

Math 220B - Lecture 3

January 17, 2024

1. Γ -function - probability, statistics, combinatorics, ...

↳ Conway VII. 7.

"The product $1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot x$ is the function that must be introduced in analysis" (Gauss to Bessel, 1811)

$$\prod x = "1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots \cdot x" = \Gamma(x+1)$$

"The theory of analytic factorials does not seem to have the importance some mathematicians used to attribute to it"

Weierstraß 1854

Definition

$$G(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n}$$

Remark

The convergence (absolutely & locally uniformly)

of the product is HWK 1, # 2. There, you show

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \operatorname{Log} \left[\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n} \right] \right| \text{ converges locally uniformly.}$$

Properties of the function ζ

$$\begin{aligned}\text{I} \quad \zeta(z) \zeta(-z) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{z/n} e^{-z/n} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2}\right) = \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} \quad (\text{sine factorization})\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{II} \quad \zeta(z-1) = z \zeta(z) e^{\gamma} \quad \text{where } \gamma \text{ is constant.}$$

$$\text{III} \quad \gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n\right). \quad \leftarrow \text{see below}$$

Definition

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(z)}$$

Remark ζ has zeros at $-1, -2, \dots, -n, \dots$

$\Rightarrow \Gamma$ meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with zeros at $-1, -2, \dots, -n, \dots$

Proof of 14

We inspect **zeros** of both sides.

$$\text{Zeros } \zeta : -1, -2, \dots, -n, \dots$$

$$\zeta \zeta(z) : 0, -1, -2, \dots, -n, \dots$$

$$\zeta(z-1) : 0, -1, -2, \dots, -n$$

} have the same zeros

$$\Rightarrow \zeta(z-1) = \zeta \zeta(z) e^{\gamma(z)} \text{ for some function } \gamma(z).$$

We need $\gamma(z) = \text{constant}$. We verify $\gamma' = 0$.

Take logarithmic derivatives

$$\frac{\zeta'(z-1)}{\zeta(z-1)} = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} + \gamma' \quad (*)$$

Recall that

$$G(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n}$$

Since logarithmic derivative turns products into sums

$$\frac{G'(z)}{G(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{z}{n}} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{G'(z-1)}{G(z-1)} = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \geq 2}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z-1+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) + 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} + \frac{G'(z)}{G(z)} \quad (*) \Rightarrow \gamma'(z) = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma(z) = \gamma = \text{constant}$$

[11]

The above constant is

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log(n+1) \right) \quad (\text{Euler constant})$$

In deed, $\zeta(0) = 1$ by definition of the function ζ .

By [11] $\Rightarrow \zeta(2-1) = 2 \zeta(2) e^{\gamma} \stackrel{2=1}{\Rightarrow} \zeta(1) = e^{-\gamma}$.

Using the definition

$$\zeta(1) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) e^{-1/k} =$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) e^{-1/k} =$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{2}{1} \cdot e^{-1 - 1/2 - \dots - 1/n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log(n+1) \right)} = e^{-\gamma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log(n+1) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n \right)$$

Definition

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{G(z)}$$

Properties of Γ

$$\boxed{1.1} \quad \Gamma(1) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{G(1)} = 1 \quad \text{using } G(1) = e^{-\gamma} \text{ from above.}$$

$$\boxed{1.2} \quad \Gamma(z+1) = z \Gamma(z) \rightsquigarrow \text{" } \Gamma \text{ behaves like a factorial "}$$

In particular, by induction

$$\Gamma(n) = (n-1)! \quad \forall n > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This follows by direct computation

$$\Gamma(z+1) = \frac{e^{-\gamma z - \gamma}}{(z+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{G(z+1)} \stackrel{???}{=} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{G(z)} \cdot z = z \Gamma(z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow G(z) = (z+1) G(z+1) e^{\gamma} \text{ which is true.}$$

(see above)

III $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}$. In particular $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$.

Compute $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) \stackrel{\text{II}}{=} \Gamma(z) (-z) \Gamma(-z) =$

definition $\rightarrow = \frac{e^{-z^2}}{z \Gamma(z)} \cdot (-z) \cdot \frac{e^{z^2}}{(-z) \Gamma(-z)}$

$= \frac{1}{z \Gamma(z) \Gamma(-z)} = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}$ (see above)

IV $\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{z(z+1)\dots(z+n)} n^z$ (Gauss' definition)

We use the definition

$\Gamma(z) = \frac{e^{-z^2}}{z} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} =$

$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-z^2}}{z} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right)^{-1} e^{z/k}$

$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-z^2}}{z} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{z+k} \cdot e^{z\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right)}$

$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{z(z+1)\dots(z+n)} \cdot e^{z\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n - z\right)}$

↓
0

$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{z(z+1)\dots(z+n)} \cdot n^z$

Exercise (Conway VII. 7.3)

Legendre duplication formula.

