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Abstract

We give an algebro-geometric derivation of the known intersection theory on the moduli space of stable rank 2 bundles of odd degree over a smooth curve of genus $g$. We lift the computation from the moduli space to a Quot scheme, where we obtain the intersections via equivariant localization with respect to a natural torus action.
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We compute the intersection numbers on the moduli space of stable rank 2 odd degree bundles over a smooth complex curve of genus $g$. This problem has been intensely studied in the physics and mathematics literature. Complete and mathematically rigorous answers were obtained by Thaddeus [7] [8], Donaldson [2], Zagier [11], and others in rank 2, and by Jeffrey-Kirwan [3] in arbitrary rank. These answers are in agreement with the formulas first written down by Thaddeus in rank 2 [7], and by Witten in arbitrary rank [9]. We indicate yet another method of calculation which recovers the exact formulas obtained by the aforementioned authors in rank 2. Our approach works in principle in any rank, and we will turn to this general case in future work.

To set the stage, we let $C$ be a smooth complex algebraic curve of genus $g$. We let $\mathcal{N}_g$ denote the moduli space of stable rank 2 bundles with fixed odd determinant, and we write $\mathcal{V}$ for the universal bundle on $C \times \mathcal{N}_g$. $\mathcal{N}_g$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $3g - 3$. We also fix, once and for all, a symplectic basis
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\{1, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{2g}, \omega\} \text{ for the cohomology } H^*(C). \text{ A result of Newstead [6] shows that the Künneth components of } c_2(\text{End } \mathcal{V}) \text{ generate the cohomology ring } H^*(\mathcal{N}_g). \text{ We will use the notation of Newstead and Thaddeus, writing}

c_2(\text{End } \mathcal{V}) = -\beta \otimes 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \psi_k \otimes \delta_k + 2\alpha \otimes \omega

for classes } \alpha \in H^2(\mathcal{N}_g), \beta \in H^4(\mathcal{N}_g), \psi_k \in H^3(\mathcal{N}_g). \text{ Thaddeus [7] showed that nonzero top intersections on } \mathcal{N}_g \text{ must contain the } \psi_k \text{s in pairs, which can then be removed using the formula}

\int_{\mathcal{N}_g} \alpha^m \beta^n \prod_{k=1}^{g} (\psi_k \psi_{k+g})^{p_k} = \int_{\mathcal{N}_{g-p}} \alpha^m \beta^n,

\text{where } p = \sum_k p_k \text{ and } 2m + 4n + 6p = 6g - 6. \text{ The top intersections of } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are further determined: }

\textbf{Theorem 1} [7][8][2][11][3]

\int_{\mathcal{N}_g} \alpha^m \beta^n = (-1)^g 2^{2g-2} \frac{m!}{(m-g+1)!}(2^{m-g+1} - 2)B_{m-g+1}. \tag{1}

Here } B_k \text{ are the Bernoulli numbers defined, for instance, by the power series expansion}

- \frac{u}{\sinh u} = \sum_k \frac{2^k - 2}{k!} B_k u^k. \tag{2}

In this paper, we reprove Theorem 1. The idea is to lift the computation from } \mathcal{N}_g \text{ to a Quot scheme as indicated in the diagram below. Then, we effectively calculate the needed intersections on Quot by equivariant localization with respect to a natural torus action. The convenience of this approach lies in that the fixed loci are easy to understand; in any rank they are essentially symmetric products of } C. \text{ In rank 2, their total contribution can be evaluated to the intersection numbers (1).}

Quot_{\mathcal{N}_d} \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{N}_d} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}_g \xleftarrow{\mathcal{N}_g \times J}

The idea that the intersection theory of the moduli space of rank } r \text{ bundles on a curve and that of a suitable Quot scheme are related goes back to Witten [10] in the context of the Verlinde formula. Moreover, in [1] the authors have the reverse
approach of calculating certain intersection numbers on Quot in low rank and
genus by using the intersection theory of the moduli space of bundles. In this
note however, the translation of the intersection theory of the moduli space of
bundles into that of the Quot scheme is really very straightforward.

The spaces in the diagram are as follows.

- \( \mathcal{M}_g \) denotes the moduli space of rank 2, odd degree stable bundles on \( C \). By
  contrast with \( \mathcal{N}_g \), the determinant of the bundles is allowed to vary in \( \mathcal{M}_g \).
  There is a finite covering map
  \[ \tau: \mathcal{N}_g \times J \to \mathcal{M}_g \]
  of degree \( 4^g \), given by tensoring bundles. Here \( J \) is the Jacobian of degree 0
  line bundles on \( C \). We will write \( \mathcal{V} \) for the universal sheaf on \( \mathcal{M}_g \times C \), which
  is only defined up to twisting with line bundles from \( \mathcal{M}_g \).

