Math 220 A - Lecture 4

October 11, 2023

I Gauchy theory & Integration (Conway IV)

The theory of integration is crucial to complex

analysis. Many important results have as starting

point Cauchy's integral formula.

§ 1. Complex integration

 $\boxed{a} \quad \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{C} \quad open \quad \& \quad connected$  $\gamma: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \quad C' - path$ 

 $I_{\overline{i}} = \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt.$ 

 $[\overline{u}] \ C-reparametrization \ \widehat{\gamma}: [\overline{a}, \widehat{b}] \longrightarrow u$ 

 $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \bar{\varphi}$ ,  $\bar{\varphi} : [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \rightarrow [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$   $\hat{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}$ ,  $\bar{\varphi} : [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \rightarrow [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$   $\hat{\gamma} class c'$ Orientation preserving:  $\bar{\varphi}' > 0$ .

167 A piecewise C<sup>1</sup>-path  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n$ ,  $\gamma_i^*$  of class  $\zeta_i^1$  $if \quad \exists \quad a = a_0 \prec a_1 \prec \dots \prec a_n = b$  $\mathcal{Y}/[a_{i_{-},a_{i}}] = \mathcal{Y}_{i}$ IT J: U -> C continuous, Define substitute  $z = \gamma(t)$ class C'  $\int f d z := \int f (\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) d t$ path ~  $\gamma$  a dz = y'(t) dtThis is independent of orientation preserving repara metrigation  $\int f(r(t)) \gamma'(t) dt = \int_{a}^{b} f(\hat{\gamma}(s)) \cdot \hat{\gamma}(s) ds$  $t = \phi(s)$ .

This is change of voriables:  $f(r(t)) = f(\bar{r}(s))$ 

 $\gamma'(t) dt = \hat{\gamma}'(s) ds.$ 

 $\frac{Remark}{-\gamma} \int f \, dz = -\int f \, dz \quad after \ changing \ orientation$ 

Remark the definition extends to piccewise C' paths

 $\int f d_2 = \int f d_2 + \dots + \int f d_2.$   $T_1 \qquad T_n$ 

In particular, we can define  $\int f d2$ , R restangle. DR

## Remark Conway works with rectifiable paths.

In the elementary theory of analytic functions it is seldom necessary to consider arcs which are rectifiable, but not piecewise differentiable. However, the notion of rectifiable arc is one that every mathematician should know.

Ahlfors - Complex Analysis, 3 edition page 105

Jundamental eshmak Assume IFISM along y

 $\Rightarrow \left| \int f dz \right| \leq length (z). M.$ 

 $\frac{P_{roof}}{\gamma} \left| \begin{array}{c} \int f d_2 \\ \gamma \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} \int f (\gamma ct) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt \\ \gamma \end{array} \right|$ 

 $\leq M \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt$ 

= M. length (8)



Augustin-Louis Cauchy (1789 - 1857) was a French mathematician who made contributions to several branches of mathematics including complex analysis.

Cauchy was a prolific writer: 800 research articles and 5 textbooks.

countrolook wise Example A  $\gamma = circle \circ f radius r, \gamma(t) = re^{it}$  $\int z^n dz = \int_{0}^{2\pi} r^n e^{int} \cdot r e^{it} \cdot dt$ 8  $= \int_{0}^{2\pi} r^{n+1} e^{i(n+1)t}$  $= r^{n+1} \underbrace{e^{i(n+1)t}}_{i(n+1)} / \underbrace{t = 2\pi}_{= 0., n \neq -1.}$ When n=-1  $\int_{Y} \frac{dz}{2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{r e^{it}}{r e^{it}} dt = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{2\pi}{i dt} = 2\pi \tau^{2}$ Remember this example !  $E_{\text{xample B}} = f_{\text{admits primitive F}} = f'_{\text{xample B}}$  $\int f dz = \int_{a}^{b} F'(g(t)) \cdot g'(t) dt$ 

 $= \int_{a}^{b} \left( F(\gamma(t)) \right) dt = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a)).$ 

Path independence! g(a) 

11. Existence of primitives

U = a open connected, f continuous. We show three results.

Proposition A TFAE I fadmits a pomitive [1] J J dz = 0 + 8 piecewise C' loop.

Remark II => III is clear by Example B.

