

Excursions Above the Minimum for Diffusions *

P.J. Fitzsimmons

May 13, 1998

1. Introduction

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a regular diffusion process on an interval $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $H_t := \min_{0 \leq u \leq t} X_u$ denote the past minimum process of X and consider the excursions of X above its past minimum level: If $[a, b]$ is a maximal interval of constancy of $t \mapsto H_t$, then $(X_t : a \leq t \leq b)$ is the “excursion above the minimum” starting at time a and level $y = H_a$. These excursions, when indexed by the level at which they begin, can be regarded (collectively) as a point process. The independent increments property of the first-passage process of X implies that this point process is Poissonian in nature, albeit non-homogeneous in intensity. Moreover, intuition tells us that the distribution of an excursion above the minimum $(X_t : a \leq t \leq b)$ should be governed by the Itô excursion law corresponding to excursions above the fixed level $y = H_a(\omega)$.

Our first task is to render precise the ruminations of the preceding paragraph. This is accomplished in sections 2 and 3 by applying Maisonneuve’s theory of exit systems [10] to a suitable auxiliary process (\bar{X}_t) associated with X . The basic result, stated in section 2, affirms the existence of a “Lévy system” for the point process of excursions of X above its past minimum.

In sections 4, 5, and 6 we discuss several applications of the Lévy system constructed in section 3; these applications concern path decompositions of X involving the minimum process H . Such decompositions, and related results, have been found by various authors (see [6, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]), most often in the special case where X is Brownian motion. The possibility of using Lévy systems to give a unified treatment of path decompositions is, of course, not surprising. In an excellent synthesis [13] Pitman has shown how the existence of a Lévy system for a point process attached to a Markov process leads naturally to various path decompositions of the Markov process.

In section 4 we obtain a general version of Williams’ decomposition of a diffusion at its global minimum. A “local” version of Williams’ decomposition can be found in section 5. In section 6 we give a new proof of a result of Vervaat [17], which states that a Brownian bridge, when split at its minimum and suitably “rearranged” becomes a (scaled) Brownian

* This is a lightly edited form of a manuscript written in the spring of 1985.

excursion. Indeed, we produce an inversion of Vervaat's transformation, showing how a Brownian excursion may be split and rearranged to yield Brownian bridge.

2. Notation and the basic result

Let $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \theta_t, X_t, P^x)$ be a canonically defined regular diffusion on an interval $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. Here Ω denotes the space of paths $\omega: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow E \cup \{\Delta\}$ which are absorbed in the cemetery point $\Delta \notin E$ at time $\zeta(\omega)$, and which are continuous on $[0, \zeta(\omega)[$. For $t \geq 0$, $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$, and $\theta_t \omega$ denotes the path $u \mapsto \omega(u + t)$. The σ -fields \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_t ($t \geq 0$) are the usual Markovian completions of $\mathcal{F}^\circ = \sigma\{X_u : u \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\circ = \sigma\{X_u : 0 \leq u \leq t\}$ respectively. The law P^x on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^\circ)$ corresponds to X started at $x \in E$. We shall also make use of the killing operators (k_t) defined for $t \geq 0$ by

$$k_t \omega(u) = \begin{cases} \omega(u), & u < t, \\ \Delta, & u \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Let $A = \inf E$, $B = \sup E$, and write $E^\circ =]A, B[$. We assume throughout the paper that $A \notin E$, and that $B \in E$ if and only if B is a regular boundary point which is not a trap for X . In particular, these assumptions imply that the transition kernels of X are absolutely continuous with respect to the speed measure m (recalled below). See §4.11 of Itô-McKean [8].

Let s (resp. m , resp. k) denote a scale function (resp. speed measure, resp. killing measure) for X . Recall from [8] that the generator \mathcal{G} of X has the form

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{G}u(x) \cdot m(dx) = du^+(x) - u(x) \cdot k(dx), \quad x \in E^\circ,$$

for $u \in D(\mathcal{G})$, the domain of \mathcal{G} . Here and elsewhere u^+ denotes the scale derivative:

$$u^+(x) = \lim_{y \downarrow x} \frac{u(y) - u(x)}{s(y) - s(x)}.$$

Let $(U^\alpha : \alpha > 0)$ denote the resolvent family of X . Subsequent calculations require an explicit expression for the density of $U^\alpha(x, dy)$ with respect to $m(dy)$. Recall from [8] that for each $\alpha > 0$ there are strictly positive, linearly independent solutions g_1^α and g_2^α of

