

Problem Set #1

1.5.2 For each of the following subsets, state whether it is open or closed (or both or neither) and say why.

(a) (x,y) -plane in \mathbb{R}^3

The (x,y) -plane is the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^3 with z coordinate equal to zero. Bear in mind that in \mathbb{R}^3 , an open ball is 3-dimensional! Now pick a point in the (x,y) -plane, say the origin $(0,0,0)$. Then any open ball, say of radius $\epsilon > 0$, $B_\epsilon((0,0,0))$ must contain points both above and below the (x,y) -plane. In particular, $(0,0,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \in B_\epsilon((0,0,0))$, but $(0,0,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \notin (x,y)$ -plane. Thus $B_\epsilon((0,0,0))$ is not contained in the (x,y) -plane for any $\epsilon > 0$. Thus the (x,y) -plane is not open.

To decide whether the (x,y) -plane is closed, we will instead ask whether the complement is open. Let (x_0, y_0, z_0) be a point in the complement, so that $z_0 \neq 0$. Then any point in $B_{|z_0|/2}((x_0, y_0, z_0))$ has z -component nonzero (specifically has z -component with absolute value between $|z_0|/2$ and $3|z_0|/2$). Thus the complement is open, so the (x,y) -plane is closed in \mathbb{R}^3 .

I will not go through this level of detail for the remaining parts

(b) $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$

Recall that we identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 via the identification

$$x+iy \leftrightarrow (x,y)$$

so this really becomes a question of $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, where \mathbb{R} means the x -axis

Just as in part (a), any open ball around a point in the x -axis must contain points above and below, so $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is not open. Also similar to part (a), the complement is open since, for (x_0, y_0) in the complement, $B_{|y_0|/2}((x_0, y_0))$ is entirely contained in the complement, so $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is closed.

(c) The line $x=5$ in the (x,y) -plane.

This is almost the same as part (b), still a line in \mathbb{R}^2 . Note $B_\epsilon((5,0)) \not\subset \{ \text{the line } x=5 \}$ for any ϵ , so the line is not open. If we look at the complement, consider the point (x_0, y_0) with $x_0 \neq 5$. Then let $\epsilon = |x_0 - 5|/2$, so that $B_\epsilon((x_0, y_0))$ contains no points on the line $x=5$. Hence the complement is open, so the line $x=5$ is closed in the (x,y) -plane.

(1.5.2 continued)

(d) $(0,1) \subset \mathbb{C}$

Here we again identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 , and $(0,1)$ corresponds to an interval. They don't specify, but I'll assume that this is the interval $(0,1)$ on the x-axis



This subset is not open, for if we pick the point $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, then $B_\epsilon((\frac{1}{2}, 0)) \not\subset (0,1)$ for any ϵ since $B_\epsilon((\frac{1}{2}, 0))$ contains complex numbers above and below the real axis.

However, $(0,1)$ is not closed either, since the point $(0,0)$ is in the complement, but $B_\epsilon((0,0))$ contains points of the interval $(0,1)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence the complement is not open, so $(0,1)$ is not closed.

I suppose it is possible that we could read $(0,1)$ as the point corresponding to $i \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case, $(0,1)$ is closed but not open.

(e) $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

Any open ball is in \mathbb{R}^n , so \mathbb{R}^n is open. The complement is the empty set (which believe it or not is considered open). Thus \mathbb{R}^n is both open and closed in \mathbb{R}^n itself.

(f) The unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3

Here the unit sphere refers only to the shell of points in \mathbb{R}^3 which lie exactly distance 1 from the origin. For basically the same reasons as in part (d), this subset is closed but not open.

1.5.3 Prove the following statements for open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

(a) Any union of open sets is open

Let I be any index set. By this we mean that we will use the elements of I to label our collection of open sets (think of $I = \mathbb{N}$ if you like). Then let U_i be an open set for each $i \in I$. Our goal is to show that

union: $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ is open. I will denote $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ by V

Let $x \in V$, so $x \in U_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in I$. Then there is an open ball of some radius around x in U_{i_0} since U_{i_0} is open. Call this open ball W . Then $W \subseteq V$ since $W \subseteq U_{i_0}$ and $U_{i_0} \subseteq V$. Hence there is an open neighborhood of x in V . Since $x \in V$ was arbitrary, this shows that V is open, so the proof is complete.