 $\frac{Remark}{2} \frac{1}{2} doesn't admit a primitive in <math>U = C^{\times}$ since  $\int \frac{d^2}{\frac{d}{2}} = 2\pi i$  by Example A.  $\Rightarrow$   $\neq$  no logarithm in  $U = C^{\times}$ 

 $\frac{P_{reposition B}}{If u = \Delta = disc. TFAE}$ 

I fadmits primitire

 $\frac{\pi}{2R} \int f dz = 0 \quad \text{for all rectangles } \overline{R} \subseteq \mathcal{U}.$ 

Prop. A Prop B. Compare : *u = ∆*  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ y piecewise Ct Proposition c If f: u - c holomorphic => ) f dz = 0 for all rectangles REU. (Goursat's lemma) Remark f' is not assumed continuous. If f'is continuous an easter proof can be gren. Corollary If  $f: \Delta \longrightarrow c$  is holomorphic,  $\int f d_2 = 0 \quad \forall \quad \forall \quad piecewise \quad C' - loop \quad m \quad \Delta.$ This is a form of Cauchy's theorem. Proof By B+C, f admits a primitive. The conclusion

follows from A.



Edouard Goursat 1858 - 1936

J'ai reconnu depuis longtemps que la demonstration du theoreme de Cauchy, que j'ai donnee en 1883, ne supposait pas la continuite de la derivee.

(I have recognized for a long time that the demonstration of Cauchy's theorem which I gave in 1883 didn't really presuppose the continuity of the derivative.)

Sur la definition generale des functions analytiques, d'apres Cauchy. Trans. AMS, 1900, 14-46.

Proposition & TFAE 1) fadmits a primitive [1] J J dz = 0 + 8 piecewise C' loop. Proof [] => [] follows by path independence. [1] => [1] Fix p & and define  $F(q) = \int_{\gamma} f d_2$  where  $\gamma$  is a piecewise C'path in u joining p to g. This is well. defined  $\iff \int f da = \int f da .$ which holds due to 17.  $\frac{Claim}{F} = f$ Proof Fix g E U, E>O. Let S>O with  $(*) \quad | \neq (2) - \neq (2)/<\varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad \forall \in \Delta(2, \delta).$ 

8.2+h We compute  $\left| \frac{F(g+k) - F(g)}{-h} - \frac{f(g)}{-f(g)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{k} \int_{g}^{g+h} \frac{f(g)}{-f(g)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{k} \int_{g}^{g+h} \frac{f(g)}{-f(g)} \right|$  $= \frac{1}{1\pi_1} \int_{2}^{2+1} (f(z) - f(z)) dz$ < 2 by (\*) if 181< 5. < 1/ · length [2,2+ h]. ε.  $= \frac{1}{f_{RI}} \cdot f_{RI} \cdot \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}' = f$ Question Why can we always find a piecewise C' path? Zet H = { g & u : I piecewise C' path from p to g }. X = of since p = X.

We show X is open & closed in U = connected, hence

X = U, as claimed.

\* open. Let g & Z => J R >0 with D(g, R) G U. For  $g' \in \Delta(g, R)$ , join p to g (since  $g \in \mathcal{X}$ ) 1  $\Delta(g, R)$ . 1  $\int_{0}^{\infty} g$  to g' (via line segment).  $P => g' \in \mathcal{X} => \Delta (g, R) \subseteq \mathcal{X} => \mathcal{X} open.$ X closed. Zet g e d X. We show g E X. Let  $g' \in \mathcal{X}, g' \in \Delta(g, \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Join p to 2' by a piecewise C' path. 2 2 to 2 by line segment thus joining p to 2  $= 2 \in \mathcal{X}$ 2 2 2 2

 $\frac{P_{reposition B}}{If u = \Delta = disc. TFAE}$ 1 f admits printre  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ R \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad for all rectangles \quad R \subseteq U.$ Proof We only need [" => ]] Let pE U.  $Define F(g) = \int_{g} f dg$  where y is a path from p to g consisting of two segments parallel to the p axes. Such a path exists since ∽ (  $u = \Delta = disc.$ Glaim F' = f. $\frac{Proof}{F(g+h) - F(g)} = \int_{f}^{1+h} \frac{1}{f} d_{2} - \int_{f}^{2} \frac{1+h}{f} d_{2} = \int_{f}^{1+h} \frac{1}{f} d_{2} = \int_{f}^{1+h} \frac{1}{f} d_{2} = \int_{f}^{1+h} \frac{1}{f} d_{2} = \int_{f}^{1+h} \frac{1}{f} d_{2} = 0.$ For the rd path from 2 to 2 + h, the same argument applies, the length of the path \$ 2 121.