

MANIFOLDS WITH POSITIVE ORTHOGONAL RICCI CURVATURE

By LEI NI, QINGSONG WANG, and FANGYANG ZHENG

Abstract. In this paper we study the class of compact Kähler manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. First we illustrate examples of Kähler manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ on Kähler C-spaces, and construct ones on certain projectivized vector bundles. These examples show the abundance of Kähler manifolds which admit metrics of $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Secondly we prove some (algebraic) geometric consequences of the condition $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ to illustrate that the condition is also quite restrictive. Finally this last point is made evident with a classification result in dimension three and a partial classification in dimension four.

1. Introduction. In a recent work [24] by the first and third author, the geometric implications of *orthogonal Ricci curvature* Ric^\perp on a Kähler manifold M^n , which is defined by

$$\text{Ric}_{X\bar{X}}^\perp = \text{Ric}(X, \bar{X}) - R(X, \bar{X}, X, \bar{X})/|X|^2$$

for any type $(1,0)$ tangent vector X , was studied. For a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ everywhere, it was shown in [24] that the manifold is always projective, has finite $\pi_1(M)$, and has vanishing Hodge numbers: $h^{p,0} = 0$ for $p = 1, 2, n - 1$, and n . Beside the results just mentioned and the comparison theorems relating Ric^\perp to the orthogonal Laplacian Δ^\perp (cf. [24] for the definition), some compact and non-compact examples of Kähler manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ were also illustrated there. Examples summarized in Section 4 of [24] show that the Ric , the holomorphic sectional curvature $H(x) = R(X, \bar{X}, X, \bar{X})$ and Ric^\perp are independent except the obvious relation $\text{Ric}(X, \bar{X}) = \text{Ric}^\perp(X, \bar{X}) + H(X)/|X|^2$.

The goal of this paper is to continue the study of compact Kähler manifolds with positive orthogonal Ricci curvature. Let us denote by \mathcal{M}_n^\perp the set of all compact complex manifolds of complex dimension n which admit Kähler metrics with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. For each $n \geq 2$, the ultimate goal is to understand the class \mathcal{M}_n^\perp . In this paper we shall first illustrate examples of manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ by showing that the Kähler-Einstein metrics on most Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ satisfy $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, and then construct such Kähler metrics on some projectivized vector bundles.

In the second part of the paper we will prove some (algebraic) geometric consequences of the condition $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ to illustrate that the condition is also quite restrictive. Finally in dimension three a classification result is obtained, while in

Manuscript received July 17, 2018; revised June 24, 2020.

Research of the first author supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1401500; research of the third author supported in part by NSFC grant 12071050 and a Simons Collaboration grant 355557.

American Journal of Mathematics 143 (2021), 833–857. © 2021 by Johns Hopkins University Press.

dimension four we obtain a partial classification result. It is our hope that this paper will help to establish that \mathcal{M}_n^\perp forms an interesting class of rationally connected manifolds, perhaps similar to the class of Fano manifolds, and worth further investigation from both the differential geometric and the algebraic geometric point of view.

The referee gives an excellent account of the historic background here, and with his/her permission we included the following two paragraphs from the report. The notion of orthogonal Ricci curvature may be motivated as follows. The curvature type tensor $Y = g \otimes \text{Ric} - H$ appears quite often in geometric analysis, for example, the Weitzenböck formula in the Bochner-Kodaira technique, various second order estimates in the complex Monge-Ampère equation, the Chern-Lu formula in the Schwarz-Yau Lemma, etc. It is first explicitly stated in Wu-Yau-Zheng [30] as a curvature condition that a Kähler manifold (M, g) has *nonnegative quadratic bisectional curvature* if $Y(\xi, \xi) \geq 0$ for every real $(1, 1)$ vector ξ on M . In fact, it is formulated equivalently as $\sum_{i,j} R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(a_i - a_j)^2 \geq 0$ in [30] for any unitary frame and any real constant a_i , in order to facilitate the estimate for the Monge-Ampère equation.

The nonnegativity of quadratic bisectional curvature is geometrically meaningful, as a first non-trivial example (which is not Hermitian symmetric) is constructed by Li-Wu-Zheng [18], based on the formulas of Itoh [16]. That example is $(B_3; \alpha_2)$, i.e., the 7-dimensional Kähler C-space corresponding to the classical simple Lie algebra $B_3 = \mathfrak{so}_7$ coupled with its second simple root α_2 . Based on [18], the nonnegative quadratic bisectional curvature was expected to be the right curvature condition to solve the generalized Hartshorne conjecture, which asserts every Fano manifold with nef tangent bundle is biholomorphic to a Kähler C-space. Using the same strategy of [18] and the computer software, Chau-Tam [5] computed out all Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$, and found that a small amount of the Kähler C-spaces, in both classical four and five exceptional Lie algebras, do not satisfy the nonnegative quadratic bisectional curvature. Thus, the curvature does not fulfill the expectation. To remedy this situation, Ni-Zheng relax the quadratic bisectional curvature to let Y instead act on the $(1, 0)$ type vectors X . This gives rise to the orthogonal Ricci curvature. The nonnegative orthogonal Ricci curvature is algebraically weaker than the nonnegative quadratic bisectional curvature, since every $\sqrt{-1}X \wedge \bar{X}$ is a real $(1, 1)$ vector but not the converse, as not every real $(1, 1)$ vector is decomposable.

We summarize our results on the existence side first. It is clear that the $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ condition requires that the dimension of the manifold to be at least 2 since all Riemann surfaces have $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$. (In higher dimensions, as we shall see in the Appendix, any Kähler manifold M^n with $n \geq 2$ and $\text{Ric}^\perp = 0$ everywhere is either flat, or has $n = 2$ and is locally holomorphically isometric to the product of two complex curves, with constant curvature of opposite signs.) For a product manifold with the product metric, the product will have $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ if both factors have $\text{Ric}^\perp >$

0 and $\text{Ric} \geq 0$. So for $X^n \in \mathcal{M}_n^\perp$ and $Y^m \in \mathcal{M}_m^\perp$, if the metrics involved also have nonnegative Ricci, then the product manifold $X \times Y$ lies in \mathcal{M}_{n+m}^\perp . Observe also, that any small deformation of a manifold in \mathcal{M}_n^\perp is again in \mathcal{M}_n^\perp .

Built upon the works by Itoh [16] as well as Chau and Tam in [5], we illustrate that most of the Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ admit Kähler metrics with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let M^n be a classical Kähler C-space with $n \geq 2$ and $b_2 = 1$. Then the (unique up to constant multiple) Kähler-Einstein metric has $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.*

Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ consists of four *classical* sequences, plus finitely many exceptional ones. We believe that all such spaces with $n \geq 2$ (namely, except \mathbb{P}^1) have $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. However, since our computation is based upon the curvature computations in [16], which was done only for the classical ones, plus some but not all of the exceptional ones, we cannot claim the result for all exceptional cases before carrying out the computation of holomorphic sectional curvature for all of them. Since $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ always holds for metrics with positive quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature, it is interesting to note that the above result, together with Chau-Tam’s work on the quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature, provides *many compact homogenous examples with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, but with negative orthogonal quadratic bisectional curvature (see Section 2 for definition) somewhere.*

For Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 > 1$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is no longer true in general. For instance, as we shall see in discussion a bit later, the flag three-fold $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, which is a Kähler C-space with $b_2 = 2$, cannot admit any Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. It would be an interesting question to know what kind of Kähler C-spaces are in \mathcal{M}_n^\perp .

Since there are only two irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric spaces that are exceptional, in view of [16], we have the following result.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let M^n be a compact Hermitian symmetric space without any \mathbb{P}^1 factor. Then it has $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.*

On the other hand, as we shall see later, for any compact complex manifold N , $\mathbb{P}^1 \times N$ can never admit a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

Another set of examples can be obtained by considering projectivized vector bundles. Let (M^n, g) be a compact Kähler manifold and (E, h) be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r over M^n , equipped with a Hermitian metric h . Let $\pi : P = \mathbb{P}(E^*) \rightarrow M$ be the projectivization of E , namely, for $x \in M$, $\pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}(E_x)$ is the set of all complex lines through origin in E_x . *Note that it is the tradition in algebraic geometry to denote this space as $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$, instead of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ which is used more by differential geometers.* Consider the Kähler metric G on P with Kähler form

$$\omega_G = \lambda \pi^* \omega_g + C_1(L, \hat{h}),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a sufficiently large constant, L is the dual of the tautological line bundle on P , and \hat{h} is the metric on L induced by h . At a point $(x, [v]) \in P$, where $x \in M$ and $0 \neq v \in E_x$, $C_1(L, \hat{h})$ is given by

$$C_1(L, \hat{h}) = \omega_{\text{FS}} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{|v|^2} \Theta_{v\bar{v}}^h$$

where Θ^h is the curvature form of (E, h) and ω_{FS} is the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric on the fiber of π . We have the following:

THEOREM 1.3. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, and (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle over M of rank $r \geq 3$ such that for any $x \in M$ and any $0 \neq v \in E_x$,*

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ric}_{X\bar{X}}^{g^\perp} + R(\det E)_{X\bar{X}} - \frac{r}{|v|^2} R_{v\bar{v}X\bar{X}}^h > 0$$

for any tangent vector $0 \neq X \in T_x^{1,0}M$. Here $R(\det E)$ is the curvature of the determinant line bundle $\det E = \bigwedge^r E$ equipped with the metric induced by h . Then on the projectivized bundle $P = \mathbb{P}(E^*)$, the Kähler metric G with $\omega_G = \lambda \pi^* \omega_g + C_1(L, \hat{h})$ has $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ everywhere when λ is sufficiently large.

