

Math 220C - Lecture 13

April 25, 2022

§0. Last time Conway XI.3.

$f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ entire of order λ , $f \neq 0$.

$$f(z) = z^m e^{g(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_p\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right), \quad p = \text{rank}(f).$$

genus $h = \max(p, \deg g)$ if g polynomial or ∞ otherwise.

Hadamard's Theorem (1893)

$$h \leq \lambda \leq h+1$$

Plan for the Proof of Hadamard $h \leq \lambda \leq h+1$

□ $\lambda \leq h+1$ (today).

□ $h \leq \lambda$ — $p \leq \lambda$ (today).

□ $\deg g \leq \lambda$ (next time)

*Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières
et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann* (1);

PAR M. J. HADAMARD.

1. La décomposition d'une fonction entière $F(x)$ en facteurs primaires, d'après la méthode de M. Weierstrass,

$$(1) \quad F(x) = e^{G(x)} \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi_p}\right) e^{Q_p(x)}$$

a conduit à la notion du genre de la fonction F .

On dit que F est du genre E si, dans le second membre de l'équation (1), tous les polynômes Q_p sont de degré E , et que la fonction entière $G(x)$ se réduise également à un polynôme de degré E au plus.

Dans un article inséré au *Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France* (2), M. Poincaré a démontré une propriété des fonctions de genre E . L'énoncé auquel il est parvenu est le suivant :

Dans une fonction entière de genre E , le coefficient de x^m , mul-

(1) Les principaux résultats contenus dans le présent Mémoire ont été présentés à l'Académie des Sciences dans un travail couronné en 1892 (grand prix des Sciences mathématiques).

(2) Année 1883, pages 136 et suiv.

§ 1. First half of Hadamard

WTS $\lambda \leq h+1$ (*)

WLOG h finite, else we're done.

Key Lemma $\log |E_p(w)| \leq C_p |w|^{p+1}$ for some $C_p > 0$.

Proof of (*) WTS $\lambda \leq h+1$.

Recall $f(z) = z^m e^g \prod_n E_p\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right)$

Recall $\text{order}(uv) \leq \max(\text{order } u, \text{order } v)$.

Recall $\text{order}(z^m) = 0 \leq h+1$

$\text{order}(e^g) = \deg g \leq h < h+1$.

We show $\text{order} \prod_n E_p\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right) \leq p+1 \leq h+1$.

Note

$$\log \left| \prod_n E_p \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right) \right| = \sum_n \log \left| E_p \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right) \right|$$

Lemma \downarrow

$$\leq C_p \sum_n \left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right|^{p+1} = K |z|^{p+1}$$

where $K = C_p \sum \frac{1}{|a_n|^{p+1}} < \infty$.

Thus order $\leq p+1$, as needed.

Remark (will not prove/use)

order $\prod_n E_p \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right) = \alpha$ (exercise in Conway).

Proof of Lemma

$$\text{Recall } E_p(w) = (1-w) \exp\left(w + \frac{w^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

We induct on p .

When $p=0$,

$$\log |1-w| \leq \log(1+|w|) \leq |w| \text{ so take } C_0 = 1.$$

Inductive step

□ When $|w| \geq \frac{1}{2}$: Note

$$E_p(w) = E_{p-1}(w) \exp\left(\frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log |E_p(w)| = \log |E_{p-1}(w)| + \log \left| \exp\left(\frac{w^p}{p}\right) \right|$$

$$\leq C_{p-1} |w|^p + \log \exp \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

$$= C_{p-1} |w|^p + \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

$$\leq C_{p-1} |w|^p + \left| \frac{w^p}{p} \right| = \left(C_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p} \right) |w|^p$$

$$\leq 2 \left(C_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p} \right) |w|^{p+1} \text{ since } |w| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

ii When $|w| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Note

$$E_p(w) = (1-w) \exp\left(w + \frac{w^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{w^p}{p}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{w^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{w^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots\right)$$

using Taylor expansion

$$\log(1-w) = -w - \frac{w^2}{2} - \dots - \frac{w^k}{k} - \dots \text{ for } |w| < 1.$$

