## Errata Geometric Invariant Theory

over the real and complex numbers

- p. 63 line -8 replace is non-trivial with  $\mathcal{O}(V)^G \neq \mathbb{C}1$ .
- p. 88 line 9 replace  $\mathfrak{a}$  with V.
- p. 97 line 6 delete the  $\frac{1}{2}$  in Lemma 3.79 2.
- p. 98 line 9 the right hand side of the equation should say

$$|W| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{d_k} + \frac{d_k - 1}{2d_k} (1 - q) + o(1 - q) \right) \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{d_j}}$$

p. 104-105 Replace Proposition 3.86 with

**Lemma 3.86.** (H, V) be a Vinberg pair with Cartan subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then

 $\dim H + \dim \mathfrak{a} \geq \dim V.$ 

If the pair is regular then  $\mathfrak{n} = 0$  and the inequality is equality. **Proof.** We have seen that  $H(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n})$  has non-empty Zariski interior in V. Also if

$$U = [C_G(\mathfrak{a}), C_G(\mathfrak{a})], \mathfrak{u} = Lie(U), M = Ad_{\mathfrak{u}}(U)_{|\mathfrak{u} \cap V}^{\theta}$$

then

$$(M, \mathfrak{u} \cap V)$$

is a Vinberg pair. We note that  $\mathfrak{u} \cap V = \mathfrak{n}$ . Thus every element is nilpotent. This implies that  $\mathfrak{n}$  consists of a finite number of nilpotent orbits. Hence one of them, Mx, must be open in  $\mathfrak{n}$ . This implies that

$$\dim V = \dim H - \dim C_G(\mathfrak{a})_x^{\theta} + \dim \mathfrak{a}.$$

If the pair is regular then have  $C_G(\mathfrak{a})$  has a maximal torus in its centralizer. Since it is reductive and there can't be simi-simple elements in  $Lie(C_G(\mathfrak{a}), C_G(\mathfrak{a}))$ . We see that  $Lie(C_G(\mathfrak{a}), C_G(\mathfrak{a})) = 0$ . Thus  $C_G(\mathfrak{a})^o = T_\mathfrak{a}$ . Hence dim  $C_G(\mathfrak{a})^\theta = 0$ .

p. 111 line 13 replace  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}ad_2}$  with  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}adH_2}$ 

at the end of theorem 3.100 the 8 should be replaced with 12.

p. 117 line -3 the sum should be over k

line -1 delete the sum in the left side of the equation and the subscript of h in the determinant on the right hand side shoul be a j. That is the last line should read

$$\det \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial x_j} \det \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial t_k}(x_i, g_1, ..., g_n) = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t_0}(x_i, g_1, ..., g_n).$$