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{G}g(x) = \alpha g(x), \quad x \in E^\circ;$$

g_1^α (resp. g_2^α) is an increasing (resp. decreasing) solution of (2.2) which also satisfies the appropriate boundary condition at A (resp. B). Both g_1^α and g_2^α are uniquely determined

up to a positive multiple. We sometimes drop the superscript α , writing simply g_1 and g_2 . Since g_1 and g_2 are linearly independent solutions of (2.2), the Wronskian $W = g_1^+ g_2 - g_2^+ g_1$ is constant. The resolvent U^α is given by

$$(2.3) \quad U^\alpha f(x) = U^\alpha(x, f) = \int_E u^\alpha(x, y) f(y) m(dy),$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad u^\alpha(x, y) = u^\alpha(y, x) = g_1^\alpha(x) g_2^\alpha(y) / W, \quad x \leq y.$$

See §4.11 of [8] and note that in (2.3) the mass $m(\{B\})$ is the “stickiness” coefficient occurring in the boundary condition at B for elements of $D(\mathcal{G})$.

A jointly continuous version $(L_t^y : t \geq 0, y \in E)$ of *local time* for X may be chosen, and normalized to be occupation density relative to m , so that

$$(2.5) \quad P^x \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} dL_t^y = u^\alpha(x, y).$$

Fixing a level $y \in E$, the local time $(L_t^y : t \geq 0)$ is related to the Itô excursion law [7], for excursions from level y , as follows. Let $G(y)$ denote the (random) set of left-hand endpoints (in $]0, \zeta[$) of intervals contiguous to the level set $\{t > 0 : X_t = y\}$. Define the hitting time T_y by

$$T_y = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = y\} \quad (\inf \emptyset = +\infty).$$

The Itô excursion law n_y is determined by the identity

$$(2.6) \quad P^x \sum_{u \in G(y)} Z_u F \circ k_{T_y} \circ \theta_u = P^x \left(\int_0^\infty Z_u dL_u^y \right) \cdot n_y(F),$$

where $x \in E$, $F \in p\mathcal{F}^\circ$, and $Z \geq 0$ is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -optional process. Under n_y the coordinate process $(X_t : t > 0)$ is strongly Markovian with semigroup (Q_t^y) given by

$$(2.7) \quad Q_t^y(x, f) = P^x(f \circ X_t; t < T_y).$$

The entrance law $n_y(X_t \in dz)$ is determined by the corresponding Laplace transform

$$(2.8) \quad W^\alpha f(y) = W^\alpha(y, f) = n_y \int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha t} f \circ X_t dt.$$

Conversely, n_y is the unique σ -finite measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^\circ)$ which is carried by $\{\zeta > 0\}$ and under which $(X_t : t > 0)$ is Markovian with semigroup (2.7) and entrance law (2.8).

Let V_y^α denote the resolvent of the semigroup (Q_t^y) . Taking $Z_u = e^{-\alpha u}$, $F = \int_0^\zeta e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dt$ in (2.6), and using (2.5), we obtain the important identity

$$(2.9) \quad U^\alpha f(x) = V_y^\alpha f(x) + u^\alpha(x, y)[m(\{y\})f(y) + W^\alpha f(y)].$$

We also recall from §4.6 of [8] that the distribution of T_y is given by

$$(2.10) \quad P^x(e^{-\alpha T_y}) = \begin{cases} g_1^\alpha(x)/g_1^\alpha(y), & x \leq y, \\ g_2^\alpha(x)/g_2^\alpha(y), & x \geq y. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the point process of excursions above the minimum is defined as follows. For $t \geq 0$ set

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(\omega) &= \begin{cases} \min_{0 \leq u \leq t} X_u(\omega) & \text{if } t < \zeta(\omega), \\ -\infty & \text{if } t \geq \zeta(\omega); \end{cases} \\ M(\omega) &= \{u > 0 : X_u(\omega) = H_u(\omega)\}; \\ R_t(\omega) &= \inf\{u > 0 : u + t \in M(\omega)\}; \\ G(\omega) &= \{u > 0 : u < \zeta(\omega), R_{u-}(\omega) = 0 < R_u(\omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus G is the random set of left-hand endpoints of intervals contiguous to the random set M . For $u \in G$ we have the excursion \mathbf{e}^u defined by

$$\mathbf{e}_t^u = \begin{cases} X_{u+t}, & 0 \leq t < R_u, \\ \Delta, & t \geq R_u. \end{cases}$$

The point process $\Pi = (\mathbf{e}^u : u \in G)$ admits a Lévy system as follows. Define a continuous increasing adapted process $C = (C_t : t \geq 0)$ by

$$C_t = \begin{cases} s(H_0) - s(H_t), & \text{if } t < \zeta, \\ C_{\zeta-} & \text{if } t \geq \zeta. \end{cases}$$

(2.11) Theorem. For $Z \geq 0$ and (\mathcal{F}_t) -optional, and $F \in p\mathcal{F}^\circ$,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} P^x \sum_{u \in G} Z_u F(\mathbf{e}^u) &= P^x \int_0^\infty Z_u n_{X_u}^\uparrow(F) dC_u \\ &= P^x \int_A^x Z_{T_y} 1_{\{T_y < +\infty\}} n_y^\uparrow(F) ds(y), \end{aligned}$$

where n_y^\uparrow denotes the restriction of n_y to $\{\omega : \omega(t) > y, \forall t \in]0, \zeta(\omega)[\}$.

