned}$$

#12: Let $u(x, y) = \frac{1 - (x^2 + y^2)}{(1-x)^2 + y^2}$, $D = \{x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$

Note that u is continuous except where $(1-x)^2 + y^2 = 0$, i.e. except at the point

$(1, 0)$. If (x, y) is a boundary point then

$x^2 + y^2 = 1$; for $(x, y) \neq (1, 0)$ we have

$u(x, y) = 0$. So $u = 0$ on the boundary except at $(1, 0)$. At an interior point,

$x^2 + y^2 < 1$ so that $u(x, y) > 0$.

So u does not take its max on the boundary, and the maximum principle is not valid. On the other hand if we allow u to take on the value ∞ , we can define

$u(1,0) = \infty$. In this sense the max principle does hold since u is finite on D but infinite at $(1,0)$.

#13: Let $u(\vec{x})$ be subharmonic, i.e. $\Delta u \geq 0$ on D . We will prove that u takes its maximum on ∂D . One way is just to follow the proof for harmonic functions given on page 155. In fact, we must only make one change: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$v(\vec{x}) = u(\vec{x}) + \varepsilon |\vec{x}|^2$. Then (in two dimensions)
 $\Delta v = \Delta u + \varepsilon \Delta(x^2 + y^2) \geq 0 + 4\varepsilon > 0$ in D .

The rest of the proof is identical.

Note that the minimum principle does not hold for subharmonic functions. For example let $u(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$ on $\bar{D} = \{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$.

Then $\Delta u = 2 + 2 > 0$ so u is subharmonic, but u takes its minimum at $(0,0)$: $u(0,0) = 0$, whereas $u = 1$ on the boundary.