

Math 220 A - Lecture 12

---

November 13, 2023

## Enhanced Cauchy's Theorem (Homology Cauchy)

We seek to prove a "homology" version of Cauchy:

Theorem  $f: U^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $\gamma^* \approx 0$ . Then

$$\int_{\gamma^*} f dz = 0.$$

↳ Conway IV. 5. 7.

Recall  $\gamma^* \approx 0$  means  $n(\gamma^*, a) = 0 \forall a \notin U^*$ .

Remark We will see next that

Homology Cauchy  $\Rightarrow$  Residue Thm.

Residue Theorem  $u \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  open connected,  $S$  discrete

- $\gamma \sim^u 0$ ,  $\{\gamma\} \subseteq u \setminus S$ .
- $f$  holomorphic in  $u \setminus S$ , singularities at  $S$ .

Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz = \sum_{s \in S} \text{Res}(f, s) \cdot n(\gamma, s).$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Conway  $\bar{V}$ . 2.2

## Proof of residue theorem

We let  $f$  holomorphic in  $U \setminus S$ ,

$\gamma \sim^U 0$ . We want

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz = \sum_{s \in S} \text{Res}(f, s) \cdot n(\gamma, s).$$

We saw RHS is finite since

$$\{s \in S: n(\gamma, s) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Enumerate this set to be  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ ,  $m_i = n(\gamma, a_i) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Delta_i$  be small disjoint discs near  $a_i$ ,  $\Delta_i \subseteq U$ ,  $\Delta_i \cap S = \{a_i\}$ .

$D = \text{hnc}$  •  $U^* = U \setminus S$

•  $\gamma^* = \gamma + \sum_{i=1}^k (-m_i) C_i$  where  $C_i = \partial \Delta_i$

(positive orientation)

Claim  $\gamma^* \sim^{U^*} 0$

Homology Cauchy for  $(U^*, \gamma^*) \Rightarrow \int_{\gamma^*} f dz = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz &= \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_i} f dz \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \text{Res}(f, a_i) \text{ by } \text{toy example} \end{aligned}$$

last time. QED.

Proof of the claim Want  $n(\gamma^*, a) = 0$  if  $a \notin u^*$ .

[u] if  $a \notin u$ . Note  $\gamma \stackrel{u}{\sim} 0 \Rightarrow \gamma \approx 0 \Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) = 0$ .

Also  $a \notin \Delta_i \Rightarrow n(c_i, a) = 0$  Then

$$n(\gamma^*, a) = \underbrace{n(\gamma, a)}_0 + \sum (-m_i) \underbrace{n(c_i, a)}_0 = 0.$$

[u] if  $a \in S$ . Note that  $n(c_i, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq a_i \\ 1 & \text{if } a = a_i \end{cases}$

$$\text{If } a = a_i \Rightarrow n(\gamma^*, a) = \underbrace{n(\gamma, a)}_{m_i} + (-m_i) \underbrace{n(c_i, a)}_1 = m_i + (-m_i) = 0.$$

If  $a \neq a_i \forall i \Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) = 0$  by definition of the  $a_i$ 's

$$\Rightarrow n(\gamma^*, a) = \underbrace{n(\gamma, a)}_0 + \sum (-m_i) \underbrace{n(c_i, a)}_0 = 0.$$

## Remarks

□ Proof of residue thm only requires  $\gamma \approx^u 0$  not

$\gamma \approx^u 0$ .  $\rightarrow$  improvement of hypothesis.

□ Residue Theorem  $\Rightarrow$  Homology CIF for derivatives.

Let  $\gamma \approx^u 0$ . Apply the residue theorem:  $S = \{a\}$ .

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{k+1}} dz = n(\gamma, a) \operatorname{Res}_{z=a} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{k+1}}$$

$$= n(\gamma, a) \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!}$$

(using Method 2 from last time)

## Proof of Homology Cauchy's Theorem

- change notation  $U \leftrightarrow U^*$ ,  $\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^*$
- modify statement slightly

### Theorem (Homology CIF)

$\gamma \stackrel{U}{\approx} 0$ ,  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $a \in U \setminus \{\gamma\}$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz = n(\gamma, a) f(a).$$

Remark Using the above for  $f^{\text{new}}(z) = f(z) \cdot (z-a)$ ,  $f^{\text{new}}(a) = 0$

we obtain  $\gamma \stackrel{U}{\approx} 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\gamma} f dz = 0$ . This is **Homology Cauchy**.

Remark TFAE:

**Homology CIF**  $\Rightarrow$  **Homology Cauchy's Theorem**  
above

$\Rightarrow$  **Residue Theorem**  
page 4-5

$\Rightarrow$  **Homology CIF for derivatives**  
page 6

$k=0$

Theorem (Homology CIF / Conway IV.5)

$\gamma \approx 0$ ,  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic,  $a \in U \setminus \{\gamma\}$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-a} dw = n(\gamma, a) f(a).$$

Rewriting.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-a} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(a)}{w-a} dw$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w) - f(a)}{\underbrace{w-a}_{\varphi(a, w)}} dw = 0$$



# Proof of Homology CIF

Auxiliary function  $\varphi: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\varphi(z, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w}, & z \neq w. \\ f'(z), & z = w. \end{cases}$$

Want:  $\int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw = 0 \quad \forall z \in U \quad (*)$

Apply  $(*)$  to  $z = a \in U \setminus \{\gamma\}$  to conclude Homology CIF.