(2.13) Remark. The second equality in (2.12) follows from the first by the change of variable $u = T_y$. The equality of the first and third terms in (2.12) amounts to the

statement that the time-changed point process $(\mathbf{e}^{T_y} : R_{T_y-} < R_{T_y}, A < y < x)$ is a stopped Poisson point process under P^x , with (non-homogeneous) intensity $ds(y)n_y^\uparrow(d\omega)$, stopped at the first level y for which $T_y = +\infty$. See [14] for this result in the case of Brownian motion, with or without drift. The general result (2.11) was suggested by §4.10 of [8].

3. Proof of Theorem (2.11)

Maisonneuve's theory of exit systems [10] provides a Lévy system description of the point process of excursions induced by a closed, optional, homogeneous random set. Unfortunately the set M introduced in §2 is not (θ_t) -homogeneous; however the theory of [10] can be brought to bear once we note that M is homogeneous as a functional of the strong Markov process (X_t, H_t) , $t \geq 0$. This key observation is due to Millar [12] and has been formalized by Gettoor in [4]. In the terminology of [4], the process H is a "min-functional": $H_{t+u} = H_t \wedge H_u \circ \theta_t$. This property ensures that $\bar{X} := (X, H)$ is Markovian, as a simple computation shows.

Following [4] we first construct a convenient realization of \bar{X} . Let $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \times (E \cup \{-\infty\})$, $\bar{E} = \{(x, a) \in E \times E : a \leq x\}$, and for $(\omega, a) \in \bar{\Omega}$ set

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_t(\omega, a) &= (X_t(\omega), a \wedge H_t(\omega)), \\ \bar{\theta}_t(\omega, a) &= (\theta_t(\omega), a \wedge H_t(\omega)).\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\bar{X}_t \circ \bar{\theta}_u = \bar{X}_{t+u}$, $\bar{\theta}_t \circ \bar{\theta}_u = \bar{\theta}_{t+u}$. Moreover, M can be realized over \bar{X} as

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{M}(\omega, a) = \{t > 0 : \bar{X}_t(\omega, a) \in D\},$$

where $D = \{(x, x) : x \in E\}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\circ = \sigma\{\bar{X}_u : u \geq 0\}$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^\circ = \sigma\{\bar{X}_u : 0 \leq u \leq t\}$, and for $(x, a) \in \bar{E}$ let $\bar{P}^{x,a} = P^x \otimes \epsilon_a$. The usual Markovian completion of the filtration $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^\circ)$ relative to the laws $(\bar{P}^{x,a} : (x, a) \in \bar{E})$ is denoted by $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$. Clearly $\bar{P}^{x,a}(\bar{X}_0 = (x, a)) = 1$ so that \bar{X} has no branch points. Appealing to §2 of [4] we have the following

(3.2) Lemma. (i) $\bar{X} = (\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_t, \bar{\theta}_t, \bar{X}_t, \bar{P}^{x,a})$ is a right-continuous, strong Markov process with state space \bar{E} and cemetery $\bar{\Delta} = (\Delta, -\infty)$. The semigroup of \bar{X} maps Borel functions to Borel functions, so that \bar{X} is even a Borel right process.

(ii) Let $\pi : (\omega, a) \rightarrow \omega$ denote the projection of $\bar{\Omega}$ onto Ω . If Z is an $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -optional process, then $Z \circ \pi$ is $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -optional.

Now \bar{M} is an $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -optional, $(\bar{\theta}_t)$ -homogeneous set, and each section $\bar{M}(\omega, a)$ is closed in $]0, \bar{\zeta}(\omega, a)[$. Set $\bar{R} = \inf \bar{M}$, so that \bar{R} is an exact terminal time of \bar{X} with $\text{reg}(\bar{R}) =$

$\{(x, a) \in \bar{E} : \bar{P}^{x,a}(\bar{R} = 0) = 1\} = D$. This last fact follows from the regularity of X and the identity

$$\bar{P}^{x,a}(\bar{R} = T_a \circ \pi) = 1, \quad (x, a) \in \bar{E}.$$

Let \bar{G} denote the set of left-hand endpoints of intervals contiguous to \bar{M} . The properties of the Maisonneuve exit system $(*\bar{P}^{x,a}, \bar{K})$ for \bar{M} are summarized in the next proposition. In what follows, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^*$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^*$ denote the universal completions of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ (the Borel sets in \bar{E}) and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\circ$ respectively.

