Math 220 B - Leoture 14

•

Morch 4 , 2024

Part I : Weiershap & Mittag - Jeffler

Series & Products





Approximation theory

§ 1. Context for Runge

In real analysis (Math 1403), we learn

Weiershap Approximation theorem

7: [0,6] - R continuous, J Pn polynomials

 $P_n \rightrightarrows f.$

This was proven by Weizerstraß at age 70 in 1885.

There are many applications of this theorem.

re.g. in Fourier analysis, funchenal analysis etc.

Remark This can be generalized in R?

If K = Rn compact, f: K - R continuous, then

 $\exists P_n \quad polynomials, P_n \rightrightarrows f in K.$

Runge lage 29, Ph. D. 1880, student of Weierstaps):

Question What about f holomorphic? Can it be approximated by polynomials in 2? Answer was given in 1885 as well. Remark This doesn't follow from Weiershafs. Weiershaps produces polynomials in x, y for z = x + iy. e.g. polynomials in 2 and 2.



ZUR THEORIE DER EINDEUTIGEN ANALYTISCHEN FUNCTIONEN

von RUNGE

C. RUNGE(')

Seit dem Bekanntwerden der Modulfunctionen, weiss man, dass der Gultigkeitsbereich einer analytischen Function nicht nothwendig von discreten Punkten begrenzt zus ein braucht, sondern dass auch continuirliche Linien als Begrenzungsstücke auftreten und einen Theil der complexen Ebene von dem Gultigkeitsbereich ausschliessen können.

Hier entsteht nun die Frage, ob der Gültigkeitsbereich analytischer Functionen seiner Form nach irgend welchen Beschränkungen unterliegt oder nicht. Diese Frage bildet, so weit sie sich auf eindeutige analytische Functionen bezieht, den Gegenstand der nachfolgenden Untersuchung. Es wird sich ergeben, dass der Gültigkeitsbereich einer eindeutigen analytischen Function d. h. die Gesammtheit aller Stellen an denen sie sich regulär oder ausserwesentlich singulär verhält keiner andern Beschränkung unterliegt als derjenigen, zusammenhängend zu sein. In dem ersten Theile

(1) Die Aufgabe, welche in dem ersten Paragraphen dieser Arbeit in eleganter Weise geleat wird, ist nicht in meiner Abhardlung Sur la représentation unalytique des fonctions monogènes uniformes d'une eariable indépendante (Acta mathematica 4, S. 1-79) behandelt worden. Diejeige Aufgabe dageen, mit welcher sich der Verfasser in dem zweiten Paragraphen beschäftigt, ist in meiner Abhandlung aus mehreren verschiedenen Gesiehtspunkten betrachtet und geleat worden. Da jedoch der Verfasser seine Untersnehungen vor der Veröffentlichung meiner oben eitirten Abhandlung machte und auch ganz andere mit dem CAUCHYschen Integralsatze in Zusammehang schende Methoden braucht, so habe ich die ganze Arbeit für geeignet gehalten hier aufgenommen zu werden. Der Herausgeber.

Acta Math 6 (1885)

Acta mathematica. 6. Imprimé 29 Septembre 1884.

Carl Runge (1856 - 1927)

Runge - Kutta

- Runge's Approximation

- mathematics, as trophysics, spectroscopy.

f 2. Pharsing the Question more carefully

Beware A holomorphic function is defined over

OPEN sets. (see Math 220A).

Definition K C C compact. A holomorphic function in

K is a function f: K -> c that = x kinds holomorphically

to a meighborhood U2K.



Two versions of the guestion

Runge C (compact sets) K = compact

Given f holomorphic in K, are there polynomials

 P_n such that $P_n \rightrightarrows f \in \mathcal{K}$?

Runge O (open sets) U S & open

Given f holomorphic in U, are there polynomials

 P_n such that $P_n \stackrel{\text{l.u.}}{\Longrightarrow} f$ in u?

Emphasis

Runge C: approximation on a single compact K

Runge O: approximation on all compacts K

in the domain of a holomorphic function

Runge C is more basic.

complex analysis

Runge C \implies Runge O 2 point - set to pology.

The two versions are very similar.

Example Runge C.

 $K = \begin{cases} 1 \le 1 \ge 1 \ge 1 \le 2 \end{cases}, \quad f(=) = \frac{1}{2}$. he lemerphic in K.

Can we find Pn = f in K?



The failure is due to the "hole" in K. & the fact



What is a "hole"?



§ 3. Rungo's Theorem - Compart Sats

We give three versions. The simplest version is:

Runge's little theorem (Case C)

If K has no holes (=> C \K connecked)

then + f holomorphic in K, 3 polynomials In

with

 $P_n \rightrightarrows f \ in \ K$

Question How about arbitrary K?

Answer Polynomial approximation fails (Example)

Are we even asking the night guestion?

Better Rational Approximation.

