

Math 280C, Spring 2005

Martingale Representation Theorem

The following fundamental result is due to H. Kunita & S. Watanabe. We work with the canonical Brownian motion; notation is that used in class.

Theorem. *If $F \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_1)$, then there is a unique element H of \mathcal{L}^2 such that $H_s \equiv 0$ for $s > 1$ and*

$$(1) \quad F = \mathbf{E}[F] + \int_0^1 H_s dB_s$$

almost surely.

Proof. Substituting $F - \mathbf{E}[F]$ for F , we can reduce to the case $\mathbf{E}[F] = 0$.

Let I denote the vector space of random variables of the form $(H \bullet B)_1$, where $H \in \mathcal{L}^2$ vanishes on $\Omega \times (1, \infty)$, and define $L := \{G \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_1) : \mathbf{E}[G] = 0\}$. Evidently I is a closed subspace of L , and our goal is to show that $I = L$. To this end it suffices to show that the only element of L that is orthogonal to every element of I is 0.

So fix $F \in L$ and suppose that $\mathbf{E}[FJ] = 0$ for every stochastic integral $J = H \bullet B$ in I . Given times $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n \leq 1$ and real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, define an element of \mathcal{L}^2 (in fact, of \mathcal{L}_e^2) by

$$K_s := i \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j 1_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Let M be the martingale $K \bullet B$, and define

$$X_t := \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_t),$$

where $\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t K_s^2 ds$ is the “quadratic variation” process of M . As discussed in class, Itô’s formula implies that X is a martingale with $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathbf{E}[X_t^2] < \infty$; moreover,

$$X_t = 1 + \int_0^t X_s K_s dB_s, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

almost surely. Since $|K_s(\omega)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|$, it follows that $XK \in \mathcal{L}^2$ and so the random variable $X_1 - 1$ is an element of I . Thus, $\mathbf{E}[F(X_1 - 1)] = 0$, so

$$(2) \quad 0 = \mathbf{E}[F] = \mathbf{E}[FX_1].$$

Using the fact that $\langle M \rangle_1$ is non-random, we deduce from (2) that

$$\mathbf{E}[F \exp(M_1)] = 0;$$

more explicitly,

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{E} \left[F \prod_{j=1}^n e^{i\lambda_j(B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}})} \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[F \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^n i\lambda_j(B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}}) \right) \right] = 0.$$

Invoking the uniqueness theorem for characteristic functions (or Weierstrass' theorem) we deduce from (3) that

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{E} \left[F \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}}) \right] = 0$$

for all bounded continuous functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n . The monotone class theorem now allows us to deduce from (4) that

$$\mathbf{E}[FG] = 0$$

for every bounded \mathcal{F}_1 -measurable function G . In particular,

$$\mathbf{E}[F \arctan(F)] = 0$$

and so $F = 0$ almost surely because $x \arctan(x) > 0$ unless $x = 0$. \square

Straightforward localization arguments lead to the following

Corollary. *If M is a local martingale (on the canonical sample space of the Brownian motion B !) with right-continuous sample paths, then there is an essentially unique integrand $H = H_s(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2$ such that*

$$(5) \quad M_t = \int_0^t H_s dB_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

almost surely. In particular, every right-continuous local martingale of the Brownian motion has continuous paths.

Proof. We only comment on some aspects of the proof. The uniqueness of H is in the sense of \mathcal{L}^2 . Concerning the final assertion, suppose M is a right-continuous local martingale. Then by (5), M agrees almost surely with a stochastic integral, which has continuous sample paths. It follows that M has continuous sample paths. \square

One drawback of the theorem is that it provides no clue as to how one might find the process H appearing in the representation formula (1). Before proceeding with some examples, a general remark is in order. Let F be as in (1), and assume for simplicity that

$\mathbf{E}[F] = 0$. Since the Brownian filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) is right continuous, the martingale $\mathbf{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t]$ admits a right-continuous version F_t . Taking conditional expectations in (1) we find that

$$F_t = \int_0^t H_s dB_s, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

almost surely. Now choose $K \in \mathcal{L}^2$, and let M^K denote the martingale $K \bullet B$. Then $F_t M_t^K - \int_0^t H_s K_s ds$ is a martingale, and so

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{E}[F_t M_t^K] = \int_0^t \mathbf{E}[H_s K_s] ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Now in (6) take $t = 1$, and take K to be of the form $K_s = 1_A 1_{(v,1]}$, where $A \in \mathcal{F}_u$ and $0 < u < v < 1$. In this case $M_1^K = 1_A(B_1 - B_v)$, so (6) reads

$$\mathbf{E}[F(B_1 - B_v); A] = \int_v^1 \mathbf{E}[H_s; A] ds;$$

equivalently,

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{E}[F(B_1 - B_v)|\mathcal{F}_u] = \int_v^1 \mathbf{E}[H_s|\mathcal{F}_u] ds.$$