(b) A finite intersection of open sets is open

Let U_1, \dots, U_m be a finite collection of open sets in \mathbb{R}^n . We want to show

$$W = \bigcap_{i=1}^m U_i \text{ is open}$$

Let $x \in W$, so that $x \in U_i$ for each i . Since each U_i is open there is an open ball of some radius ϵ_i about x in U_i . Denote these balls by $B_{\epsilon_i}(x)$. Now let $\epsilon = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \epsilon_i$, the smallest of these radii. Then $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq B_{\epsilon_i}(x)$ for each i , so $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq U_i$ for each i , so $B_\epsilon(x)$ is an open ball around x in the intersection of the U_i . Hence (since x was any point) W is open.

(c) An infinite intersection of open sets is not necessarily open.

Let's work in just one-dimensional \mathbb{R} , so that our open balls are just intervals. Define U_m to be the interval $(-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m})$ for each natural number. Now let's consider the intersection

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m})$$

These open intervals get smaller as m gets large. The $U_1 = (-1, 1)$, $U_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, etc. The only point in all of them (and thus in the intersection) is the origin.

So
$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}) = \{0\}$$

But points are not open (I think we proved this elsewhere, if not it's easy to check), so the proof is complete.

1.5.4(a) Show that the interior of A is the biggest open set contained in A

Recall that the interior of A is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subset A$

Let $\overset{\circ}{A}$ be the interior of A , and let $x \in \overset{\circ}{A}$, so that there is some $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subset A$. This is true for each point $x \in \overset{\circ}{A}$, so now take the union of all of these open balls as x varies. This union is $\overset{\circ}{A}$. By problem 1.5.3(a), any union of open sets is open. Thus $\overset{\circ}{A}$ is an open subset of A .

Now we'll show that $\overset{\circ}{A}$ is the largest open subset of A . Suppose that there is a larger open set contained in A , which we'll call D , so that

$$\overset{\circ}{A} \subset D \subset A$$

Then there must be some point $y \in D \setminus \overset{\circ}{A}$. Then y is contained in an open set in A , so by the definition, there is some $r > 0$ such that $B_r(y) \subset D \subset A$. This contradicts the assumption that $y \notin \overset{\circ}{A}$, since $\overset{\circ}{A}$ is the collection of all points with open balls around them in A . Hence no such D can exist, so the proof is complete.

(b) Show that the closure of A is the smallest closed set containing A .

The closure of A , denoted \bar{A} is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\forall r > 0, B_r(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Said another way, \bar{A} is the set of all x such that x_0 is not contained in the interior of the complement of A (for if x was in $(A^c)^\circ$, then there would be some $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subset A^c$, so that $B_r(x) \cap A = \emptyset$).

Now let's finish the proof using complements. We just explained that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{A} &= \{ \text{all points not in } (A^c)^\circ \} \\ &= ((A^c)^\circ)^c\end{aligned}$$

that is, the complement of the interior of the complement of A . Recall that $(A^c)^\circ$ is open by part (a), so \bar{A} is closed. Furthermore, if we had a smaller closed set containing A , call it D so $A \subset D \subset \bar{A}$, then by taking complements,

$$A^c \supset D^c \supset (\bar{A})^c = (A^c)^\circ$$

Then D^c is open and it contains $(A^c)^\circ$, so $D^c = (A^c)^\circ$. Thus $D = \bar{A}$, so \bar{A} is indeed the smallest closed set containing A .

(1.5.4 continued)

(c) Show that the closure of a set A is A plus its boundary: $\bar{A} = A \cup \partial A$

Recall that ∂A is defined to be those points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that every neighborhood of x intersects both A and the complement. That is, $B_r(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $B_r(x) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$.

First let's show that $A \cup \partial A$ is closed by showing that $(A \cup \partial A)^c$. Let $x \in (A \cup \partial A)^c$, so that $x \notin A$ and $x \notin \partial A$. Thus (by the definition of boundary) there is some $r > 0$ so that $B_r(x) \cap A = \emptyset$ or $B_r(x) \cap A^c = \emptyset$. But $B_r(x) \cap A^c$ cannot be the empty set, since $x \in A^c$, so $B_r(x) \cap A = \emptyset$.