- For a positive integer \( N \), we let \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \) be the projective bundle
  \[ \pi: \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \to \mathcal{M}_g \]
  whose fiber over a stable \( [V] \in \mathcal{M}_g \) is \( \mathbb{P}(H^0(C,V)^{\oplus N}) \). In other words,
  \[ \mathbb{P}_{N,d} = \mathbb{P}(\text{pr}_x \mathcal{V}^{\oplus N}) \].
  We will take the degree \( d \) to be as large as convenient to ensure, for instance,
  that the fiber dimension of \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \) is constant. One can view \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \) as a fine moduli
  space of pairs (\( V, \phi \)) consisting of a stable rank 2, degree \( d \) bundle \( V \) together
  with a nonzero \( N \)-tuple of holomorphic sections
  \[ \phi = (\phi^1, \ldots, \phi^N): \mathcal{O}^N \to V \]
  considered projectively. As such, there is a universal morphism
  \[ \Phi: \mathcal{O}^N \to \mathcal{V} \text{ on } \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \times C. \]

A whole series of moduli spaces of pairs of vector bundles with \( N \) sections,
each labeled by a parameter \( \tau \), were defined and studied in [8] for \( N = 1 \)
and [1] for arbitrary \( N \). \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \) is one of these moduli spaces, undoubtedly the
least exciting one from the point of view of studying a new object, due to its
straightforward relationship with \( \mathcal{M}_g \).

One would expect the universal bundle \( \mathcal{V} \) on the total space of \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \) and
the noncanonical universal bundle \( \mathcal{V} \) on its base \( \mathcal{M}_g \) to be closely related, and
indeed they coincide up to a twist of $O(1)$

$$\mathcal{V} = \pi^* \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}_N,d}(1).$$

(3)

• Finally, $Quot_{N,d}$ is Grothendieck’s Quot scheme parametrizing degree $d$ rank 2 subsheaves $E$ of the trivial rank $N$ bundle on $C$,

$$0 \to E \to \mathcal{O}^N \to F \to 0.$$ We let

$$0 \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}^N \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

be the universal sequence on $Quot_{N,d} \times C$.

For large $d$ relative to $N$ and $g$, the Quot scheme is irreducible, generically smooth of the expected dimension $Nd - 2(N - 2)(g - 1)$ [1]. Then, $Quot_{N,d}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{N,d}$ are birational and they agree on the open subscheme corresponding to subbundles $E = V^\vee$ where $\phi: \mathcal{O}^N \to V$ is generically surjective. The universal structures also coincide on this open set. For arbitrary $d$, $Quot_{N,d}$ may be badly behaved, but intersection numbers can be defined with the aid of the virtual fundamental cycle constructed in [5].

We now define the cohomology classes that we are going to intersect. We consider the Künneth decomposition

$$c_2(\text{End}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) = -\tilde{\beta} \otimes 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \tilde{\psi}_k \otimes \delta_k + 2\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \omega$$

of the universal endomorphism bundle on $\mathcal{M}_g \times C$. In keeping with the notation of [3], we further let

$$c_i(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \tilde{a}_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \tilde{b}_k^i \otimes \delta_k + \tilde{f}_i \otimes \omega, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2,$$

be the Künneth decomposition of the (noncanonical) universal bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_g \times C$. Then

$$\tilde{f}_1 = d, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{a}_1^2 - 4\tilde{a}_2, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = 2\tilde{f}_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{g} \tilde{b}_k^i \tilde{b}_1^{k+g} - d\tilde{a}_1. $$

It is an easy exercise, using that $N_g$ is simply connected, to see that

$$\tau^* \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha, \quad \tau^* \tilde{\beta} = \beta, \quad \tau^*(\sum_{k=1}^{g} \tilde{b}_k^i \tilde{b}_1^{k+g}) = 4\theta,$$

(4)

where $\theta$ is the class of the theta divisor on $J$.  
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On \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \), we let \( \zeta \) denote the first Chern class of \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) and let
\[
c_i(\mathcal{V}) = \bar{a}_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \bar{b}_k^k \otimes \delta_k + \bar{f}_i \otimes \omega, \ 1 \leq i \leq 2,
\]
be the Künneth decomposition of the Chern classes of \( \mathcal{V} \).