Note that the rank requirement $r \geq 3$ here is necessary, as we shall see later that any \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over any base space can never admit a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

As pointed out by the referee, the Ricci analogue of Theorem 1.3 has been established earlier by Yau in 1974 [31, p. 218, Proposition 2], which provided, for the first time, a general curvature criterion for the projective bundle to admit a Kähler metric with positive Ricci curvature.

The curvature condition (1.1) is independent of the scaling of metrics g or h , as well as tensoring of E by a line bundle. When the dimension of the base manifold is 3 or higher, the above theorem gives non-trivial examples of manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. For instance, when $M = \mathbb{P}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) equipped with the standard metric, then for the cotangent bundle $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ or $E = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, with the standard metrics, one can easily check that the condition (1.1) is satisfied. Hence we have the following examples:

Example 1.4. For any $n \geq 3$, the $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ and the $(n + 2)$ -dimensional manifold $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ are in \mathcal{M}^\perp . Similarly, consider the splitting bundle $E = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_r)$ over \mathbb{P}^n , where $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r$. If

$$n - 1 > (a_1 - a_2) + \cdots + (a_1 - a_r),$$

then $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ will be in \mathcal{M}^\perp .

In particular, the Fano fivefold $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ over \mathbb{P}^3 , which is not a complex homogeneous space as it has a section with negative normal bundle, admits Kähler metrics with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Note by [4], this fivefold does not admit a metric with $QB \geq 0$ (QB stands for the quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature. Please see Section 2 for the definition.)

As an interesting contrast, when the base is two dimensional, any \mathbb{P}^k -bundle cannot be in \mathcal{M}^\perp unless it is the product:

THEOREM 1.5. *Let $k \geq 2$, and let P be a holomorphic fiber bundle over a compact complex surface S , whose fiber is \mathbb{P}^k . If P admits a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, then S must be biholomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 and P must be biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^k$.*

So in particular, any non-trivial \mathbb{P}^k -bundle over \mathbb{P}^2 does not admit any Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, even though both the fiber and the base do.

The non-existence side is related to an observation for the condition $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, a result which generalizes a theorem of Frankel [7]:

THEOREM 1.6. *Let M^n be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. If Y_1 and Y_2 are irreducible divisors in M , then $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \neq \emptyset$.*

As an immediate corollary, we know that manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ cannot be the blow-up of an algebraic manifold at a (smooth or singular) point, or a fibration over a curve:

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let M^n be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Then there exists no surjective holomorphic map from M^n onto a complex curve, and there exists no birational morphism $f : M \rightarrow Z$ onto a normal variety Z , where a smooth hypersurface in M is mapped to a (smooth or singular) point.*

A Lefschetz type theorem can also be proved for compact Kähler manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Namely for any smooth complex hypersurface Y , the induced map $\iota_* : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is surjective.

For $n = 2$, Ric^\perp is the same as orthogonal bisectional curvature. So the result of [9] implies that the only M^2 which admits a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ is \mathbb{P}^2 . It turns out that in dimension 3 and 4, \mathcal{M}_3^\perp and \mathcal{M}_4^\perp are also rather small, thanks to the powerful cone-contraction theorems by Mori [20] and Kollár [17] and the numerous related works afterwards. In dimension three we have the following:

THEOREM 1.8. *Let M^3 be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, then M^3 is biholomorphic to either \mathbb{P}^3 or \mathbb{Q}^3 , the smooth quadratic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 .*

In dimension four, we only have a partial result:

THEOREM 1.9. *Let M^4 be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, then M^4 is biholomorphic to either $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, or a Fano fourfold with $b_2 = 1$ and with pseudo index $i \geq 3$.*

The pseudo index $i(M)$ of a Fano manifold M^n is defined to be the minimum of the intersection number $K_M^{-1}C$, where K_M^{-1} is the anti-canonical line bundle and C is any rational curve in M .

Recall that a *del Pezzo manifold* M^n is defined as a Fano manifold with index $n - 1$, where the index is the largest integer r such that $K_M^{-1} = rA$ for an ample divisor A . For $n \geq 3$, such manifolds were completely classified by Fujita in [8], arranged by their degree d which is defined as A^n . They are:

- $d = 1$: $X_6^n \subset \mathbb{P}(1^{n-1}, 2, 3)$, a degree 6 hypersurface in the weighted projective space.
- $d = 2$: $X_4^n \subset \mathbb{P}(1^n, 2)$, a degree 4 hypersurface in the weighted projective space.
- $d = 3$: $X_3^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, a cubic hypersurface.
- $d = 4$: $X_{2,2}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$, a complete intersection of two quadrics.
- $d = 5$: Y^n , a linear section of $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$.
- $d = 6$: $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, or the flag threefold $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$.
- $d = 7$: $\mathbb{P}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{P}^3}$, the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 at a point.

We propose the following:

Conjecture 1.10. A compact complex manifold M^4 of dimension 4 admits a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ if and only if M^4 is biholomorphic to \mathbb{P}^4 , or \mathbb{Q}^4 , or a del Pezzo fourfold: X_6^4 , X_4^4 , X_3^4 , $X_{2,2}^4$, Y^4 , or $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

In other words, we conjecture that for $n \leq 4$, the set of all compact Kähler n -manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ coincide with the set of all Fano n -folds with index $r \geq 3$.

For $n \geq 5$, the set \mathcal{M}_n^\perp contains more examples, and the index could certainly be 1, e.g., $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, or $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ over \mathbb{P}^3 . We believe that all manifolds in \mathcal{M}_n^\perp should be rationally connected. We do not know whether or not they should all be Fano, even though all examples constructed so far are Fano. One could even ask if all such manifolds admit Kähler-Einstein metrics. In any event, for $n \geq 5$, \mathcal{M}_n^\perp should form a very interesting class of algebraic manifolds, which perhaps worths some attention from both differential geometers and algebraic geometers. Some of the results proved in this paper were announced in our survey paper [25] before.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Jun Li for pointing out the fact that any uniformly trivial vector bundle on projective space is trivial (as well as for an outline of the proof), which is crucial to the proof of Theorem 1.5, and to thank Hsian-Hua Tseng for the reference [6], which allows a much shorter proof of Theorem 1.8. We thank Yanir Rubinstein for suggesting to examine the Ric^\perp -Einstein metrics (see the appendix). We would also like to thank the anonymous referee

who made a number of excellent comments and suggestions which improved the readability of the paper.

2. Kähler C-spaces. First let us recall the well-known fact about Kähler C-spaces, they are exactly the orbit spaces of the adjoint representation of compact simple Lie groups. We will follow the discussion of [16] or [5] and references therein. All Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ can be described as follows. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple complex Lie algebra. They are fully classified as the four classical sequences $A_r = \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ ($r \geq 1$), $B_r = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}$ ($r \geq 2$), $C_r = \mathfrak{sp}_{2r}$ ($r \geq 3$), $D_r = \mathfrak{so}_{2r}$ ($r \geq 4$) and the exceptional ones E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 , and G_2 .

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be its Cartan subalgebra with corresponding root system $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, so we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha$ where E_α is a root vector of α . Let $r = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$ and fix a fundamental root system $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. This gives an ordering in Δ , and let Δ^+, Δ^- be the set of positive or negative roots. Fix an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq r$. For any positive integer k , define

$$\Delta_i^+(k) \doteq \left\{ \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^r n_j \alpha_j \in \Delta^+ \mid n_i = k \right\}$$

and write $\Delta_i^+ = \bigcup_{k>0} \Delta_i^+(k)$. Let G be the simply connected simple complex Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and $P \subset G$ the parabolic subgroup whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_i^+} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha$. Then $M = G/P$ is a Kähler C-space with $b_2 = 1$. Conversely, any Kähler C-space with $b_2 = 1$ are obtained this way. Following [16], we will denote this Kähler C-space by (\mathfrak{g}, α_i) .

Let $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \cup \{H_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^r$ be a *Weyl canonical basis* of \mathfrak{g} (see [5] and the references therein), and write $\mathfrak{m}^{+k} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_i^+(k)} \mathbb{C}E_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{m}^{-k} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_i^+(k)} \mathbb{C}E_{-\alpha}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^+ = \bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{m}^{+k}$ is the holomorphic tangent space of M at the base point, and the metric g on (\mathfrak{g}, α_i) given by

$$g = \sum_{k>0} (-kB)|_{\mathfrak{m}^{+k} \times \mathfrak{m}^{-k}}$$

is the unique (up to constant multiple) Kähler-Einstein metric on M . Here B is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Let $e_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} E_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_i^+(k)$, then $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_i^+}$ forms a unitary (left invariant) frame on M , called the *Weyl frame*.

