

## Math 203, Problem Set 6. Due Friday, November 22.

For this problem set, you may assume that the ground field is  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

1. (*Hyperelliptic curves.*) Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{2g+1}$  be pairwise distinct constants. Find the singularities of the projective *hyperelliptic curve of genus  $g$* :

$$y^2 z^{2g-1} = (x - a_1 z) \dots (x - a_{2g+1} z).$$

*Remark:* When  $g = 1$ , we get the elliptic curve  $\overline{E}_\lambda$  already encountered before, by setting  $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1, a_3 = \lambda$ .

2. (*Singularities of cubics.*)

(i) Show that any singular irreducible cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is isomorphic to either the nodal or the cuspidal cubics:

$$y^2 z = x^2(x + z) \text{ or } y^2 z = x^3.$$

*Hint:* Assume the singularity is at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . Show that the cubic can be written as

$$(\text{quadratic polynomial in } x, y) \cdot z = Q(x, y),$$

where  $Q$  is a cubic polynomial in  $x, y$ . Change coordinates suitably and write the cubic as

$$y^2 z = \tilde{Q}(x, y) \text{ or } xyz = \tilde{Q}(x, y).$$

Use the coordinate change  $z \mapsto \lambda x + \mu y + \nu z$  to put the cubic into one of the forms

$$y^2 z = (x + by)^3 \text{ or } xyz = (x + y)^3.$$

Conclude by performing one more change of coordinates.

(ii) Using (i), show that *irreducible cubics* in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  can have at most 1 singular point. Exhibit a cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with 3 singular points.

*Remark:* We will show later that an *irreducible* degree  $d$  curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  has at most  $\binom{d-1}{2}$  singular points.

3. (*Dual conics.*) Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a non-singular curve, given as the zero locus of a homogeneous polynomial  $f \in k[x, y, z]$ . Consider the morphism

$$\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, p \mapsto \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \right].$$

The image  $\Phi(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is called the dual curve to  $C$ .

(i) Why is  $\Phi$  a well-defined morphism? Find a geometric description of  $\Phi$ , independent of coordinates.

(ii) If  $C$  is an irreducible conic, prove that its dual  $\Phi(C)$  is also an irreducible conic. One way to prove this is to linearly change coordinates and assume the conic  $C$  is  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$ . What is  $\Phi(C)$ ?

(iii) For any five lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in general position (what does this mean?) show that there is a unique conic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  that is tangent to these five lines.

4. (*Singularities of hypersurfaces.*) Show that a *general* hypersurface of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is non-singular:

(i) For any hypersurface  $Z(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $d$ , view the coefficients of  $f$  as a point  $p_f$  in a large dimensional projective space  $\mathbb{P}^N$  (This projective space is called *the moduli space* of degree  $d$  hypersurfaces). Let

$$X = \{(f, p) \in \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^n : p \text{ is a singular point of } f\}.$$

Show that  $X$  is a projective algebraic set in  $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^n$ .

(ii) Conclude that the image  $\pi(X)$  of  $X$  under the projection onto  $\mathbb{P}^N$  is a projective algebraic set. What is  $\pi(X)$ ? Conclude that the subset of  $\mathbb{P}^N$  corresponding to smooth hypersurfaces is *open* and *nonempty*.

*Remark:* This will prove that the hypersurface is singular provided that the coefficients of  $f$  satisfy certain polynomial relations. Therefore, if you pick  $f$  randomly, these polynomial relations will most likely not be satisfied and your hypersurface is non-singular. This is the explanation of the word *general*.

5. (*Analytic singularities.*) Consider the singular plane curves  $Z$  and  $W$  given by the equations

$$y^2 - x^2(x + 1) = 0 \text{ and } xy = 0$$

respectively.

(i) Explain that  $(0, 0)$  is an ordinary double point for both of these curves. What are the tangent directions at  $(0, 0)$  for  $Z$  and  $W$ ? Sketch (the real points of)  $Z$  and  $W$ . Do  $Z$  and  $W$  look *alike* near the origin?

(ii) Show that there are *formal power series*

$$\tilde{x} = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \dots \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{y} = g_1 + g_2 + g_3 \dots$$

in the variables  $x$  and  $y$  such that the equation of  $Z$  becomes

$$\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = 0.$$

*Hint:* Construct the degree  $i$  homogeneous parts  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  inductively. Show you can pick

$$f_1 = y - x, g_1 = x + y.$$

Next, you would need

$$f_2(x + y) + g_2(y - x) = -x^3.$$

Why can you construct  $f_2$  and  $g_2$ ? Continue in this fashion.

*Remark:* If we work over an arbitrary field  $k$  it doesn't make sense to ask if the power series  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  converge, hence the terminology *formal power series*.

Convergence may be arranged if you work over the complex numbers, but you don't have to prove it.

*Remark:* It turns out the assignment

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$$

is invertible *e.g.* you can solve for  $x, y$  in terms of formal power series in  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ . In fact, this statement is generally true about any power series

$$\tilde{x} = ax + by + \dots, \tilde{y} = cx + dy + \dots$$

provided that  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . Therefore, the assignment

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$$

is a *formal* change of coordinates, establishing a *formal isomorphism* between  $Z$  and  $W$ . We say that  $Z$  and  $W$  are *analytically equivalent*.

*Remark:* Over the complex numbers, convergence may be arranged near the origin, if  $x, y$  are small, and thus the word *formal* may be replaced by *local analytic isomorphism* near the origin.

- (iii) Explain briefly why any ordinary double point singularity in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is analytically equivalent to the node  $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = 0$ .

*Remark:* It can be shown that any double point is analytically equivalent to the singularity  $\tilde{y}^2 = \tilde{x}^r$ , for some  $r$ . The case  $r = 2$  corresponds to the case which concerned us above.

**6.** (*Normal varieties.*) Show that the quadric  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$  is normal.

*Hint:* Consider  $\alpha \in K(X)$ . Using that  $z^2 = -x^2 - y^2$ , show that  $\alpha = u + zv$  for  $u, v \in k(x, y)$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is integral. Show that the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $k(x, y)$  is  $T^2 - 2uT + (u^2 + v^2(x^2 + y^2)) = 0$ . Use that its coefficients must be in  $k[x, y]$  (why?) and conclude that  $u, v$  are polynomials. Conclude that  $\alpha \in A(X)$ .