Finally, we consider the corresponding \( a, b, f \) classes on \( Quot_{N,d} \):
\[
c_i(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = a_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \bar{b}_k^k \otimes \delta_k + f_i \otimes \omega, \ 1 \leq i \leq 2.
\]

We now show how to realize any top intersection of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) classes on \( N_g \) as an intersection on \( Quot_{N,d} \). Let \( m \) and \( n \) be any nonnegative integers such that
\[
m + 2n = 4g - 3, \ m \geq g.
\]

With the aid of (4) we note
\[
\int_{\mathcal{M}_g} (\tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{g} \bar{b}_k^k \tilde{b}_1^{k+g})^m \beta^n = \frac{1}{4^g} \binom{m}{g} \int_J (4\theta)^g \int_{N_g} \alpha^{m-g} \beta^n.
\]

A top intersection on \( \mathcal{M}_g \) which is invariant under the normalization of the universal bundle \( \mathcal{V} \) on \( \mathcal{M}_g \times C \) can be readily expressed as a top intersection on \( \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \) as follows. We assume from now on that \( N \) is odd, so that the fiber dimension of \( \pi : \mathbb{P}_{N,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g \) is
\[
2M = N(d - 2(g - 1)) - 1.
\]

Then
\[
\int_{\mathcal{M}_g} \left( \tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{g} \bar{b}_k^k \tilde{b}_1^{k+g} \right)^m \beta^n = \int_{\mathcal{M}_g} \left( 2\bar{f}_2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} \bar{b}_k^k \tilde{b}_1^{k+g} - \bar{d}\bar{a}_1 \right)^m (\bar{a}_1^2 - 4\bar{a}_2)^n =
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{P}_{N,d}} \zeta^{2M} \left( 2\bar{f}_2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} \bar{b}_k^k \tilde{b}_1^{k+g} - \bar{d}\bar{a}_1 \right)^m (\bar{a}_1^2 - 4\bar{a}_2)^n =
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{P}_{N,d}} \bar{a}_2^M \left( 2\bar{f}_2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} \bar{b}_k^k \tilde{b}_1^{k+g} - \bar{d}\bar{a}_1 \right)^m (\bar{a}_1^2 - 4\bar{a}_2)^n.
\]

Here we used (3) to write
\[
\bar{a}_2^M = (\zeta^2 + \bar{a}_1 \zeta + \bar{a}_2)^M = \zeta^{2M} + \text{lower order terms in } \zeta.
\]
the latter summand being zero when paired with a top intersection from the base $\mathcal{M}_g$.

Finally, the last intersection number in (7) can be transferred to $\text{Quot}_{N,d}$ using the results of [4]. It is shown there that the equality

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_{N,d}} \tilde{a}_2 M R(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{f}) = \int_{\text{Quot}_{N,d}} a_2 M R(a, b, f)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

holds for any polynomial $R$ in the $a, b, f$ classes, in the regime that $N$ is large compared to the genus $g$, and in turn $d$ is large enough relative to $N$ and $g$, so that $\text{Quot}_{N,d}$ is irreducible of the expected dimension. Moreover, the equality

$$\int_{[\text{Quot}_{N,d}]^{\text{vir}}} a_2 M R(a, b, f) = \int_{[\text{Quot}_{N,d-2}]^{\text{vir}}} a_2 M - N R(a, b, f)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

established in [5] allows us to assume from this moment on that the degree $d$ is much smaller than $N$. The trade-off is that we need to make use of the virtual fundamental classes alluded to above and defined in [5].

Putting (6), (7), (8) together we obtain

$$\int_{N_g} \alpha^{m-g} \beta^n = \frac{(m-g)!}{m!} \int_{[\text{Quot}_{N,d}]^{\text{vir}}} a_2^M \left( 2f_2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} b_1^k b_1^{k+g} - da_1 \right)^m \left( a_1^2 - 4a_2 \right)^n.$$

To prove (1), we will show that

$$\int_{[\text{Quot}_{N,d}]^{\text{vir}}} a_2^M \left( 2f_2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} b_1^k b_1^{k+g} - da_1 \right)^m \left( a_1^2 - 4a_2 \right)^n =$$

$$= (-1)^g (2^{m-1} - 2^{2g-1}) \frac{m!}{(m - 2g + 1)!} B_{m-2g+1}. \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

Equation (10) will be verified by virtual localization. The torus action we will use and its fixed loci were described in [5]. For the convenience of the reader, we summarize the facts we need below.