Note that Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ include all the irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_r, \alpha_i) &= \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{C}}(i, r+1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad r \geq 1; \\ (B_r, \alpha_1) &= \mathbb{Q}^{2r-1}, \quad r \geq 2; \\ (B_r, \alpha_r) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}^3, & \text{if } r = 2; \\ II_{r+1}, & \text{if } r \geq 3; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C_r, \alpha_1) &= \mathbb{P}^{2r-1}, \quad r \geq 3; \\
 (C_r, \alpha_r) &= III_r, \quad r \geq 3; \\
 (D_r, \alpha_1) &= II_{r+1}, \quad r \geq 4; \\
 (D_r, \alpha_{r-1}) &= (D_r, \alpha_r) = II_r, \quad r \geq 4; \\
 (E_6, \alpha_1) &= (E_6, \alpha_6) = M_V^{16}; \\
 (E_7, \alpha_7) &= M_{VI}^{27}; \\
 (G_2, \alpha_1) &= \mathbb{Q}^5,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $II_n = SO(2n)/U(n)$ is the space of orthogonal complex structures on \mathbb{R}^{2n} and $III_n = Sp(n)/U(n)$ is the space of complex structures on \mathbb{H}^n compatible with the inner product, with \mathbb{H} being the quaternions. The former has complex dimension $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ and rank $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and the latter has dimension $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ and rank n .

The set of Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ which are not Hermitian symmetric spaces consists of the classical sequences

$$(B_r, \alpha_i)_{r \geq 3}, (C_r, \alpha_i)_{r \geq 3}, (D_r, \alpha_i)_{r \geq 4},$$

where $1 < i < r$ for B_r and C_r and $1 < i < r - 1$ for D_r , and the exceptional ones:

$$(E_6, \alpha_i)_{2 \leq i \leq 5}, (E_7, \alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 6}, (E_8, \alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 8}, (F_4, \alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}, (G_2, \alpha_2).$$

For a simply connected irreducible compact Kähler manifold (M^n, g) , if the bisectional curvature (or orthogonal bisectional curvature) is nonnegative, then by the work of Mok [19] (or the work of Gu and Zhang [9]), either M^n is biholomorphic to \mathbb{P}^n or (M^n, g) is holomorphically isometric to a compact Hermitian symmetric space of rank at least 2. In [30], a weaker curvature condition was considered: a Kähler manifold (M^n, g) is said to have nonnegative *quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature*, denoted by $QB \geq 0$, if at any $x \in M$, for any unitary frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ at x , and for any real constants $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, it holds that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(a_i - a_j)^2 \geq 0.$$

M is said to have positive quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature, denoted by $QB > 0$, if the above quantity is positive whenever these a_i are not all equal. The quantity appeared first in [4] from the Bochner formula in computing the Laplacian of the length square of a $(1, 1)$ form.

In [18], this was verified for the 7-dimensional space (B_3, α_2) that $QB \geq 0$, using the computation of [16]. Then it was proved that the condition $QB \geq 0$ was only satisfied by about 80% of Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$, namely, in [5], Chau

and Tam completely computed QB for all Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ excluding the Hermitian symmetric ones, and their conclusions are the following:

THEOREM 2.1. (Chau-Tam) (i) For $1 < i < r$ and $r \geq 3$, (B_r, α_i) has $QB > 0$ (≥ 0) if and only if $5i + 1 < 4r$ ($\leq 4r$).

(ii) For $1 < i < r$ and $r \geq 3$, (C_r, α_i) has $QB > 0$ (≥ 0) if and only if $5i - 3 < 4r$ ($\leq 4r$).

(iii) For $1 < i < r - 1$ and $r \geq 4$, (D_r, α_i) has $QB > 0$ (≥ 0) if and only if $5i + 3 < 4r$ ($\leq 4r$).

(iv) For the exceptional ones, the following satisfy $QB > 0$:

$$(G_2, \alpha_2), (F_4, \alpha_i)_{i=1,2,4}, (E_6, \alpha_i)_{i=2,3,5}, (E_7, \alpha_i)_{i=1,2,5}, (E_8, \alpha_i)_{i=1,2,8}.$$

On the other hand, for the remaining ones, each of them does not satisfy $QB \geq 0$:

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \left\{ (F_4, \alpha_3), (E_6, \alpha_4), (E_7, \alpha_i)_{i=3,4,6}, (E_8, \alpha_i)_{i=3,4,5,6,7} \right\}.$$

Clearly, if we take all but one of those a_i to be zero in the definition of QB , we see that the condition $QB > 0$ (or ≥ 0) implies $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ (or ≥ 0). So by the above result of Chau and Tam, we know that at least 80% of Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ satisfy $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. It is probably true that all of them except \mathbb{P}^1 satisfy $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Proving this involves the verification of $H < \mu$, where H is the holomorphic sectional curvature of any tangent direction, and μ is the (constant) Ricci curvature of M .

We will take advantage of the calculations of [5, 16] to derive Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, namely, all Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ except \mathbb{P}^1 or those in \mathcal{E}_0 satisfy $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, and we believe that those in \mathcal{E}_0 should satisfy $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. But its verification would be a digression from the main line of discussions here.

Let us start with the verification of $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, or equivalently $H < \mu$, for any irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric spaces M^n other than \mathbb{P}^1 . First for \mathbb{P}^n with $n \geq 2$, in this case H is constantly 2, and $\mu = n + 1$, so $H < \mu$ holds. For the quadric hypersurface \mathbb{Q}^n , with $n \geq 3$ (note that $\mathbb{Q}^2 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is reducible), it can be holomorphically and isometrically embedded in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , so its maximum H is again no greater than 2, while its μ is $n + 2 - 2 = n$, so again we have $H < \mu$. For the complex Grassmann manifold $M^n = \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{C}}(i, r + 1) = (A_r, \alpha_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have $n = i(r + 1 - i)$ and $r \geq 2$ (otherwise $M = \mathbb{P}^1$). As is well known, M^n can be holomorphically and isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^i \mathbb{C}^{r+1})$, and the Ricci curvature of M^n is $\mu = r + 1$, while the maximum H of M^n is no greater than that of \mathbb{P}^N which is 2, so $H \leq 2 < \mu$, and M^n satisfies $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

For type II and type III Hermitian symmetric spaces, which are $M^n_{II} = (B_r, \alpha_r)$ and $M^n_{III} = (C_r, \alpha_r)$, respectively, where $r \geq 3$ and $n = \frac{1}{2}r(r + 1)$, we will postpone the verification of $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ and do it with the other classical Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$.

For the two exceptional Hermitian symmetric spaces, $M_V^{16} = (E_6, \alpha_1)$ and $M_{VI}^{27} = (E_7, \alpha_7)$, again by the computation in the E_6 and E_7 subsections of [5], we see that $\Delta_1^+(k) = \phi$ for any $k \geq 2$ and $\mu = 12$ in the former case, while $\Delta_7^+(k) = \phi$ for any $k \geq 2$ and $\mu = 18$ in the latter case. On the other hand, let us recall the so-called *curvature operator* Q defined in [16] (note that this is not the curvature operator in Riemannian or Kähler geometry). Consider the symmetric product space $S^2T_M^{1,0}$ equipped with the Hermitian inner product

$$(X \cdot Y, \overline{Z \cdot W}) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle X, \overline{Z} \rangle \langle Y, \overline{W} \rangle + \langle X, \overline{W} \rangle \langle Y, \overline{Z} \rangle),$$

where $X \cdot Y = \frac{1}{2}(X \otimes Y + Y \otimes X)$. Now consider $Q : S^2T_M^{1,0} \rightarrow S^2T_M^{1,0}$, the self-adjoint linear operator defined by

$$(Q(X \cdot Y), \overline{Z \cdot W}) = R_{X\overline{X}Y\overline{W}}.$$

Denote by ν the largest eigenvalue of Q . Since $R_{X\overline{X}X\overline{X}} = (Q(X \cdot X), \overline{X \cdot X})$, the maximum of holomorphic sectional curvature H is no greater than ν . The number ν was computed in [16] for all classical and some exceptional Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$. In other words, we always have $H \leq \nu$, so if $\nu < \mu$, then $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

By Table 12 in [16], we know that for $(E_6, \alpha_1) = (E_6, \alpha_6)$ or (E_7, α_7) , $\nu = 2$, while $\mu = 12$ or 18, so $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ for the two exceptional Hermitian symmetric spaces.

For (B_r, α_i) where $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, by the computation in §3.1 in the paragraph right before Lemma 3.2 in [5], we see that $\mu = 2r - i \geq r \geq 3$, while by Table 3-5 of [16], we see that $\nu = 2$ or 1, hence $\nu < \mu$ thus $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

For (D_r, α_i) where $r \geq 4$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, §3.2 of [5] says that $\mu = 2r - i - 1 \geq r - 1 \geq 3$, while Table 9-11 of [16] says that $\nu = 2$, hence $\nu < \mu$ and $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$.