Question Giren & holomorphic in K,

 $\exists R_n rational functions, R_n \rightrightarrows f in K &$

poles of Rn are outside K?

Question Can we prescribe the location of

the poles of Rn?

Runge C (Almost final) K C C compact.

Thm Let 5 be a set of points,

at least one from each hole. of K.

then + f holomorphic in K.

 $\boxed{I} = R_n \implies f \quad in \quad K$

[11] Rn are rahonal functions whose poles are in S.

15 E

Remark The poles of R, are contained in S, but it

may happen that not all points of s are poles.

Remark If K has no holes then 5 = F. Thus

Rn has no poles => Rn have no denominators =>

=> R, an polynomials. We recover Zittle Runge.

Runge C Final Form Conway VIII. 1.7.

We replace I by I = IU ? 00 }.

The Zet K G C. compact. Zet s c be a set of points,

at least one chosen from each component of CIK.

Let f be holomorphic in K. Then

[IL] R, are rational with possible pokes in S.

Remark An interesting case allowed by the Final Version

is to pick wes from the unbounded component.

Thus, when 5 consists in

. to from the unbounded component of C \K

· a point from each bounded component of C K (holes)

we recover Almost Final Version.

The two versions are even equivalent in this case

since the condition that a rational function R have at worst

a pole at is vacuous. Indeed,

 $R(2) = \frac{77}{(2-a;)} = R\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{m-n} \frac{77(1-a;2)}{77(1-a;2)}$ $\frac{m}{77(1-b;2)} = \frac{77(1-a;2)}{77(1-b;2)}$

thas at worst a pole at o.



Runge C (Almost Final) Runge C (Final) => Conway VIII . 1. 7 2 - rational approximation - rational approximation - version for ê - potes in each hole 1 Little Runge C - polynomial approximation _ K has no holes

Example / Review $f(z) = \frac{z^3}{(z-2)(z-7)}$ |2| = 4 $K = \begin{cases} 3 \leq 12 \leq 4 \end{cases}$ $i = \frac{7}{2}$ f = holomorphicf is holomorphic in K because it extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{U} = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2} < |z| < \frac{9}{2} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{K}.$ Gan we approximate f uniformly on K by: (1) rational functions with poles in c at 1? YES Amost Final Version. Poles in ê are 1, 00. (2) rational functions with poles at 0,00 YES Final Version rational functions with poles at w? (3) NO. Such rational functions would have to be

polynomials (if they had denominators, there would be

poles). But if Pn = f then $\int P_n d_2 \longrightarrow \int f d_2 = 2\pi i \mathcal{R}_{cs}(f, 2)$ $l_{2}l = \frac{7}{2}$ $l_{2}l = \frac{7}{2}$ $l_{1}l = \frac{7}{2}$ $= 2 77 7 \cdot \frac{2^3}{z^2 - 7} / z = 2$ о

using the Residue theorem. Contradiction!

How about the converse ? Runge If K has no holes => polynomial approximation holds. If K has holes => polynomial approximation fails in general Two methods How to see this ? $K = \int 1 \le 1 \ge 1 \le 2 \int , f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ [2] Both integrals follow by the residue theorem, for instance. This contradiction shows f cannot be approximated uniformly in K by polynomials In.

[II (New method).

 $K = \begin{cases} 1 \le 1 \ge 1 \le 2 \end{cases} , f(z) = \frac{1}{2}$

Assume In f in K, En polynomials. K $\exists N : I \mathcal{P}_N - f | \leq \frac{1}{4}$ on K $\langle \Rightarrow | \mathcal{P}_N - \frac{1}{2} / \langle \frac{1}{4} \rangle \quad on \ K.$ $< = > 12 P_N - 1 < \frac{12}{4} on K.$

 $= 12P_N - 1/(\frac{12}{4})$ when 12/=1 = $12P_N - 1/(\frac{1}{4})$ when 12/=1.

Let g(2) = 1 - 2 PN => g entre. Note 1g(0)/=1 and

 $1g(2) < \frac{1}{4}$ for 12 = 1.

This contradicts Maximum modulus for g in \$ (0,1).

The second me thad generalizes

 Z_{ef} H be a hole of K. Z_{ef} a \in H., $f(z) = \frac{1}{2-a}$ $I_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{P}{=} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{=} f \quad in \ K. \quad f ind \ N \quad such that$ M = m ax 12-a/>o. Z G K $\left| \frac{P_{N}}{P_{N}} - \frac{1}{2-a} \right| < \frac{1}{2M} \quad \text{in } K$ к $\implies |(2-a)P_N - 1| < \frac{|2-a|}{2m} \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \kappa.$ H H $g(z) = 1 - (z - a) P_N$ satisfies hok of K. $g(a) = 1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} = 0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$ This contradicts more imum modulus for g & the set H. Thus f cannot be approximated by polynomials.

K has no holes => polynomial approximation Condusion

holds in K.