The torus action on $\text{Quot}_{N,d}$ is induced by the fiberwise $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\mathcal{O}^N$ with distinct weights $-\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_N$. On closed points, the action of $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is

$$[E \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{O}^N] \mapsto [E^{\mu i} \mathcal{O}^N].$$

The fixed loci $Z$ correspond to split subbundles

$$E = L_1 \oplus L_2.$$
where \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are line subbundles of copies of \( \mathcal{O} \) of degrees \(-d_1\) and \(-d_2\). Thus \( Z = \text{Sym}^{d_1}C \times \text{Sym}^{d_2}C \). The fixed loci are labeled by distinct degree splittings \( d = d_1 + d_2 \) and the choice of a pair of copies of \( \mathcal{O} \) from the \( N \) available ones. Note further that
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\mid Z} = L_1 \oplus L_2
\]
where we let \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) be the universal line subbundles on \( \text{Sym}^{d_1}C \times C \) and \( \text{Sym}^{d_2}C \times C \). We write
\[
c_1(L_i^\vee) = x_i \otimes 1 + \sum_k y_i^k \otimes \delta_k + d_i \otimes \omega, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2.
\]

We set the weights to be the \( N^\text{th} \) roots of unity. The equivariant Euler class of the virtual normal bundle of \( Z \) in \( \text{Quot}_{N,d} \) was determined in [5] to be
\[
\frac{1}{e_T(N^{\text{vir}})} = (-1)^g ((\lambda_1 h + x_1) - (\lambda_2 h + x_2))^{-2g} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 \left( \frac{x_i}{(\lambda_i h + x_i)^N - h^N} \right)^{d_i - \bar{g}}.
\]
Here \( \bar{g} = g - 1 \), \( h \) is the equivariant parameter, and \( \theta_i \) are the pullbacks to \( \text{Sym}^{d_i}C \) of the theta divisor from the Jacobian. Moreover, it is clear that on \( Z \)
\[
a_1 = (x_1 + \lambda_1 h) + (x_2 + \lambda_2 h), \quad a_2 = (x_1 + \lambda_1 h)(x_2 + \lambda_2 h),
\]
\[
b_i^k = y_i^k + y_i^k,
\]
\[
f_2 = - \sum_{k=1}^{2g} y_1^k y_2^{k+g} + d_2(x_1 + \lambda_1 h) + d_1(x_2 + \lambda_2 h).
\]

In the equation above, the superscripts are considered modulo \( 2g \).

We collect (11), (12), (13), (14), and rewrite the left hand side of (10), via the virtual localization theorem,
\[
\text{LHS of (10)} = (-1)^g \sum_{d_1,d_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \mathcal{I}_{d_1,d_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}
\]
where the sum ranges over all degree splittings \( d = d_1 + d_2 \) and pairs of distinct roots of unity \( (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \). The summand \( \mathcal{I}_{d_1,d_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \) is defined as the evaluation on \( \text{Sym}^{d_1}C \times \text{Sym}^{d_2}C \) of the expression
\[
((\lambda_1 h + x_1) - (\lambda_2 h + x_2))^{2n-2g} (2\theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + (d_2 - d_1)((\lambda_1 h + x_1) - (\lambda_2 h + x_2)))^m
\cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 (\lambda_i h + x_i)^M \left( \frac{x_i}{(\lambda_i h + x_i)^N - h^N} \right)^{d_i - \bar{g}} \exp \left( \theta_i \cdot \left( \frac{N(\lambda_i h + x_i)^{N-1}}{(\lambda_i h + x_i)^N - h^N} - \frac{1}{x_i} \right) \right).
\]
To carry out this evaluation, we use the following standard facts regarding inter-

sections on \( \text{Sym}^d C \):

\[
x^{d-l} \theta^l = \frac{g!}{(g-l)!} \text{ for } l \leq g, \text{ and } x^{d-l} \theta^l = 0 \text{ for } l > g.
\]

We will henceforth replace any \( \theta^l \) appearing in a top intersection on \( \text{Sym}^d C \) by \( \frac{g!}{(g-l)!} x^l \). Then

\[
\frac{\theta^l}{l!} \exp \left( \theta \cdot \left( \frac{N(\lambda h + x)^{N-1}}{(\lambda h + x)^N - h^N} - \frac{1}{x} \right) \right) = \sum_k \frac{\theta^{l+k}}{l! k!} \left( \frac{N(\lambda h + x)^{N-1}}{(\lambda h + x)^N - h^N} - \frac{1}{x} \right)^k (17)
\]

We further set \( \bar{x}_i = x_i / \lambda_i h \) and \( j = \lambda_1 (1 + \bar{x}_1) - \lambda_2 (1 + \bar{x}_2) \).