For (C_r, α_i) where $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, §3.3 (the paragraph right before Lemma 3.5) of [5] says that $\mu = 2r - i + 1 \geq r + 1 \geq 4$, while Table 6-8 of [16] says that $\nu = 2$, or 4 when $i = r$, hence $\nu < \mu$ and $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ when $i < r$ or when $r \geq 4$. In the case $r = 3$ and $i = 3$, namely for $M_{III}^6 = (C_3, \alpha_3)$, we have $\mu = 4$ and $\nu = 4$, so in order to conclude $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ we need to show that the maximum of holomorphic sectional curvature of (C_3, α_3) is strictly less than 4. To see that, we will just carry out the computation in its non-compact dual, namely, the bounded symmetric domain D_3^{III} which is the set of all 3×3 complex symmetric matrices Z satisfy $I_3 - \overline{Z}Z > 0$, as the curvature tensor just differs by a sign.

Recall that for type I bounded symmetric domain $D_{p,q}^I$ which consists of all complex $p \times q$ matrices Z such that $I_q - Z^*Z > 0$. Let $\Phi(Z) = \log \det(I_q - Z^*Z)$. Then $\omega_g = \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \Phi(Z)$ is the standard metric on $D_{p,q}^I$. Write $Z = (z_{i\alpha})$, then at the origin 0, the matrix of the metric is the identity matrix: $g_{i\alpha \overline{j\beta}} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, and the curvature tensor is given by

$$R_{i\alpha \overline{j\beta} k\gamma \overline{\ell\delta}} = -\delta_{ij} \delta_{k\ell} \delta_{\alpha\delta} \delta_{\gamma\beta} - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{kj} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\delta}.$$

So for tangent vectors $X = \sum_{i,\alpha} X_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i\alpha}}$ and $Y = \sum_{i,\alpha} Y_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i\alpha}}$, we have

$$-R_{X\bar{X}Y\bar{Y}} = \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta} X_{i\alpha} \bar{Y}_{k\alpha} \bar{X}_{i\beta} Y_{k\beta} + \sum_{i,\alpha,\gamma} X_{i\alpha} \bar{Y}_{i\gamma} \bar{X}_{k\alpha} Y_{k\gamma} = \rho(XY^*) + \rho({}^t X\bar{Y}),$$

where $\rho(A) = \sum_{i,j} |A_{ij}|^2$ for any matrix $A = (A_{ij})$. Since

$$\rho(AA^*) = \sum_{i,j} \left| \sum_k A_{ik} \bar{A}_{jk} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} \rho_i(A) \rho_j(A) = \left(\sum_i \rho_i(A) \right)^2 = \rho(A)^2,$$

where $\rho_i(A) = \sum_k |A_{ik}|^2$, and similarly, $\rho({}^t A\bar{A}) \leq (\rho(A))^2$, we obtain that for any tangent vector $X \neq 0$ at the origin, the holomorphic sectional curvature in the direction of X satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} -H(X) &= -R_{X\bar{X}X\bar{X}}/|X|^4 \\ &= (\rho(XX^*) + \rho({}^t X\bar{X})) / |X|^4 \leq 2\rho(A)^2 / |X|^4 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now the type III bounded symmetric domain D_r^{III} is a totally geodesic subspace in $D_{r,r}^I$, so its holomorphic sectional curvature in any tangent direction is greater than or equal to -2 . While for $r = 3$, it is easy to see from the above bisectional curvature formula that the Ricci curvature of D_3^{III} is -4 . So its compact dual (C_3, α_3) satisfies $\mu = 4$ and $H \leq 2$, thus $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

For Corollary 1.2, since a product metric will have $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ if both of its factors are so and with nonnegative Ricci, we know a compact Hermitian symmetric space will have $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ if it does not contain \mathbb{P}^1 as a factor. On the other hand, by Corollary 1.7, any $\mathbb{P}^1 \times N$ cannot admit any Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. So Corollary 1.2 holds. We should remark that the main part of the computations here were done by [16, 5], which led us to conclude that the condition $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ is satisfied by all Kähler C-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ and $n \geq 2$ except the ones in \mathcal{E}_0 :

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \{ (F_4, \alpha_3), (E_6, \alpha_4), (E_7, \alpha_i)_{i=3,4,6}, (E_8, \alpha_i)_{i=3,4,5,6,7} \}.$$

We believe that each space in \mathcal{E}_0 also satisfies $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, but we cannot claim that since we did not go through the lengthy computation here.

3. Projectivized bundles. In this section, we will consider projectivized bundles that admit $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ metrics. Let (M^n, g) be a compact Kähler manifold and (E, h) be a holomorphic vector bundle over M equipped with a Hermitian metric. Let $\pi : P = \mathbb{P}(E^*) \rightarrow M$ be the projectivized bundle associated with E , namely, for any $x \in M$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}(E_x)$ is the projective space of all complex lines in E_x through the origin.

Let L be the line bundle on P dual to the tautological subbundle, determined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \longrightarrow \pi^* E^* \otimes L \longrightarrow T_{P|M} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $T_{P|M} = \ker(d\pi : T_P \rightarrow \pi^* T_M)$ is the relative tangent bundle. As is well known, the metric h induces naturally a Hermitian metric \hat{h} on L , whose curvature form is

$$(3.1) \quad C_1(L, \hat{h}) = \omega_{\text{FS}} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{|v|^2} \Theta_{v\bar{v}}^h$$

at any point $(x, [v]) \in P$, where $x \in M$ and $0 \neq v \in E_x$. Here ω_{FS} is the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric on the fiber of π . Consider the closed $(1, 1)$ form on P :

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_G = \lambda \pi^* \omega_g + C_1(L, \hat{h}),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. Clearly, for λ sufficiently large, G is a Kähler metric on P .

Historically, the metric G was used in [31, Proposition 1] to show that, for any compact Kähler manifold M^n and any holomorphic vector bundle E of rank at least 2 on M , the metric G on the projectivized bundle P has positive scalar curvature when λ is sufficiently large. At about the same time, in [11] it was shown that, when $E = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-k)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 where $k \geq 0$, so $P = \mathbb{F}_k$ is the Hirzebruch surface, the metric G has positive holomorphic sectional curvature when λ is sufficiently large. In [1], this later construction of Hitchin was generalized to conclude that, when (M, g) has positive holomorphic sectional curvature and E is any Hermitian vector bundle over M , then for sufficiently large λ , the Kähler metric G on $P = \mathbb{P}(E^*)$ always has positive holomorphic sectional curvature.

In the following, we will follow the notations in [1] to compute the Ric^\perp of G . Fix a point $(x, [v]) \in P$, where $x \in M$, $v \in E_x$, and $|v| = 1$. Choose local holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ near x in M^n , so that x corresponds to $z = 0$ and $g_{i\bar{j}}(0) = \delta_{ij}$, $dg(0) = 0$. Also, choose a local holomorphic frame $e = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ for E near x , such that $e_1(0) = v$, and $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(0) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $dh(0) = 0$. We can further assume that at 0 we have $\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} h = 0$ and $R_{v\bar{v}i\bar{j}}^h = \delta_{ij} \xi_i$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Consider the section $u = e_1(z) + \sum_{\alpha=2}^r t_\alpha e_\alpha$ of E . Then $(z, t) = (z_1, \dots, z_n, t_2, \dots, t_r)$ forms a local holomorphic coordinate in P near $(x, [v])$. For the sake of convenience, we will write $t_1 = 1$, and abbreviate $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ as i , and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_\alpha}$ as α , etc. We then have

$$h_{u\bar{u}} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r t_\alpha \bar{t}_\beta h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z), \quad \omega_G = \lambda \pi^* \omega_g + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h_{u\bar{u}}.$$

As in [1], by a straightforward computation, we get at the origin that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{i\bar{j}} &= \delta_{ij}(\lambda - \xi_i), \quad G_{i\bar{\beta}} = 0, \quad G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}; \\ G_{*\bar{\beta},*} &= G_{\alpha\bar{j},\beta} = 0, \quad G_{i\bar{j},\alpha} = -R_{\alpha\bar{v}i\bar{j}}^h, \quad G_{i\bar{j},k} = h_{u\bar{u},i\bar{j}k}; \\ G_{i\bar{\beta},k\bar{\delta}} &= G_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{j}} = 0, \quad G_{i\bar{j},\alpha\bar{\beta}} = -R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}i\bar{j}}^h + \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\xi_i; \\ G_{i\bar{j},k\bar{\beta}} &= h_{u\bar{\beta},i\bar{j}k}, \quad G_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{\delta}} = -h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}h_{\gamma\bar{\delta}} - h_{\alpha\bar{\delta}}h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}; \\ G_{i\bar{j},k\bar{\ell}} &= \lambda g_{i\bar{j},k\bar{\ell}} + h_{u\bar{u},i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} - h_{u\bar{u},i\bar{j}}h_{u\bar{u},k\bar{\ell}} - h_{u\bar{u},i\bar{\ell}}h_{u\bar{u},k\bar{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $X = y + \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^r \sigma_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t_\alpha}$ be any non-zero tangent vector of type $(1, 0)$ at $(x, [v]) \in P$. Denote by R the curvature tensor of G . At the origin, we have