Claims  $\square$   $\varphi$  continuous in  $U \times U$

$\square$   $z \rightarrow \varphi(z, w)$  holomorphic  $\forall w \in U$  fixed.

Proof of  $\square$  This was explained in Lecture 10 as an application of Removable Singularity Theorem.

Proof of 10  $\varphi$  continuous in  $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$ . Recall

$$\varphi(z, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w}, & z \neq w. \\ f'(z), & z = w. \end{cases}$$

- Continuity is clear at points where  $z \neq w$ .
- We show continuity at  $(a, a)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(z, w) - \varphi(a, a)| &= \left| \frac{1}{w - z} \int_z^w f'(t) dt - f'(a) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|w - z|} \left| \int_z^w (f'(t) - f'(a)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [z, w]} |f'(t) - f'(a)| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

if  $z, w \in \Delta(a, \delta)$ .

This holds in  $\Delta(a, \delta)$  for some  $\delta$ , because  $f'$  is

continuous (in fact holomorphic).

Proof of (\*) Want  $\int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw = 0$  if  $\gamma \stackrel{u}{\approx} 0$ .

Question: How do we make use of  $\gamma \stackrel{u}{\approx} 0$ ?

Answer. Define

$$V = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma, n(\gamma, z) = 0\}.$$

- $U \cup V = \mathbb{C}$ . (this is the only place where  $\gamma \stackrel{u}{\approx} 0$  is used).

Indeed if  $z \notin U \Rightarrow n(\gamma, z) = 0$  since  $\gamma \stackrel{u}{\approx} 0$ . Also  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\gamma\}$ .

- $V$  open. Indeed, by **Lecture 6**,  $V$  is union of components of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\gamma\} = \text{open} \Rightarrow V$  open.

- $V$  unbounded. In fact, by **Lecture 6**,  $\exists R \gg 0$  with  $\{ |z| > R \} \subseteq V$ .

Define  $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw, & z \in U. \\ \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw, & z \in V. \end{cases}$$

Claims (a)  $h$  well-defined

(b)  $h$  bounded,  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z) = 0$

(c)  $h$  entire

Conclusion By Liouville  $\Rightarrow$   $h$  constant  $\Rightarrow$   $h \equiv 0$ .

Thus if  $z \in U \Rightarrow h(z) = \int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw = 0 \Rightarrow (*)$ .  
Q.E.D.

Proof of (a)  $h$  well-defined. Take  $z \in U \cap V$ . We show

$$\int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw.$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{w-z} dw = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(z) n(\gamma, z) = 0 \text{ which is}$$

true since  $n(\gamma, z) = 0$  for  $z \in V$ .

## Proof of 16

Let  $K > 0$  such that  $\{\gamma\} \subseteq \Delta(0, K)$  by compactness.

We have  $|w - z| \geq |z| - |w| \geq |z| - K$  if  $w \in \{\gamma\}$ .

If  $R \gg 0$ ,  $|z| \geq R \Rightarrow z \in V$ . Then

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw \right| \leq \underbrace{\text{length}(\gamma) \cdot \sup_{\{\gamma\}} |f| \cdot \frac{1}{|z| - K}}_{\downarrow \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty}$$

Since  $h$  is continuous by 15  $\Rightarrow h$  bounded.

Why?

•  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha, |h(z)| \leq 1$  if  $|z| \geq \alpha$

$h$  continuous  $\Rightarrow \exists M, |h(z)| \leq M$  if  $|z| \leq \alpha$

$\Rightarrow |h| \leq \max(1, M)$ .

## Proof of (c) $h$ entire

Recall Conway Exercise IV.2.3. / HWK 3

Key statement  $\varphi: U \times \{z\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

- $\varphi$  continuous
- $z \rightarrow \varphi(z, w)$  holomorphic  $\forall w \in \{z\}$ .

Then  $g(z) = \int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw$  holomorphic.

Proof See Solution Set 3.

Alternatively, let  $\bar{R} \subseteq U$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial R} g dz &= \int_{\partial R} \int_{\gamma} \varphi(z, w) dw dz \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \int_{\partial R} \varphi(z, w) dz dw \\ &= \int_{\gamma} 0 dw = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Fubini's theorem  
 $\varphi$  continuous

→ Goursat's lemma or Cauchy

$\Rightarrow g$  admits a primitive in any disc  $\Delta \subseteq U$ ,  $g = c'$

$\Rightarrow g$  holomorphic ( $c = \text{holomorphic} = \infty$ -many times differentiable)

Back to c. Apply Key Statement to

- the set  $U$ , for  $\varphi = \emptyset \Rightarrow h$  holomorphic in  $U$
- the set  $V$ , for  $\varphi(z, w) = \frac{f(w)}{w-z} : V \times \{z\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$   
 $\Rightarrow h$  holomorphic in  $V$ .

Thus  $h$  is entire. Q.E.D.

## 2. Applications of the Residue Theorem to real analysis

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz = \sum_{s \in S} \text{Res}(f, s) \cdot n(\gamma, s), \quad \gamma \approx 0^u.$$

### Applications

(a) trigonometric functions

(b) rational functions

(c) Fourier integrals

(d) logarithmic integrals

(e) Mellin transforms

Poisson: "Je n'ai remarqué aucune intégrale qui  
ne fût pas déjà connue"