(3.3) Proposition. [Maisonneuve] *There exists a continuous additive functional (CAF), \bar{K} , of \bar{X} with a finite 1-potential, and a kernel $*\bar{P}^{x,a}$ from $(\bar{E}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}^*)$ to $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^*)$ such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{P}^{x,a} \sum_{u \in \bar{G}} \bar{Z}_u \bar{F}_u \circ \bar{\theta}_u = \bar{P}^{x,a} \int_0^\infty \bar{Z}_u * \bar{P}^{\bar{X}_u}(\bar{F}_u) d\bar{K}_u,$$

whenever $\bar{Z} \geq 0$ is $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -optional and $(u, \bar{\omega}) \mapsto \bar{F}_u(\bar{\omega})$ is a $\mathcal{B}_{[0, +\infty[} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}^*$ -measurable, positive function. The CAF \bar{K} is carried by D . For each $(x, a) \in \bar{E}$, $*\bar{P}^{x,a}$ is a σ -finite measure on $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^*)$ under which the coordinate process is strongly Markovian with the same transition semigroup as \bar{X} .

(3.5) Remarks. The version of $(*\bar{P}^{x,a}, \bar{K})$ cited in (3.3) is a variant of that constructed in [10]; the difference stems from the possibility that $\bar{P}^{x,a}(\bar{\zeta} < +\infty)$ may be positive. The fact that \bar{K} is continuous (and so carried by $D = \text{reg}(\bar{R})$) follows from the construction in [10], since $\bar{M} = \{t > 0 : \bar{X}_t \in D\}$ and D is finely perfect (with respect to \bar{X}). Renormalizing the kernel $*\bar{P}^{x,a}$ if necessary, we can and do assume that $*\bar{P}^{y,y}(1 - e^{-\bar{R}}) = 1$ for all $y \in E$.

Our plan is to prove Theorem (2.11) by identifying $*\bar{P}^{x,a}$ and \bar{K} explicitly, thereby deducing (2.12) from (3.4). First note that by taking $x = y$ in (2.9) and using (2.4) we have

$$(3.6) \quad W^\alpha f(y) = \int_{]A, y[} [g_1^\alpha(z)/g_1^\alpha(y)] f(z) m(dz) + \int_{]y, B]} [g_2^\alpha(z)/g_2^\alpha(y)] f(z) m(dz),$$

where $y \in E$, $\alpha > 0$, and $f \geq 0$ is Borel measurable on E .

To identify \bar{K} we define a second CAF of \bar{X} , \bar{C} , by the formula

$$\bar{C}_t(\omega, a) = \begin{cases} s(a \wedge H_0(\omega)) - s(a \wedge H_t(\omega)) & \text{if } t < \bar{\zeta}(\omega, a), \\ \bar{C}_{\bar{\zeta}_-}(\omega, a) & \text{if } t \geq \bar{\zeta}(\omega, a); \end{cases}$$

and notice that $\bar{C}_t(\omega, X_0(\omega)) = C_t(\omega)$. Clearly the fine support of \bar{C} is D .

For $x \in E$ put $\psi(x) = W1_{]x, B]}(x)$.

(3.7) Proposition. *The CAFs \bar{K} and $\int_0^t \psi(X_u) d\bar{C}_u$ are equivalent.*