$$R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}} = -G_{a\bar{b},c\bar{d}} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda - \xi_j} G_{a\bar{j},c} \overline{G_{b\bar{j},d}}$$

for any indices a, b, c, d which could be any i or α . Write $\varepsilon_j = \frac{1}{\lambda - \xi_j}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{y\bar{y}\sigma\bar{\sigma}} &= R_{y\bar{\sigma}\sigma\bar{\sigma}} = 0, \quad R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}\sigma\bar{\sigma}} = 2|\sigma|^4, \\ R_{y\bar{y}y\bar{\sigma}} &= -h_{u\bar{\sigma},y\bar{y}y} - \sum \varepsilon_j h_{u\bar{u},y\bar{y}y} R_{v\bar{\sigma}j\bar{y}}^h, \\ R_{y\bar{y}\sigma\bar{\sigma}} &= R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}y\bar{y}}^h - |\sigma|^2 \sum_j \xi_j |y_j|^2 + \sum_j \varepsilon_j |R_{v\bar{\sigma}j\bar{y}}^h|^2, \\ R_{y\bar{y}y\bar{y}} &= \lambda R_{y\bar{y}y\bar{y}}^g - h_{u\bar{u},y\bar{y}y\bar{y}} + 2 \left(\sum_j \xi_j |y_j|^2 \right)^2 + \sum_j \varepsilon_j |h_{u\bar{u},y\bar{y}j}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the Ricci curvature of G at the origin is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{a\bar{b}} &= \sum_c \frac{1}{G_{c\bar{c}}} R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{c}} = \sum_j \varepsilon_j R_{a\bar{b}j\bar{j}} + \sum_\alpha R_{a\bar{b}\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \\ &= -\sum_j \varepsilon_j G_{j\bar{j},a\bar{b}} + \sum_{j,\ell} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_\ell G_{a\bar{\ell},j} \overline{G_{b\bar{\ell},j}} - \sum_\alpha G_{a\bar{b},\alpha\bar{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{y\bar{\sigma}} &= -\sum_j \varepsilon_j h_{u\bar{\sigma},j\bar{j}y} - \sum_{j\ell} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_\ell h_{u\bar{u},j\bar{\ell}y} R_{u\bar{\sigma}\ell\bar{j}}^h \\ R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} &= \sum_j \varepsilon_j R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}j\bar{j}}^h - |\sigma|^2 \sum_j \varepsilon_j \xi_j + \sum_{j,\ell} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_\ell |R_{\sigma\bar{v}j\bar{\ell}}^h|^2 + r|\sigma|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{y\bar{y}} &= \lambda \sum_j \varepsilon_j R_{j\bar{j}y\bar{y}}^g - \sum_j \varepsilon_j h_{u\bar{u}, y\bar{y}j\bar{j}} + \left(\sum_j \varepsilon_j \xi_j \right) \left(\sum_i \xi_i |y_i|^2 \right) + \sum_j \varepsilon_j |\xi_j|^2 |y_j|^2 \\
 &+ \sum_{j,\ell} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_\ell |h_{u\bar{u}, y\bar{y}j\bar{j}}|^2 + \sum_\alpha R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}y\bar{y}}^h - (r-1)R_{v\bar{v}y\bar{y}}^h + \sum_{\alpha,j} \varepsilon_j |R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}y\bar{y}}^h|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $|y|^2 = \sum_j |y_j|^2$, and $\|y\|^2 = \sum_j (\lambda - \xi_j) |y_j|^2$. Consider the quantity

$$\Phi = \|X\|^2 R_{X\bar{X}} - R_{X\bar{X}X\bar{X}} = \Phi_0 + 2Re(\Phi_1) + \Phi_2 + 2Re(\Phi_3) + \Phi_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0 &= \|y\|^2 R_{y\bar{y}} - R_{y\bar{y}y\bar{y}}, \\
 \Phi_1 &= \|y\|^2 R_{y\bar{y}\sigma} - R_{y\bar{y}y\bar{y}\sigma}, \\
 \Phi_2 &= \|y\|^2 R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} + |\sigma|^2 R_{y\bar{y}} - 4R_{y\bar{y}\sigma\bar{\sigma}}, \\
 \Phi_3 &= |\sigma|^2 R_{y\bar{y}\sigma}, \\
 \Phi_4 &= |\sigma|^2 R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} - R_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}\sigma\bar{\sigma}}
 \end{aligned}$$

since $R_{y\bar{y}\sigma\bar{\sigma}} = R_{y\bar{y}\sigma\sigma} = 0$. Let us write $\frac{1}{\lambda} = \varepsilon$. When λ is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0 &= \lambda \left(Ric_{y\bar{y}}^g - R_{y\bar{y}y\bar{y}}^g + \sum_{\alpha=2}^r R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}y\bar{y}}^h - (r-1)R_{v\bar{v}y\bar{y}}^h + O(\varepsilon) \right) |y|^4, \\
 \Phi_1 &= |y|^3 |\sigma| O(1), \\
 \Phi_2 &= (\lambda r + O(1)) |y|^2 |\sigma|^2, \\
 \Phi_3 &= O(\varepsilon) |y| |\sigma|^3, \\
 \Phi_4 &= ((r-2) + O(\varepsilon)) |\sigma|^4
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{y} = \frac{y}{|y|}$. Note that the condition (1.1) in Theorem 1.3 ensures that $\Phi_0 > 0$ when λ is sufficiently large and $y \neq 0$. So under this condition and that $r \geq 3$, it is easy to see that the quantity $\Phi > 0$ for any $0 \neq X = y + \sigma$. Thus the metric G has $Ric^\perp > 0$ at the origin, hence everywhere on P . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

The verification of the curvature conditions in Example 1.4 is straightforward, so we omit it. We will postpone the proof of Theorem 1.5 to the next section, after we obtain some geometric consequences for the curvature condition $Ric^\perp > 0$ first.

4. Geometric consequences of $Ric^\perp > 0$. We begin with the proof of Theorem 1.6, which is a slight modification of an argument of T. Frankel [7]:

Proof. Let (M^n, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with $Ric^\perp > 0$, and Y_1, Y_2 be two irreducible divisors in M^n . We want to show that Y_1 and Y_2 always intersect

each other. Assume the contrary, namely, assume that $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \phi$, we want to derive a contradiction from that.

Let $\gamma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow M^n$ be a unit speed geodesic from Y_1 to Y_2 which realizes the distance between them. Write $p = \gamma(0) \in Y_1$ and $q = \gamma(\ell) \in Y_2$. Denote by $H_p \cong \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ the J -invariant linear subspace of the tangent space T_pM which is perpendicular to $\gamma'(0)$. It is just the orthogonal complement of $\text{sp}\{\gamma'(0), J\gamma'(0)\}$. Define H_q similarly.

Since M^n is Kähler, we have $\nabla J = 0$ where J is the almost complex structure of M , so the parallel translation along γ will send H_p onto H_q , as they are the complex hyperplanes of the tangent space of M perpendicular to γ' .

For a unit vector field X parallel along γ , the second variation of arc length is given by

$$L''_X(0) = \langle \nabla_X X, \gamma' \rangle_q - \langle \nabla_X X, \gamma' \rangle_p - \int_0^\ell K(\gamma' \wedge X) dt.$$

To derive a contradiction, we want to find such an X with negative second variation. Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n}\}$ be an orthonormal tangent frame of M^n at p , such that $\varepsilon_1 = \gamma'$ and $\varepsilon_{n+i} = J\varepsilon_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Parallel translate them along γ and denote by the same letters. We see that both H_p and H_q are spanned by $\{\varepsilon_2, J\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n, J\varepsilon_n\}$.

For each $2 \leq i \leq n$, we can find a complex curve C through p in a neighborhood of p such that T_pC is spanned by $\{\varepsilon_i, J\varepsilon_i\}$. Extend ε_i to a vector field X in C , then we have

$$\nabla_X X + \nabla_{JX} JX = J(-\nabla_X JX + \nabla_{JX} X) = J[JX, X].$$

Since $[JX, X]$ is in C , at p it is perpendicular to γ' , hence we have

$$\langle \nabla_{\varepsilon_i} \varepsilon_i + \nabla_{J\varepsilon_i} J\varepsilon_i, \gamma' \rangle_p = 0,$$

and similarly the same equality holds at q , so we get

$$\sum_{i=2}^n (L''_{\varepsilon_i} + L''_{J\varepsilon_i}) = - \sum_{i=2}^n \int_0^\ell K(\gamma' \wedge \varepsilon_i) + K(\gamma' \wedge J\varepsilon_i) = - \int_0^\ell \text{Ric}^\perp(\gamma') < 0.$$

So at least one of the terms in the left-hand side will be negative. Now if both Y_1 and Y_2 are smooth, then we must have T_pY_1 and T_qY_2 perpendicular to γ' , hence $H_p = T_pY_1$ and $H_q = T_qY_2$. So the above negative second variation term will contradict the fact that γ is the shortest geodesic from Y_1 to Y_2 .