Proceeding heuristically, let us differentiate (7) with respect to v and then evaluate at $v = u$:

$$(8) \quad -\frac{d}{dv} \mathbf{E}[F B_v | \mathcal{F}_u] \Big|_{v=u} = \frac{d}{dv} \mathbf{E}[F(B_1 - B_v) | \mathcal{F}_u] \Big|_{v=u} = -\mathbf{E}[H_u | \mathcal{F}_u] = -H_u.$$

In principle, this gives us a way to compute the process H , provided we can evaluate the mess on the left side of (8). This can be done for sufficiently smooth F using an “integration by parts” formula with respect to the measure \mathbf{P} on the sample space of the Brownian motion. (This integration by parts transfers the derivative from B to F). The formula is beyond the scope of the present note, but a nice discussion can be found in volume 2 of “Diffusions, Markov Processes, and Martingales” by L.C.G. Rogers & D. Williams. The resulting expression for H (in terms of a conditional expectation of the derivative of F) is known as the Clark/Ocone Formula.

Turning to examples, consider the case $F = f(B_1)$. Assume for the moment that f is smooth and bounded. Then $F_t = P_{1-t}f(B_t)$, where

$$P_s f(x) := \mathbf{E}_x[f(B_s)] = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_s(x, y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(x-y)^2/2t} f(y) dy$$

is the transition operator for Brownian motion:

$$\mathbf{E}_\mu[f(B_{t+s})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{E}_{B_t}[f(B_s)] = P_s f(B_t).$$

An appeal to the dominated convergence theorem shows that $P_{1-t}f(x)$ is a smooth function of $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, 1]$; Itô's formula therefore yields

$$(9) \quad F_t = P_{1-t}f(B_t) = P_1f(0) + \int_0^t P_{1-s}(f')(B_s) dB_s$$

because $[\partial/\partial t]P_{1-t}f(x) = -1/2[\partial^2/\partial x^2]P_{1-t}f(x)$. Thus, $H_s = P_{1-s}(f')(B_s)$ in this case. An approximation argument shows that (9) persists for general measurable f subject only to the condition that $f(B_1)$ be square integrable. An interesting consequence of (9) is the ‘‘Poincaré inequality’’

$$(10) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} [f(x) - \bar{f}]^2 \mu(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} [f'(x)]^2 \mu(dx),$$

where μ denotes the standard normal distribution (which is the distribution of B_1 under \mathbf{P}_0) and $\bar{f} := \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) \mu(dx) = P_1f(0)$. To see (10) use (9) to calculate the variance of F :

$$(11) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} [f(x) - \bar{f}]^2 \mu(dx) = \mathbf{E}[[F_1 - \mathbf{E}(F_1)]^2] = \int_0^1 \mathbf{E}[[P_{1-s}(f')(B_s)]^2] ds.$$

But by the Schwarz inequality, $[P_{1-s}(f')(x)]^2 \leq P_{1-s}([f']^2)(x)$, so the right side of (11) is dominated by

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{E}[P_{1-s}([f']^2)(B_s)] ds = \int_0^1 P_s(P_{1-s}([f']^2))(0) ds = P_1([f']^2)(0) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} [f']^2 d\mu.$$

Continuing in the same vein, let f and g be smooth bounded *increasing* functions. Develop $f(B_1)$ and $g(B_1)$ as in (9) and then take expectations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[f(B_1)g(B_1)] &= \mathbf{E}[f(B_1)] \mathbf{E}[g(B_1)] + \int_0^1 \mathbf{E}[P_{1-s}(f')(B_s) P_{1-s}(g')(B_s)] ds \\ &\geq \mathbf{E}[f(B_1)] \mathbf{E}[g(B_1)], \end{aligned}$$

because f' and g' are positive by hypothesis. Thus, *increasing functions of B_1 are positively correlated*.

One final example shows how (8) can be used, at least in simple cases. Take $F = \int_0^1 B_s ds$. As an exercise in conditional expectations with respect to Gaussian distributions, show that

$$\mathbf{E}[F(B_1 - B_v)] = \int_v^1 (s - v) ds = (1 - v)^2/2, \quad 0 < v < 1.$$

Consequently the left side of (8) is $-(1 - u)$, and so $H_u = (1 - u)$ in this case. That is,

$$(12) \quad \int_0^1 B_s ds = \int_0^1 (1 - s) dB_s$$

since $\mathbf{E}[F] = 0$ by symmetry. Of course, (12) could have been obtained directly from the integration-by-parts formula for Itô integrals.