Proof. By [1; IV(2.13)] it suffices to check that the CAFs in question have the same finite 1-potential (over \bar{X}). An argument of Vervaat [17] shows that $P^x(t \in M) = 0$ for all $x \in E$ and all $t > 0$. Consequently, $\bar{P}^{x,a}(t \in \bar{M}) = 0$ for all $(x, a) \in \bar{E}$ and all $t > 0$. By Fubini's theorem, $\int_0^\infty e^{-t} 1_{\bar{M}}(t) dt = 0$ a.s. $\bar{P}^{x,a}$ for all $(x, a) \in \bar{E}$. Thus taking $\bar{Z}_u(\bar{\omega}) = e^{-u}$, $\bar{F}_u(\bar{\omega}) = 1 - \exp(-\bar{R}(\bar{\omega}) \wedge \bar{\zeta}(\bar{\omega}))$ in (3.4), we may compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad \bar{P}^{x,a} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} d\bar{K}_u &= \bar{P}^{x,a} \sum_{u \in \bar{G}} e^{-u} \left(\int_0^{\bar{R} \wedge \bar{\zeta}} e^{-t} \right) \circ \bar{\theta}_u \\
&= \bar{P}^{x,a} \int_{\bar{R} \wedge \bar{\zeta}}^{\bar{\zeta}} e^{-u} du \\
&= \bar{P}^{x,a} (e^{-\bar{R} \wedge \bar{\zeta}} - e^{-\bar{\zeta}}) \\
&= P^x (e^{-T_a \wedge \zeta} - e^{-\zeta}) \\
&= P^x \int_0^\zeta e^{-t} dt - P^x \int_0^{T_a \wedge \zeta} e^{-t} dt \\
&= U^1 1(x) - V_a^1 1(x) \\
&= \frac{u^1(x, a)}{u^1(a, a)} U^1 1(a),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows easily from (2.9). On the other hand, our hypothesis regarding the boundary A implies that $g_1^1(A+)/g_2^1(A+) = 0$ (see [8; §4.6]). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad \bar{P}^{x,a} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \psi(X_t) d\bar{C}_t &= P^x \int_{T_a}^\infty e^{-t} \psi(X_t) dC_t \\
&= P^x \int_A^a e^{-T_y} \psi(y) ds(y) \\
&= \int_A^a [g_2^1(x)/g_2^1(y)] \psi(y) ds(y).
\end{aligned}$$

In (3.9) we have used the change of variables $t = T_y$ to obtain the second equality, and (2.1) to obtain the third. Now from the definition of the Wronskian W we see that $d(g_1/g_2) = W \cdot [g_2]^{-2} ds$. Using this fact and the expression for ψ provided by (3.6) we

may continue the computation begun in (3.9) with

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_A^a [g_2(x)/g_2(y)] \int_{]y,B]} [g_2(z)/g_2(y)] m(dz) ds(y) \\
&= \int_A^a \int_{]y,B]} [g_2(x)g_2(z)/W] m(dz) d(g_1/g_2)(y) \\
(3.10) \quad &= \int_E \int_A^{z \wedge a} d(g_1/g_2)(y) [g_2(x)g_2(z)/W] m(dz) \\
&= \int_E [g_1(z \wedge a)/g_2(z \wedge a)] \cdot [g_2(x)g_2(z)/W] m(dz) \\
&= [u^1(x, a)/u^1(a, a)] U^1 1(a).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality in (3.10) follows from (2.3) and (2.4). In view of (3.8)–(3.10), we see that \bar{K} and $\int_0^t \psi(X_s) c\bar{C}_s$ have the same finite 1-potential and so the proposition is proved. \square

For $y \in E$ define a measure \bar{Q}^y on $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^\circ)$ by $\bar{Q}^y(F) = {}^* \bar{P}^{y,y}(F \circ \bar{k}_{\bar{R}})$, where \bar{k}_t is the killing operator on $\bar{\Omega}$. Since ${}^* \bar{P}^{y,y}(\bar{R} \neq T_y \circ \pi) = 0$, the first coordinate of \bar{X} , namely $(X_t : t > 0)$, is Markovian under \bar{Q}^y , with (Q_t^y) as semigroup. Indeed, we claim that $\psi(y)\pi(\bar{Q}^y) = n_y^\uparrow$, at least for ds -a.e. $y \in E$. To verify this claim it suffices to compare the associated entrance laws.

(3.11) Lemma. *Let f be a bounded positive Borel function on E . Then for ds -a.e. $y \in E$ we have*

$$(3.12) \quad \psi(y)\bar{Q}^y \int_0^{\bar{\zeta}} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dt = W^\alpha f(y), \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

Proof. Fix f as in the statement of the lemma and also fix $\alpha > 0$. For $y \in E$ write

$$\gamma(y) = \bar{Q}^y \int_0^{\bar{\zeta}} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dt.$$

As noted in the proof of (3.7), we have $\int_0^\infty 1_{\bar{M}}(t) dt = 0$, $\bar{P}^{x,a}$ -a.s. for all $(x, a) \in \bar{E}$. Thus,

for $x \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad U^\alpha f(x) &= \bar{P}^{x,x} \sum_{u \in \bar{G}} e^{-\alpha u} \left(\int_0^{\bar{R}} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dt \right) \circ \bar{\theta}_u \\
&= \bar{P}^{x,x} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u} {}^* \bar{P}^{\bar{X}_u} \left(\int_0^{\bar{R}} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dt \right) d\bar{K}_u \\
&= \bar{P}^{x,x} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u} \gamma(X_u) \psi(X_u) d\bar{C}_u \\
&= P^x \int_A^x e^{-\alpha T_y} \gamma(y) \psi(y) ds(y) \\
&= \int_A^x [g_2^\alpha(x)/g_2^\alpha(y)] \gamma(y) \psi(y) ds(y).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(3.14) \quad U^\alpha f(x) = \int_{]A,x]} [g_1^\alpha(x)g_2^\alpha(y)/W] f(y) m(dy) + \int_{]x,B]} [g_1^\alpha(y)g_2^\alpha(x)/W] f(y) m(dy).$$