In terms of the rescaled variables, \( I_{d_1,d_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2} \) becomes, via (17) and the binomial

\[
\sum_{l_1+l_2+s=m} \left( \frac{m!}{s!} (d_2 - d_1)^s \cdot j^{2n-2\bar{g}+s} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d 2^{l_i} N_{g-l_i} \left( \frac{g}{l_i} \right)^{\lambda_i^{M+l_i-N} - \bar{g} \left( \frac{(N-1)(g-l_i)+M}{((1+\bar{x}_i)^N - 1)^{d_i-l_i+1}} \right) \right).
\]

We expand

\[
j^{2n-2\bar{g}+s} = \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = k} (-1)^{\alpha_2} \binom{k}{\alpha_1} \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \lambda_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot 3_N(s,k) \cdot \bar{x}_1^{\alpha_1} \bar{x}_2^{\alpha_2}
\]

where we set

\[
3_N(s,k) = \binom{2n-2\bar{g}+s}{k} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{2n-2\bar{g}+s-k}.
\]

In [5], we computed the residue

\[
\text{Res}_{x=0} \left\{ \frac{x^a (1 + x)^{N-1+b}}{((1 + x)^N - 1)^{c+1}} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=0}^a (-1)^{a-p} \binom{b+p}{N} \binom{a}{c}.
\]
Thus it is enough to make $N \to \infty$ of all the other terms in (18). Together, the two lemmas show that in the limit $N \to \infty$ of all the other terms in (18). Together, the two lemmas show that in the limit $N \to \infty$ in the above expression. Writing $\lambda_2 = \zeta \lambda_1$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2} \lambda_1^{M + l_1 + \alpha_1 - \bar{g}} \lambda_2^{M + l_2 + \alpha_2 - \bar{g}} = \frac{N}{2} \left(2n - 2\bar{g} + s\right) \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{M + l_2 + \alpha_2 - \bar{g}}}{(1 - \zeta)^{2g - 2n - s + k}}.$$

Lemma 2 below clarifies the $N$ dependence of the sum over $\zeta$. Lemma 3 takes care of all the other terms in (18). Together, the two lemmas show that in the limit $N \to \infty$ the sum over the roots of unity of the terms (18) equals the coefficient of $x_1^{\alpha_1}(-x_2)^{\alpha_2}$ in

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{m!}{s!} (d_2 - d_1)^s \prod_{i=1}^{2} 2^{l_i} \left(\frac{g}{l_i}\right) \cdot k! \cdot \bar{z}_N(s, k) \cdot \left(\frac{x_1 + \frac{d}{2} - l_1}{d_1 - l_1}\right) \left(\frac{x_2 + \frac{d}{2} - l_2}{d_2 - l_2}\right)$$

with

$$\bar{z}_N(s, k) = \left(\frac{2n - 2\bar{g} + s}{k}\right) \cdot \frac{B_{2\bar{g} - 2n - s + k}}{(2\bar{g} - 2n - s + k)!} \cdot (1 - 2^{2n - 2\bar{g} + s - k + 1}).$$

Summing over $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = k$ first, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{m!}{s!} (d_2 - d_1)^s \prod_{i=1}^{2} 2^{l_i} \left(\frac{g}{l_i}\right) \cdot k! \cdot \bar{z}_N(s, k) \cdot \text{Coeff}_{x^s} \left(\frac{x + \frac{d}{2} - l_1}{d_1 - l_1}\right) \left(-x + \frac{d}{2} - l_2\right).$$

We sum next over $d_1 + d_2 = d$. An easy induction on $m$ shows that generally

$$\sum_{b_1 + b_2 = a} \binom{a_1}{b_1} \binom{a_2}{b_2} (t + b_1 - b_2)^m = (t + a_1 - a_2)^m$$

holds whenever $a_1 + a_2 = a$. The base case follows from the following identity which will be used repeatedly below