If we can also show $B_r(x) \cap \partial A = \emptyset$, then we will have an open ball around x in $(A \cup \partial A)^c$ so $A \cup \partial A$ will be closed. But of course $B_r(x) \cap \partial A = \emptyset$, because if $B_r(x)$ contained a point $y \in \partial A$, then $B_r(x)$ would be an open neighborhood of y , so by the definition of boundary, it would intersect A . This would be a contradiction, so $A \cup \partial A$ is closed (and contains A), so $\bar{A} \subset A \cup \partial A$ (since \bar{A} is the smallest closed set containing A), we still need to show $\bar{A} \supset A \cup \partial A$, so that $\bar{A} = A \cup \partial A$.

We already explained that if $x \in (A \cup \partial A)^c$, then there is some $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subset A^c$. So $x \in (A^c)^\circ$, the interior of A^c . Thus if $x \in A \cup \partial A$, then $x \in ((A^c)^\circ)^c$, which from part (b) we know to be \bar{A} . Hence $\bar{A} = A \cup \partial A$.

(d) Show that the boundary is the closure minus the interior: $\partial A = \bar{A} - \overset{\circ}{A}$

We already know from (c) that $\partial A \subset \bar{A}$, so all we need to show is that if $x \in \partial A$, then $x \notin \overset{\circ}{A}$.

Let $x \in \partial A$, so that $B_r(x) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$. Then there is no $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subset A$ (for then it wouldn't intersect A^c). Thus by part (a), $x \notin \overset{\circ}{A}$, so $\partial A = \bar{A} - \overset{\circ}{A}$.

1.5.14 State whether the following limits exist, and prove it

(a) $\lim_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \frac{x^2}{x+y}$

By Corollary 1.5.30, any rational function (quotient of polynomials) is continuous on the subset of \mathbb{R}^n where the denominator does not vanish. The denominator doesn't vanish here, so the function is continuous and the limit exists (and is equal to $\frac{1}{3}$).

(b) $\lim_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \frac{\sqrt{|x|} y}{x^2+y^2}$

We will show that this limit does not exist by approaching the origin along two different paths and getting different results.

along $x=0$: limit becomes $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{y^2} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$

along $y = \sqrt{|x|}$ (positive): limit becomes $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x}{x^2+x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x+1} = 1$

So no limit exists.

(c) $\lim_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \frac{\sqrt{|xy|}}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}$

Same approach as in part (b).

along positive $x=y$: $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{|x^2|}}{\sqrt{2x^2}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

along $x=0$ (positive y): $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{\sqrt{y^2}} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$

Thus the limit does not exist.

(d) $\lim_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} x^2+y^2-3$

Polynomials are continuous by Corollary 1.5.30 (a), so the limit exists (and is equal to -3).

1.5.16

(a) Let $D^* \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the region $0 < x^2 + y^2 < 1$, and let $f: D^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. What does the following assertion mean?

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x,y) = a$$

This means $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall (x,y) \in D^*, |\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x,y) - a| < \epsilon$.

(b) For the following two functions, defined on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$, either show that the limit exists at $(0,0)$ and find it, or show that it does not exist.

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{\sin(x+y)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

Let's examine this limit along several paths

along $y=0$ (positive x -axis): $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} \stackrel{\text{L'Hopital}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos x}{1} = 1$

along $y=-x$ (positive x): $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(0)}{\sqrt{2x^2}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$

Thus the limit does not exist

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} (|x| + |y|) \ln(x^2 + y^4)$$

We'll show that the limit is 0. Note that it is enough to show that the limit of the absolute value is 0, since if the absolute value of a function tends to 0, then the function tends to 0.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} (|x| + |y|) \ln(x^2 + y^4) &= \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} |x| \ln(x^2 + y^4) + \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} |y| \ln(x^2 + y^4) \\ &\leq \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} |x| \ln(x^2) + \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} |y| \ln(y^4) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} 2x \ln(x) + \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} 4y \ln(y) \end{aligned}$$

Now using L'Hopital, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x \ln(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln(x)}{\frac{1}{x}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} -x = 0$

Similarly $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} 4y \ln(y) = 0$, so the full limit is 0.