If we equate the last line displayed in (3.13) with the right side of (3.14), divide the resulting identity by $g_2^\alpha(x)$, and then differentiate in x , we obtain

$$\left[\int_{]x,B]} [g_2^\alpha(y)/g_2^\alpha(x)] f(y) m(dy) \right] ds(x) = \gamma(x) \psi(x) ds(x)$$

as measures on E , and the lemma follows. \square

(3.15) Corollary. For ds -a.e. $y \in E$, $\psi(y)\pi(\bar{Q}^y) = n_y^\uparrow$ as measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^\circ)$.

Proof. As noted earlier, both $\psi(y)\pi(\bar{Q}^y)$ and n_y^\uparrow make the coordinate process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^\circ)$ into a Markov process with transition semigroup (Q_t^y) . It follows from Lemma (3.11) that these measures have the same one-dimensional distributions (and consequently the same finite dimensional distributions) for ds -a.e. y . Since $\mathcal{F}^\circ = \sigma(X_u : u \geq 0)$ is countably generated, the corollary follows. \square

Proof of Theorem (2.11). Let $Z \geq 0$ be (\mathcal{F}_t) -optional and let $F \geq 0$ be \mathcal{F}° -measurable. By (3.2)(ii), the process $Z \circ \pi$ is $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -optional. We may now use (3.4), (3.7), and (3.15) to

compute

$$\begin{aligned}
P^x \sum_{u \in G} Z_u F(\mathbf{e}^u) &= \bar{P}^{x,x} \sum_{u \in \bar{G}} Z_u \circ \pi F(\pi \circ \bar{k}_{\bar{R}} \circ \bar{\theta}_u) \\
&= \bar{P}^{x,x} \int_0^\infty Z_u \circ \pi * \bar{P}^{\bar{X}_u}(F(\pi \circ \bar{k}_{\bar{R}})) d\bar{K}_u \\
&= \bar{P}^{x,x} \int_0^\infty Z_u \circ \pi \bar{Q}^{\bar{X}_u}(F(\pi \circ \bar{k}_{\bar{R}})) \psi(X_u) d\bar{C}_u \\
&= P^x \int_0^\infty Z_u n_{X_u}^\uparrow(F) dC_u.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem (2.11) is complete. \square

4. Williams' decomposition

In this section we use the Lévy system (2.12) to obtain a new proof (of a general version) of Williams' decomposition [18] of a diffusion at its global minimum. A more “computational” proof of Williams' theorem, based on the same idea used in the present paper, may be found in [3].

For simplicity we assume that $\gamma := H_{\zeta_-}$ satisfies $P^x(\gamma > A) = 1$ for all $x \in E$. We also assume that $\rho := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = \gamma\}$ satisfies $P^x(\rho < \zeta) = 1$ for all $x \in E$. Then ρ is the unique time at which X takes its global minimum value γ (cf. [17]). Note that for $x \geq y$ (both in E),

$$(4.1) \quad P^x(\gamma > y) = P^x(T_y = +\infty).$$

Define a function r on E by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} P^x(T_{x_0} < +\infty), & x \geq x_0, \\ [P^{x_0}(T_x < +\infty)]^{-1}, & x < x_0, \end{cases}$$

where $x_0 \in E^\circ$ is fixed but arbitrary. Clearly r is strictly positive and decreasing. Arguing as in [8; pp. 128–129] one may check that r is the unique positive decreasing solution of $\mathcal{G}r \equiv 0$ on E° which satisfies $r(x_0) = 1$ and the boundary condition at B . Note that

$$(4.2) \quad P^x(T_y < +\infty) = r(x)/r(y), \quad x > y.$$

Before proceeding to the decomposition theorem we need a preliminary result.

(4.3) Lemma. *For $y \in E^\circ$ let $S_y = \inf\{t > 0 : X_{t-} = y\}$. Then*

$$n_y^\uparrow(S_y = +\infty) = -\frac{r^+(y)}{r(y)}, \quad \forall y \in E^\circ.$$

(Recall that $r^+ = d^+r/ds^+$.)