If p is a singular point of Y_1 , let us denote by $C_p \subset T_pM$ the tangent cone of Y_1 at p . It is a subvariety in the tangent space. We claim that the support (reduced part) of C_p , which we will still denote by the same letter for convenience, coincides with H_p . It suffices to show that $C_p \subset V_p$, where $V_p \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\gamma'(0)$ in T_pM . Since $-v \in C_p$ for any $v \in C_p$, so if C_p is not

contained in V_p , then there will be $0 \neq v \in C_p$ which makes an acute angle with $\gamma'(0)$. By Theorem 11.8 of [29], we know that for any $v \in C_p$, there exists a smooth arc $\sigma : [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow Y_1$ such that $\sigma'(0) = v$. In a small neighborhood of p , the minimal geodesic from $\gamma(\epsilon)$ to $\sigma(t)$ with small $t > 0$ would be shorter than ϵ , so

$$d(\sigma(t), q) \leq d(\sigma(t), \gamma(\epsilon)) + d(\gamma(\epsilon), q) < \epsilon + d(\gamma(\epsilon), q) = d(p, q).$$

This violates the assumption that γ is the shortest curve between Y_1 and Y_2 . So we have $C_p = H_p$, and similarly, $C_q = H_q$. Then the term with negative second variation along γ will again contradict the fact that γ is the shortest curve between Y_1 and Y_2 . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. □

An equally effective approach is to work with the energy of a path γ , $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ (see for example [27, 24]).

The argument can be adapted to prove a Lefschetz type result for a pair of complex hypersurfaces (Y_1, Y_2) , or a hypersurface Y in M . The key is that for any pair of hypersurfaces Y_1, Y_2 , one may define Ω to be the space all paths originating from Y_1 and ending with Y_2 . The energy of the path $\gamma \in \Omega$, $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ is defined as usual. It is well known that the critical points of the energy functional are geodesics which intersects Y_i orthogonally (namely normal geodesics). The same argument as above implies the following index estimate, which includes the intersecting result as a consequence since the minimizers can be identified with $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ (cf. [27]).

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let γ be a non-trivial critical point (namely a nonconstant normal geodesic after [24]). Then the index of $\text{ind}(\gamma) \geq 1$. In particular,*

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_0(\Omega, Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \{0\}, \quad \iota_* : \pi_1(Y_1, Y_1 \cap Y_2) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M, Y_2) \text{ is surjective.}$$

When $Y_1 = Y_2 = Y$, this implies that $\pi_1(M, Y) = \{0\}$.

Proof. The index estimate follows verbatim from the above argument in proving that $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \neq \emptyset$. For rest claims, the argument of [27] via the Morse theory and exact sequences, applies (cf. also [23]). □

Note that in [24] it was conjectured that $\pi_1(M) = \{0\}$. The last statement of the corollary is clearly a consequence of an affirmative answer to the conjecture.

Next we prove the following geometric property for manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, which will be a key factor in determining the low dimensional cases:

THEOREM 4.2. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Let C be an irreducible curve in M , with $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow M$ the normalization of C . Denote by g the genus of \tilde{C} and K_M the canonical line bundle of M . Then we have $K_M^{-1}C \geq 3 - 2g$. In particular, $K_M^{-1}C \geq 3$ for any rational curve $C \subseteq M$.*

Proof. First, note that the holomorphic sectional curvature of (M^n, g) is a scalar-valued function H on the projectivized cotangent bundle $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\Omega_M) \rightarrow M$:

$$H([X]) = R_{X\bar{X}X\bar{X}}/|X|^4,$$

where X is any non-zero type $(1, 0)$ tangent vector in M . If U is a piece of smooth complex curve in M , then the inclusion map $i : U \rightarrow M$ has a lift $\tilde{i} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Omega_M)$. For any $x \in U$, consider

$$\text{Ric}^\perp|_U = \text{Ric}^\perp_{X\bar{X}}/|X|^2,$$

where X is any non-zero type $(1, 0)$ tangent vector of U at x . It is a well-defined function on U , and we have

$$0 < \text{Ric}^\perp|_U i^* \omega_g = \text{Ric}_g|_U - \tilde{i}^* H i^* \omega_g \leq \text{Ric}_g|_U - \Theta(i^* \omega_g),$$

where Ric_g is the the Ricci $(1, 1)$ form of ω_g , and $\Theta(i^* \omega_g)$ is the curvature $(1, 1)$ form of the restriction metric $i^* \omega_g = \omega_g|_U$. The inequality is due the non-increasing property of curvature for complex submanifolds.

Now suppose that C is an irreducible complex curve in M , and denote by U its smooth part. Let $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \subset M$ be the normalization of C , and write $\tilde{U} = f^{-1}(U) \subset \tilde{C}$. Since $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ on M , by integrating the positive function $\text{Ric}^\perp|_U$ over U , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad K_M^{-1}C &= \int_C \text{Ric}_g = \int_U \text{Ric}_g > \int_U \Theta(i^* \omega_g) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{U}} \Theta(f^* \omega_g) = \int_{\tilde{C} \setminus \tilde{D}} \Theta(f^* \omega_g), \end{aligned}$$

where D is the divisor in \tilde{C} given by the zeroes of df , and \tilde{D} is the support of D . Note that on \tilde{C} , $f^* \omega_g$ is a degenerate metric, with zeroes at D . In fact, $f^* \omega_g$ is a Hermitian metric on the holomorphic line bundle $T_{\tilde{C}}(D)$, with

$$\int_{\tilde{C}} \Theta(f^* \omega_g) = c_1(T_{\tilde{C}}(D)) = 2 - 2g + \text{deg}(D),$$

and the integral of $\Theta(f^* \omega_g)$ over $\tilde{C} \setminus \tilde{D}$ is just $2 - 2g$. Since all terms involved are integers, the strict inequality (4.2) gives $K_M^{-1}C \geq 3 - 2g$, thus completing the proof. □

For a smooth rational curve $C \subset M$, we have the short exact sequence of vector bundles over C

$$0 \longrightarrow T_C \longrightarrow T_M|_C \longrightarrow N_C \longrightarrow 0,$$

where N_C is the normal bundle of C in M . By taking their first Chern classes, we get

$$c_1(N_C) = c_1(T_M|_C) - c_1(T_C) = K_M^{-1}C - 2 > 0.$$

In other words, we have the following:

COROLLARY 4.3. *For any smooth rational curve C in a compact Kähler manifold M^n with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, the normal bundle of C has positive first Chern class.*

As an immediate consequence, we know that if M^n is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times N$, or more generally, if there is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ where a generic fiber is a smooth rational curve, then M^n cannot admit any Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. In particular, the Kähler C-space $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ does not admit such a metric since it is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

Next let us prove Theorem 1.5. We will divide the proof into three steps. In the first step, we prove that the base surface must be \mathbb{P}^2 . In the second step, we show that the fiber bundle must be the projectivization of a vector bundle. Finally, in step three, we show that the vector bundle must be the trivial bundle tensoring with a line bundle.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $p : P^n \rightarrow S$ be a holomorphic fiber bundle over a compact complex surface S , with fiber \mathbb{P}^{n-2} where $n \geq 4$. Assume that P^n admits a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Then S must be biholomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 .*

Proof. First of all, S is projective since P^n is so. If C is a (-1) or (-2) curve in S , namely, a smooth rational curve with self intersection number -1 or -2 , then since C can be blown down to a smooth or singular point, by considering the proper transform of a smooth curve down stair away from that point, we know that there exists a smooth curve D in S which does not intersect C . Then the smooth hypersurfaces $p^{-1}(C)$ and $p^{-1}(D)$ in P do not intersect, violating Theorem 1.6. So S cannot contain any (-1) or (-2) curve, hence is a minimal surface.

By Corollary 1.7, we know that P , hence S , cannot fiber over a curve. Let κ be the Kodaira dimension of the minimal algebraic surface S . If $\kappa = -\infty$, then the only choice for S is \mathbb{P}^2 since it cannot be ruled. If $\kappa = 0$, then a finite cover of S is either a complex torus or a K3 surface, which admits a non-trivial holomorphic 2-form. Pulling it back to the finite cover of P , we get a violation to the vanishing theorem in [24, Theorem 1.7]. When $\kappa = 1$, S is an elliptic surface, which is not possible. So we are left with the case of $\kappa = 2$, namely, S is a general type surface.

Since S does not contain any (-2) curve, its canonical line bundle K_S is ample. From the fact that P has finite fundamental group and does not have any holomorphic 1 or 2-form on it, we know that S satisfies $q = p_g = 0$, thus

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1 - q + p_g = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2) = 1,$$

and S must be simply-connected. By Riemann-Roch Theorem, we get $h^0(2K_S) = 1 + c_1^2 \geq 2$. Take a non-trivial global holomorphic section σ of the line bundle $K_S^{\otimes 2}$ on S . Then $s = p^*\sigma$ is a non-trivial global holomorphic section of $L^{\otimes 2}$ on P , where $L = p^*K_S$ is a sub line bundle of $\bigwedge^2 \Omega_P$.