Use Gauss' definition to check

$$\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2z) = 2^{2z-1} \Gamma(z) \Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Residues Note that $\Gamma(z) = \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(z)}$ is

meromorphic with poles at $0, -1, -2, \dots$ since ζ has

zeros at $-1, -2, \dots$

What are the residues?

$$\text{Res}(\Gamma, -n) = \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} (z+n) \Gamma(z) =$$

111

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} \cancel{(z+n)} \frac{\Gamma(z+n+1)}{z(z+1) \dots \cancel{(z+n)}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} \frac{\Gamma(z+n+1)}{z(z+1) \dots (z+n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(1)}{(-n) \dots (-1)} = \frac{1}{(-1)^n n!} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$$

Remark

It can be shown

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt$$

Mellin transform of e^{-t}
for $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$.

Step 1 Convergence of RHS. Fix z , $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \right| &\leq \int_0^1 |e^{-t} t^{z-1}| dt && \text{since } |e^{-t}| \leq 1 \\ &\leq \int_0^1 t^{\operatorname{Re} z - 1} dt \\ &= \frac{t^{\operatorname{Re} z}}{\operatorname{Re} z} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=1} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} \text{ using } \operatorname{Re} z > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Pick A with $|t^{z-1}| \leq e^{t/2}$ when $|t| > A$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \right| &\leq \int_A^{\infty} |e^{-t} t^{z-1}| dt \leq \int_A^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{t/2} dt \\ &= \int_A^{\infty} e^{-t/2} dt \\ &= 2 e^{-A/2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^A e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt < \infty \text{ by continuity of } e^{-t} t^{z-1} \text{ in } t.$$

Step 2 Using integration by parts, one easily shows

$$\int_0^n \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n}_u \underbrace{t^{z-1} dt}_{dv} = \frac{n^z n!}{z(z+1)\dots(z+n)}$$

Exercise - check the details.

Step 3 Make $n \rightarrow \infty$. From real analysis

$\left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow e^{-t}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This will also be explained below. We will argue that

$$(1) \int_0^n \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n t^{z-1} dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt$$

// step (ii)

$$\frac{n^z n!}{z(z+1)\dots(z+n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(z) \text{ by Gauss' formula}$$

This shows $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt.$

Rigorous justification of convergence in (1)

Claim

$$0 \leq e^{-t} - \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{e^{-t} t^2}{n} \text{ if } 0 \leq t \leq n.$$

Assuming the claim, we prove **Step 3**. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt - \int_0^n \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n t^{z-1} dt = \\ & = \int_0^n \left(e^{-t} - \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \right) t^{z-1} dt + \int_n^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

We claim the terms converge to 0.

term II

$$\int_n^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ because}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \text{ converges by Step 1.}$$

term I

$$\left| \int_0^n \left(e^{-t} - \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \right) t^{2-1} dt \right| \leq \overset{\text{claim}}{\leq}$$

$$\leq \int_0^n \frac{1}{n} t^2 e^{-t} \cdot t^{Re z - 1} dt < \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{n} \cdot t^2 e^{-t} t^{Re z + 1} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{Re z + 1} dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

converges by step 1.

Proof of claim

(a) first inequality

Use $1 - y \leq e^{-y}$ for $y \geq 0$.

Take

$$y = \frac{t}{n} \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{t}{n} \leq e^{-t/n} \Rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \leq e^{-t}$$

To see $1 - y \leq e^{-y}$, let $f(y) = e^{-y} - (1 - y)$,

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f' = -e^{-y} + 1 > 0 \Rightarrow f \uparrow \Rightarrow f(y) \geq f(0) = 0$$

(b) second inequality.

The inequality to prove is

$$e^{-t} - \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{t^2 e^{-t}}{n} \iff$$

$$\iff 1 - e^t \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{t^2}{n} \quad (*)$$

Use $e^y \geq 1 + y$ for $y \geq 0$ proven just as above. Take $y = \frac{t}{n}$

Since $e^t = \left(e^{t/n}\right)^n \geq \left(1 + \frac{t}{n}\right)^n$, to show (*) we show

$$1 - \left(1 + \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{t^2}{n} \iff$$

$$\iff \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{n}\right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{n^2}\right)^n. \quad \text{This is true.}$$

Indeed, use $(1 - y)^n \geq 1 - ny$ for $y = \frac{t^2}{n^2}$

The last inequality can be proved by induction on n .