Proof. Let q be an increasing solution of $\mathcal{G}q \equiv 0$ on E° such that q is linearly independent of r . We assume that q is normalized so that the Wronskian $q^+r - r^+q$ is identically 1. Fix $a < b$ both in E° and let v_{ab} denote the potential density (relative to m) of X killed at time $T_a \wedge T_b$. One checks that for $x \leq y$,

$$v_{ab}(x, y) = v_{ab}(y, x) = \frac{D(a, x)D(y, b)}{D(a, b)},$$

where $D(x, y)$ is the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} q(y) & q(x) \\ r(y) & r(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that $D(x, y) > 0$ if $x < y$. Now let $y \in]a, b[$ and use (2.6) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} P^y(T_b < T_a) &= P^y \sum_{u \in G(y)} 1_{\{u < T_a \wedge T_b\}} 1_{\{T_b < T_y\}} \circ \theta_u \\ &= P^y(L_{T_a \wedge T_b}^y) n_y(T_b < \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

But clearly $P^y(T_b < T_a) = D(a, y)/D(a, b)$ while $P^y(L_{T_a \wedge T_b}^y) = v_{ab}(y, y)$, so that

$$n_y(T_b < \zeta) = [D(a, y)/D(a, b)]/v_{ab}(y, y) = D(y, b)^{-1}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} n_y^\uparrow(S_y = +\infty) &= \lim_{x \downarrow y} n_y^\uparrow(T_x < +\infty, S_y = +\infty) \\ &= \lim_{x \downarrow y} n_y^\uparrow(T_x < +\infty) P^x(T_y = +\infty) \\ &= \lim_{x \downarrow y} n_y(T_x < \zeta) [1 - r(x)/r(y)] \\ &= \lim_{x \downarrow y} \left[\frac{1}{r(y)} \cdot \frac{r(y) - r(x)}{s(x) - s(y)} \cdot \frac{s(x) - s(y)}{D(y, x)} \right] \\ &= -r(y)^{-1} \cdot r^+(y), \end{aligned}$$

since $\lim_{x \downarrow y} [s(x) - s(y)]/D(y, x)$ is the reciprocal of the Wronskian $q^+r - r^+q \equiv 1$. \square

Now define probability laws on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^\circ)$ by

$$(4.4) \quad P_y^{x \downarrow}(F) = P^x(F \circ k_{T_y} | T_y < +\infty),$$

$$(4.5) \quad P_y^\uparrow(F) = n_y^\uparrow(F | S_y = +\infty),$$

whenever $x > y > A$. The coordinate process is a diffusion under any of these laws: $P_y^{x\downarrow}$ is the law of X started at x , conditioned to converge to A , and then killed at T_y ; P_y^\uparrow is the law of X started at y and conditioned to never return to y . These conditionings are accomplished by means of the appropriate h -transforms. In particular, the associated infinitesimal generators are given by

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{G}_y^{x\downarrow} f(z) = r(z)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(fr)(z), \quad z > y;$$

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{G}_y^\uparrow f(z) = r_y(z)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(fr_y)(z), \quad z > y,$$

where $r_y(z) = 1 - r(z)/r(y)$.

We can now state the general version of Williams' theorem. Recall that $\gamma = H_{\zeta-}$ and $\rho = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t = \gamma\}$.

(4.8) Theorem. (a) *The joint law of (γ, ρ, ζ) is given by*

$$(4.9) \quad P^x(f(\gamma)e^{-\alpha\rho-\beta\zeta}) = \int_A^{x} [g_2^{\alpha+\beta}(x)/g_2^{\alpha+\beta}(y)] f(y) P_y^\uparrow(e^{-\beta\zeta}) \frac{-dr(y)}{r(y)}.$$

(b) *For $F, G \in b\mathcal{F}^\circ$ and ψ bounded and Borel on E ,*

$$(4.10) \quad P^x(F \circ k_\rho \psi(\gamma) G \circ \theta_\rho) = P^x(P_\gamma^{x\downarrow}(F) \psi(\gamma) P_\gamma^\uparrow(G)).$$

(4.11) Remark. The intuitive content of (4.10) is that the processes $(X_t : 0 \leq t < \rho)$ and $(X_{\rho+t} : 0 \leq t < \zeta - \rho)$ are conditionally independent under P^x , given γ ; and that the conditional distributions, given that $\gamma = y$, are $P_y^{x\downarrow}$ and P_y^\uparrow respectively.