$$\sum_{b_1 + b_2 = b} \binom{a_1}{b_1} \binom{a_2}{b_2} = \binom{a}{b}.$$
Via equation (19), our expression simplifies to
\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m!} \prod_{k=1}^{2} \left( g_{l_1} \right)^{k!} \cdot \delta_{\infty}(s, k). \quad \text{Coeff}_{x^k}(-2x)^s = 2^{m-1} m! \sum \left( \frac{g_{l_1}}{l_1} \right) \left( \frac{g_{l_2}}{l_2} \right) (-1)^s \cdot \delta_{\infty}(s, s)
\]
\[
= 2^{m-1} m! \cdot \frac{B_{2g-2n}}{(2g-2n)!} (1 - 2^{2n-2g+1}) \sum (-1)^s \left( \frac{g_{l_1}}{l_1} \right) \left( \frac{g_{l_2}}{l_2} \right) \left( \frac{2n - 2g + s}{s} \right)
\]
\[
= 2^{m-1} \frac{m!}{(m-2g+1)!} B_{m-2g+1} (1 - 2^{-m+2g}) \sum \left( \frac{g_{l_1}}{l_1} \right) \left( \frac{g_{l_2}}{l_2} \right) \left( \frac{m - 2g}{s} \right)
\]
\[
= 2^{m-1} \frac{m!}{(m-2g+1)!} B_{m-2g+1} (1 - 2^{-m+2g}).
\]

This last equality and (15) complete the proof of (10), hence of the theorem.

**Lemma 2** For all integers \(a\) and \(k\) we have
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^k} \left( \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{N-1+a}}{(1-\zeta)^k} \right) = (1 - 2^{-k+1}) \cdot \frac{B_k}{k!},
\]
the sum being taken over the \(N^{th}\) roots \(\zeta\) of 1.

**Proof.** When \(N\) is large compared to \(a\), the sum to compute is 0 for \(k < 0\), and \(-1\) for \(k = 0\). We may thus assume that \(k \geq 1\). We introduce the auxiliary variable \(z\), and evaluate
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^{k-1} \left( \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{N-1+a}}{(1-\zeta)^k} \right) = \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{N-1+a}}{1 - z - \zeta} = \frac{1}{z} + N \frac{(1-z)^{N-1+a}}{(1-z)^N - 1}.
\]

Setting \(z = \frac{u}{N}\) and making \(N \to \infty\) we obtain
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^k}{N^k} \left( \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{N-1+a}}{(1-\zeta)^k} \right) = 1 + u \cdot \frac{(1 - u/N)^{N-1+a}}{(1 - u/N)^N - 1} \to 1 + \frac{ue^{-u/2}}{e^{-u} - 1} = 1 - \frac{u}{2 \sinh \frac{u}{2}}.
\]

The lemma follows.

**Lemma 3** Let \(b, \alpha\) be fixed non-negative integers and \(z\) a real number. Then the limit
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^\alpha \sum_{p=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{p} \left( \frac{z + \frac{p}{b}}{b} \right) (-1)^{\alpha-p}
\]
equals the coefficient of \(x^\alpha\) in \(\alpha! \left( \binom{x+z}{b} \right).
\]
Proof. Let us write

\[ f_b(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\alpha} \left( z + \frac{p}{N} \right) \binom{\alpha}{p} (-1)^{\alpha-p}. \]

The recursion

\[ f_{b+1}(z+1) = f_{b+1}(z) + f_b(z) \]

implies by induction that

\[ f_b(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{b} \binom{z}{b-j} f_j(0). \]

We evaluate

\[ N^\alpha f_j(0) = N^\alpha \sum_{p=0}^{\alpha} \binom{p}{j} \binom{\alpha}{p} (-1)^{\alpha-p} = N^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{j} c(j, i) \sum_{p=0}^{\alpha} \binom{p}{N} i \binom{\alpha}{p} (-1)^{\alpha-p}, \]

where \( c(j, i) \) are the coefficients defined by the expansion

\[ \binom{x}{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{j} c(j, i) x^i. \]

We use the Euler identities

\[ \sum_{p=0}^{\alpha} p^i \binom{\alpha}{p} (-1)^{\alpha-p} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < \alpha \\ \alpha! & \text{if } i = \alpha \end{cases}. \]

Making \( N \to \infty \) we obtain

\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} N^\alpha f_j(0) = \alpha! c(j, \alpha). \]

Therefore,

\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} f_b(z) = \alpha! \sum_{j=0}^{b} \binom{z}{b-j} c(j, \alpha). \]

This expression can be computed as the coefficient of \( x^\alpha \) in

\[ \alpha! \sum_{j=0}^{b} \binom{z}{b-j} \binom{x}{j} = \alpha! \binom{x + z}{b}. \]
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