The Kähler metric on P naturally induces metrics on L and $L^{\otimes 2}$. By applying the Bochner formula for $|s|^2$, we know that at the point $x \in P$ where $|s|^2$ reaches its maximum, we have $\Theta_{L^{\otimes 2}}(s, \bar{s}, \cdot, \bar{\cdot}) \geq 0$, where Θ is the curvature form. In a small neighborhood of $p(x)$, we may take a local holomorphic section τ of K_S , such that $\tau^2 = \sigma$. Then $t = p^*\tau$ is a local holomorphic 2-form in P such that $t^2 = s$. We have at x that

$$\Theta_{L^{\otimes 2}}(s, \bar{s}, \cdot, \bar{\cdot}) = 2\Theta_L(t, \bar{t}, \cdot, \bar{\cdot}) \geq 0.$$

Note that 2-forms correspond to skew-symmetric matrices under unitary frame of P^n , which can be diagonalized into 2×2 blocks. Since we have $t \wedge t = 0$, we know that there exists unitary frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and dual coframe $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ of P^n at x , such that $t = \lambda\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. So the above curvature condition becomes

$$R_{1\bar{1}v\bar{v}} + R_{2\bar{2}v\bar{v}} \leq 0$$

for any type $(1, 0)$ tangent vector v at x . As in the proof of Theorem 1.7 in [24], this leads to a contradiction to the condition $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ on P . So the $\kappa = 2$ case is not possible, and we have completed the proof of the lemma. \square

The following fact might be well known in algebraic geometry, but we don't know the exact origin of it so we attribute it to Joe Harris, whom we learned it from many years ago.

LEMMA 4.2. (*Joe Harris*) *Let X be a projective manifold with $h^2(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0$, then any holomorphic \mathbb{P}^{k-1} -bundle over X is the projectiviation of some rank k holomorphic vector bundle over X .*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{GL}_k and \mathcal{PGL}_k the (non-abelian) sheaf of holomorphic maps from X into $GL_k(\mathbb{C})$ or $PGL_k(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. We have the short exact sequence of sheaves on X :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{GL}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{PGL}_k \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the vanishing of $H^2(\mathcal{O}^*)$, we get the surjection

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{GL}_k) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{PGL}_k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, the isomorphism classes of holomorphic \mathbb{P}^{k-1} -bundles over X are in one one correspondence with the cohomology classes in $H^1(X, \mathcal{PGL}_k)$, while the isomorphism classes of holomorphic rank k vector bundles are corresponding to elements of $H^1(X, \mathcal{GL}_k)$, so the statement of the lemma holds. \square

In particular, for $X = \mathbb{P}^m$ with any m , since $h^2(\mathcal{O}) = h^3(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, the exponential sequence gives $h^2(\mathcal{O}^*) = 0$, hence the lemma applies.

Combine Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 4.2, we know that the manifold P in Theorem 1.5 must be in the form $\mathbb{P}(E)$ for some rank $r \geq 3$ holomorphic vector bundle E over \mathbb{P}^2 . We want to show that $P = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, or equivalently, $E \cong \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$ for some integer k . We first prove the following:

LEMMA 4.3. *Let E be a rank $r \geq 3$ holomorphic vector bundle over \mathbb{P}^2 , and $P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ admits a Kähler metric $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Then for any line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, $E|_L$ is the tensor product of a line bundle with the trivial bundle.*

Proof. By Grothendieck Theorem, $E|_L = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_r)$ on $L \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Denote by $p : P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the projection, and let $X = p^{-1}(L) = \mathbb{P}(E|_L)$. It is not hard to see that, for the section $C_i \subset X$ corresponding to the quotient line bundle $\mathcal{O}(a_i)$ of $E|_L$, its normal bundle N_i in X will have first Chern class

$$c_1(N_i) = ra_i - (a_1 + \dots + a_r).$$

So the normal bundle of C_i in P will have first Chern class equal to above number plus 1, which has to be positive by Corollary 4.3. Thus $c_1(N_i) \geq 0$ for each i , implying that all a_i are equal. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

The above lemma says that when $P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ over \mathbb{P}^2 admits a Kähler metric with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$, $E|_L = \mathcal{O}(a)^{\oplus r}$ for any line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Since $\det(E|_L) = (\det E)|_L$, we see that a is independent of L , and replacing E by $E(-a)$, we may assume that $E|_L$ is trivial for any line L in \mathbb{P}^2 . In other words, the bundle E on \mathbb{P}^2 is uniformly trivial. By Theorem 3.2.1 in [26] (we learn about this crucial fact from Jun Li, who kindly supplied us with a direct proof of this theorem in our special case), we know that E itself must be trivial. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

5. Proof of Theorems 1.8 and 1.9. Let (M^3, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$. Then M is projective and the scalar curvature is everywhere positive, thus M is uniruled and K_M is not nef. By the cone-contraction theorem of Mori [20] and Kollar [17], the contraction map $\phi_R : M \rightarrow Z$ of an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{NE}(M)$ could only be one of the following:

- (E) $\dim Z = 3$, ϕ_R is birational, and
- (E₁) Z is smooth, and ϕ_R is the inverse of the blowing up of a smooth curve in Z ,
- (E₂) ϕ_R is the inverse of the blowing up of a smooth or singular (3 types) point in Z ;
- (C) $\dim Z = 2$, ϕ_R is a fibration over Z whose fibers are plane conics, and the generic fibers are smooth;
- (D) $\dim Z = 1$, the generic fibers of ϕ_R are Del Pezzo surfaces;
- (F) $\dim Z = 0$, M is Fano.

Note that (E_1) is not possible as any ruling would be smooth rational curve whose normal bundle has negative first Chern class. (E_2) is also not possible as the exceptional divisor is smooth in each of the four cases, and one can take a smooth hypersurface in Z avoiding the point of blown up, and then its pull back in M would be another smooth hypersurface not intersecting the exceptional divisor, violating Theorem 1.6. Similarly, (C) is not possible as a generic fiber would be a smooth rational curve with trivial normal bundle, and (D) is not possible by Corollary 1.7, so we are only left with the Fano case.

In the Fano case, since we know by Theorem 4.2 that the pseudo index of M must be at least 3, so by the recent result of Dedieu and Höring [6], which characterizes projective spaces and quadrics amongst all Fano manifolds by the condition $i(M) \geq \dim(M)$, we know that M^3 must be either \mathbb{P}^3 or \mathbb{Q}^3 , thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Alternatively, since Fano threefolds have been classified, we could also derive the conclusion of Theorem 1.8 without using the deep theorem of [6]. First to rule out the Picard number $\rho(M) > 1$ case. This can be done either by the $n = 3$ case of the generalized Mukai conjecture $\rho(M)(i(M) - 1) \leq n$, which forces $\rho(M) = 1$, or by recalling the results of Mori and Mukai [21] on the classification of all Fano threefolds with $\rho(M) > 1$, which have 88 deformation families. Such a manifold is either *imprimitive*, meaning that M^3 is the blowing up of another Fano threefold Z along a smooth curve, or *primitive*, which means otherwise. The imprimitive cases cannot occur as any ruling in the exceptional divisor would be a smooth rational curve whose normal bundle has negative first Chern class. In the primitive case, Mori and Mukai showed that (Theorem 5 of [21]) either $\rho(M) = 2$ and M is a conic fibration over \mathbb{P}^2 , or $\rho(M) = 3$ and M is a conic fibration over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Neither could occur as the generic fiber would be a smooth rational curve with trivial normal bundle.

For Fano threefolds with $\rho(M) = 1$, which are called *prime* Fano threefolds, there are 17 deformation families, fully classified by Iskovskikh [13, 14]. Let r be the largest integer where $K_M^{-1} = rA$ for some ample divisor A in M^3 . r is called the *index* of M . It is well known that $r = 4$ if and only if $M \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, and $r = 3$ if and only if $M \cong \mathbb{Q}^3$, the smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 . When $r = 1$ or $r = 2$, it is known that M contains a line, namely, smooth rational curve C with $C \cdot A = 1$. See for instance [15, Theorem 4.5.8]. So the pseudo index is 1 or 2, contradicting Theorem 4.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Next let us focus on the 4-dimensional case. Let (M^4, g) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension 4 with $\text{Ric}^\perp > 0$ everywhere. Then we know that M^4 is projective, simply-connected, and uniruled. Denote by $i(M)$ the pseudo index of M^4 , namely, the minimum of $K_M^{-1}C$ for all rational curve C in M . We know that $i(M) \geq 3$ by Theorem 4.2. Since M^4 cannot be the blowing up of a point by Corollary 1.7, so Theorem 1.1 of [3] implies that there is no non-nef extremal ray. In other words, any extremal ray R of M must be nef, meaning that the associated

contraction map ϕ_R is of fiber type. The target space cannot be of dimension one or three, by Corollary 1.7 or Corollary 4.3, respectively. So the target has to be of dimension two or zero.

By Part 6 of Theorem 4.1.3 in [2], we know that either M^4 is a Fano fourfold with Picard number $\rho(M) = 1$, or ϕ_R is an equidimensional fibration over a normal surface with general fiber being a del Pezzo surface. In the latter case, by Theorem 1.3 of [12], we know that ϕ_R is actually a projective bundle, and the target space is smooth. Now Theorem 1.5 kicks in and enables us to conclude that M^4 must be $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.9.