Then

$$\log |E_p(w)| = \log \left| \exp\left(-\frac{w^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{w^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots\right) \right|$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{w^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{w^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots \right)$$

$$\leq \left| -\frac{w^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{w^{p+2}}{p+2} - \dots \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \geq p+1} \left| \frac{w^k}{k} \right| = |w|^{p+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{|w|^k}{p+k+1}$$

$$\leq |w|^{p+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} |w|^k \leq$$

$$\leq |w|^{p+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = 2 |w|^{p+1}.$$

Take $c_p = \max\left(2, 2\left(c_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)$. We obtain in both

cases

$$\log |E_p(w)| \leq c_p |w|^{p+1} \text{ as needed.}$$

§ 2. Second half of Hadamard

We show $h \leq \lambda$.

WLOG λ finite & $f(0) = 1$

Indeed, write $f(z) = c z^m \tilde{f}(z)$ with $\tilde{f}(0) = 1$. Note

order $\tilde{f} = \text{order } f$ & genus $\tilde{f} = \text{genus } f$.

WTS if λ is finite, then

□ $p \leq \lambda$

□ g polynomial of degree $\leq \lambda$.

where $f(z) = e^{g(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_p\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right)$.

Proof of (i) By HWK 4, Problem 5:

$\alpha \leq \lambda$ and by Lecture 10, $p \leq \alpha$. Thus $p \leq \lambda$.

Preparations for the proof of (ii)

Let $m \leq \lambda < m+1$.

Write $f(z) = e^{g(z)} P(z)$ where $P(z) = \prod_n E_p\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right)$

We will prove

$$D^{m+1} g = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}.$$

This will show $D^{m+1} g = 0$ in \mathbb{C} , say by identity

principle. \Rightarrow g polynomial of degree $\leq m$.

$$\text{Here } D = \text{derivative} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

Aside — Logarithmic derivative

Issue: Taking derivatives of products is messy. It is easier to

take logarithmic derivatives

$$h \text{ holomorphic} \Rightarrow \frac{h'}{h} = \text{logarithmic derivative}$$

= holomorphic away from $\text{Zero}(h)$

Addition formula

$$h = fg \Rightarrow \frac{h'}{h} = \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{g'}{g}$$

$$h' = f'g + fg' \Rightarrow \frac{h'}{h} = \frac{f'g + fg'}{fg} = \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{g'}{g}$$

Inductively

$$h = f_1 \dots f_s \Rightarrow \frac{h'}{h} = \frac{f_1'}{f_1} + \dots + \frac{f_s'}{f_s}$$

We prove the same for infinite products.

Proposition Let $f_k : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic & assume

$$h = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \text{ converges absolutely \& locally uniformly.}$$

Away from $\text{Zero}(h)$, we have

$$\frac{h'}{h} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k'}{f_k}$$

The RHS converges locally uniformly on $U \setminus \text{Zero}(h)$.

This follows from applying the finite case to the partial products.

Key: $u_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} u$ then $\frac{u_n'}{u_n} \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} \frac{u'}{u}$ away from $\text{Zero}(u)$.

Indeed, by Weierstrass convergence thm $\Rightarrow u_n' \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} u'$.

This gives $\frac{u_n'}{u_n} \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} \frac{u'}{u}$ away from $\text{Zero}(u)$ using two basic results:

(i) $u_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} u$ then $\frac{1}{u_n} \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} \frac{1}{u}$ away from $\text{Zero}(u)$

(ii) $u_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} u$ and $v_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} v$ then $u_n v_n \xrightarrow{\text{l.u.}} uv$

Back to Hadamard - Take logarithmic derivatives

$$f = e^g p \Rightarrow \frac{f'}{f} = g' + \frac{p'}{p}$$

Take m usual derivatives next to get

$$D^m \frac{f'}{f} = D^{m+1} g + D^m \frac{p'}{p}$$

We will show $D^m \frac{f'}{f} = D^m \frac{p'}{p} \Rightarrow D^{m+1} g = 0$ as needed.