6. Appendix: Kähler manifolds with $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv c$. It is obvious that $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$ for any complex curve M^1 , and it is natural to wonder what kind of Kähler manifolds in higher dimensions will have flat orthogonal Ricci curvature. This appendix is to show that $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$ (as well as $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv c$) has strong consequence on R . This also indicates that \mathcal{M}^\perp forms a very special class. We thank Yanir Rubinstein for suggesting to examine the Ric^\perp -Einstein metrics.

First on a manifold M^n with $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$, where $n \geq 2$, we have

$$|X|^2 \text{Ric}_{X\bar{X}} = R_{X\bar{X}X\bar{X}}$$

for any type $(1,0)$ tangent vector X . By the symmetry properties of the curvature tensor, one can rewrite the above as

$$(6.1) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = \frac{1}{4}(R_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{\ell}} + R_{k\bar{\ell}}g_{i\bar{j}} + R_{i\bar{\ell}}g_{k\bar{j}} + R_{k\bar{j}}g_{i\bar{\ell}}),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a local tangent frame of M^n and $R_{i\bar{j}}$ are the components of the Ricci tensor. If we choose a unitary frame e such that $R_{i\bar{j}} = r_i \delta_{ij}$, then under this frame we have

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = \frac{1}{4}(r_i + r_k)(\delta_{ij}\delta_{k\ell} + \delta_{i\ell}\delta_{kj}).$$

By letting $i = j$ and $k = \ell$, we get

$$R_{i\bar{i}i\bar{i}} = r_i, \quad R_{i\bar{i}k\bar{k}} = \frac{1}{4}(r_i + r_k) \quad \text{if } i \neq k.$$

Fix i and sum up over k , we get $r_i = r_i + \frac{1}{4}(n-2)r_i + S$, where S is the scalar curvature. We have $S = 0$ since $\text{Ric}^\perp = 0$, so we get $(n-2)r_i = 0$ for each i , namely, when $n > 2$, the Ricci tensor, hence the curvature tensor, will be identically zero.

For $n = 2$, since $S = 0$, the equation (6.1) says exactly that the Weyl curvature tensor vanishes, hence M^2 is conformally flat. By [28], we know that either M^2 is flat, or it is locally holomorphically isometric to a product of complex curves $C_1 \times C_2$, where C_1 has constant curvature $a > 0$ and C_2 has constant curvature $-a$. To summarize, we have the following:

THEOREM 6.1. *Let M^n be a Kähler manifold M^n with $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$. If $n \geq 3$, then M^n is flat. If $n = 2$, then M^2 is conformally flat, which means locally it is either flat or the product of two complex curves, with constant curvature of opposite values.*

As an immediate consequence, one can state the following:

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let M^n be a compact Kähler manifold M^n with $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$ and $n \geq 2$. Then either it is a finite under cover of a flat complex torus, or $n = 2$ and $M^2 = \mathbb{P}(E)$ where E is a unitary flat holomorphic vector bundle of rank two over a compact complex curve Σ_g of genus $g \geq 2$.*

There is also an alternative way to prove the above theorem, in which we view Ric^\perp as the holomorphic sectional curvature of an algebraic curvature operator risen from the one acting on the two-forms via the Bochner formula. Recall the notations from the appendix of [22] and define an algebraic (Kähler) curvature operator

$$R_{\text{Ric}} = \text{Ric} \bar{\wedge} \text{id},$$

where for any $A, B : T'_x M \rightarrow T'_x M$ Hermitian symmetric ($\bar{A}(X) = A(\bar{X}) = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \bar{\wedge} B(X \wedge \bar{Y}), \overline{Z \wedge \bar{W}} \rangle \doteq & \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle (A \wedge \bar{B} + B \wedge \bar{A})(X \wedge \bar{Y}), \overline{Z \wedge \bar{W}} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \langle (A \wedge \bar{B} + B \wedge \bar{A})(W \wedge \bar{Y}), \overline{Z \wedge \bar{X}} \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\text{Ric}^\perp(X, \bar{X}) = H_{R_{\text{Ric}} - R}(X) / |X|^2$. Here $H_{\tilde{R}}(X)$ is the holomorphic sectional curvature of $\tilde{R} = R_{\text{Ric}} - R$. From this it is easy to see that $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$ implies that $\tilde{R} \equiv 0$. Hence $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv 0$, via the decomposition of the curvature operators, induces that either $n = 1$, or $n = 2$ and R is conformally flat, or $n \geq 3$ and R is flat.

Similarly if the curvature operator R has $\text{Ric}^\perp(X, \bar{X}) = c|X|^2$, namely R has constant Ric^\perp , then \tilde{R} must be a multiple of the identity (namely the curvature of \mathbb{P}^n). This implies that either $n = 2$ and R is conformally flat (classified as above), or R is a multiple of identity (namely of constant holomorphic sectional curvature). In conclusion, Kähler metrics with $\text{Ric}^\perp \equiv c$ forms a more special class than the Kähler-Einstein metrics.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CA 92093

E-mail: lni@math.ucsd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210

E-mail: wang.8973@osu.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHONGQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
CHONGQING 401331, CHINA

E-mail: 20190045@cqnu.edu.cn, franciszheng@yahoo.com

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alvarez, G. Heier, and F. Zheng, On projectivized vector bundles and positive holomorphic sectional curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146** (2018), no. 7, 2877–2882.
- [2] M. Andreatta and M. Mella, Morphisms of projective varieties from the viewpoint of minimal model theory, *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **413** (2003), 1–72.
- [3] M. Andreatta and G. Occhetta, Special rays in the Mori cone of a projective variety, *Nagoya Math. J.* **168** (2002), 127–137.
- [4] R. L. Bishop and S. I. Goldberg, On the second cohomology group of a Kaehler manifold of positive curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 119–122.
- [5] A. Chau and L.-F. Tam, Kähler C -spaces and quadratic bisectional curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **94** (2013), no. 3, 409–468.
- [6] T. Dedieu and A. Höring, Numerical characterisation of quadrics, *Algebr. Geom.* **4** (2017), no. 1, 120–135.
- [7] T. Frankel, Manifolds with positive curvature, *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), 165–174.
- [8] T. Fujita, *Classification Theories of Polarized Varieties, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, vol. 155, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [9] H. Gu and Z. Zhang, An extension of Mok’s theorem on the generalized Frankel conjecture, *Sci. China Math.* **53** (2010), no. 5, 1253–1264.
- [10] G. Heier and B. Wong, On projective Kähler manifolds of partially positive curvature and rational connectedness, *Doc. Math.* **25** (2020), 219–238.
- [11] N. Hitchin, On the curvature of rational surfaces, *Differential Geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVII, Part 2, Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1973)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975, pp. 65–80.
- [12] A. Höring and C. Novelli, Mori contractions of maximal length, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **49** (2013), no. 1, 215–228.
- [13] V. A. Iskovskikh, Fano 3-folds. i, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **41** (1977), 516–562.
- [14] ———, Fano 3-folds. ii, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **42** (1978), 506–549.
- [15] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov, Fano varieties, *Algebraic Geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247.
- [16] M. Itoh, On curvature properties of Kähler C -spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **30** (1978), no. 1, 39–71.
- [17] J. Kollár, Extremal rays on smooth threefolds, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **24** (1991), no. 3, 339–361.
- [18] Q. Li, D. Wu, and F. Zheng, An example of compact Kähler manifold with nonnegative quadratic bisectional curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), no. 6, 2117–2126.
- [19] N. Mok, The uniformization theorem for compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **27** (1988), no. 2, 179–214.
- [20] S. Mori, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **116** (1982), no. 1, 133–176.
- [21] S. Mori and S. Mukai, Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$, *Manuscripta Math.* **36** (1981/82), no. 2, 147–162.
- [22] L. Ni and L.-F. Tam, Poincaré-Lelong equation via the Hodge-Laplace heat equation, *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), no. 11, 1856–1870.
- [23] L. Ni and J. Wolfson, The Lefschetz theorem for CR submanifolds and the nonexistence of real analytic Levi flat submanifolds, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **11** (2003), no. 3, 553–564.
- [24] L. Ni and F. Zheng, Comparison and vanishing theorems for Kähler manifolds, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **57** (2018), no. 6, Paper No. 151, 31.
- [25] ———, On orthogonal Ricci curvature, *Advances in Complex Geometry, Contemp. Math.*, vol. 735, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019, pp. 203–215.

- [26] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, and H. Spindler, *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1980.
- [27] R. Schoen and J. Wolfson, Theorems of Barth-Lefschetz type and Morse theory on the space of paths, *Math. Z.* **229** (1998), no. 1, 77–89.
- [28] S. Tanno, 4-dimensional conformally flat Kähler manifolds, *Tōhoku Math. J. (2)* **24** (1972), 501–504.
- [29] H. Whitney, Tangents to an analytic variety, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **81** (1965), 496–549.
- [30] D. Wu, S.-T. Yau, and F. Zheng, A degenerate Monge-Ampère equation and the boundary classes of Kähler cones, *Math. Res. Lett.* **16** (2009), no. 2, 365–374.
- [31] S.-T. Yau, On the curvature of compact Hermitian manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **25** (1974), 213–239.