Proof of (4.8). Define $J(y, \omega) = 1_{\{S_y = +\infty\}}(\omega)$ and observe that $\rho(\omega) = u$ if and only if $u \in G(\omega)$ and $J(X_u(\omega), \mathbf{e}^u(\omega)) = 1$. Thus, using (2.11),

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} P^x(F \circ k_\rho \psi(\gamma) G \circ \theta_\rho) &= P^x \sum_{u \in G} F \circ k_u \psi(X_u) G(\mathbf{e}^u) J(X_u, \mathbf{e}^u) \\ &= \int_A^x P^x(F \circ T_y; T_y < +\infty) \psi(y) n_y^\uparrow(G \cdot J(y, \cdot)) ds(y) \\ &= \int_A^x P^{x\downarrow}(F) \psi(y) P_y^\uparrow(G) P^x(T_y < +\infty) n_y^\uparrow(S_y = +\infty) ds(y). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $F = G = 1$ in (4.12) we see that

$$(4.13) \quad P^x(\gamma \in dy) = P^x(T_y < +\infty) n_y^\uparrow(S_y = +\infty) ds(y).$$

Now (4.13) substituted into the last line of (4.12) yields (4.10). To obtain (4.9) use (4.10) with $F = e^{-(\alpha+\beta)\zeta}$ and $G = e^{-\alpha\zeta}$, noting that $F \circ k_\rho = e^{-(\alpha+\beta)\rho}$ and $\zeta = \rho + \zeta \circ \theta_\rho$ (P^x -a.s.) since $\rho < \zeta$, P^x -a.s. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P^x(f(\gamma)e^{-\alpha\rho}e^{-\beta\zeta}) &= P^x(f(\gamma)[e^{-(\alpha+\beta)\zeta}] \circ k_\rho [e^{-\beta\zeta}] \circ \theta_\rho) \\ &= P^x(P_\gamma^{\downarrow}(e^{-(\alpha+\beta)\zeta})f(\gamma)P_\gamma^{\uparrow}(e^{-\beta\zeta})). \end{aligned}$$

Formula (4.9) now follows since

$$\begin{aligned} P_y^{\downarrow}(e^{-(\alpha+\beta)\zeta}) &= P^x(e^{-(\alpha+\beta)T_y})/P^x(T_y < +\infty) \\ &= [g_2^{\alpha+\beta}(x)/g_2^{\alpha+\beta}(y)]/P^x(T_y < +\infty), \end{aligned}$$

and since $n_y^{\uparrow}(S_y = +\infty) = -r^+(y)/r(y)$ (Lemma (4.3)). \square

(4.14) Corollary. $P^x(\rho \in dt, \gamma \in dy) = P^x(T_y \in dt) \frac{-dr(y)}{r(y)}$.

5. A local decomposition

Fix $t > 0$ and define

$$\rho_t = \inf\{u > 0 : X_u = H_t\} \wedge t.$$

Arguing as in [17] one can show that, almost surely on $\{t < \zeta\}$, ρ_t is the unique $u \in]0, t[$ such that $X_u = H_t$. Our purpose in this section is to describe the conditional distribution of $\{X_u : 0 \leq u \leq t\}$ under P^b , given that $H_t = y$, $\rho_t = u$, and $X_t = x$. This conditional distribution has been computed by Imhof [6] for the Brownian motion (and closely related processes). The joint law of (H_t, ρ_t, X_t) , again in the case of Brownian motion, has been found by Shepp [16]. See also [2, 9, 15] for related results.

We begin by computing the joint law of (H_t, ρ_t, X_t) . Recall from [8; §4.11] that the first passage distribution $P^x(T_y \in dv)$ has a density $f(v; x, y)$ on $]0, +\infty[$ relative to Lebesgue measure. Note that if we set $F_{t,y}(x) = P^x(t < T_y < +\infty)$, then (see [8; p. 154])

$$(5.1) \quad f(t; x, y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{t,y}(x) = \mathcal{G}F_{t,y}(x), \quad x > y \in E, t > 0.$$

Applying $Q^y(z, dx)$ to both sides of (5.1) and integrating over $x \in]y, +\infty[\cap E$ (making use of the relation $Q_s^y \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}Q_s^y$ on $]y, +\infty[$), we obtain

$$f(t+s; z, y) = \int_{]y, +\infty[} Q_s^y(z, dx) f(t; x, y).$$

In other words, $(t, x) \mapsto f(t; x, y)$ is an exit law for the semigroup (Q_s^y) .

Next, recall from [8; §4.11] that the semigroup (Q_t^y) has a density $q^y(t; x, z)$ (for $x \wedge z > y$) relative to the speed measure $m(dz)$; we have $q^y > 0$ on $]0, +\infty[\times (]y, B])^2$ and $q^y(t; x, z) = q^y(t; z, x)$. The entrance law for n_y^\uparrow can now be expressed as

$$(5.2) \quad n_y^\uparrow(X_t \in dx) = q_y^\uparrow(t; x) m(dx),$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad q_y^\uparrow(t; x) = \int_{]y, B]} n_y^\uparrow(X_{t-u} \in dz) q^y(u; z, x).$$

Substituting (5.2) into (5.3) and using the symmetry of q^y , we see that

$$(5.4) \quad q_y^\uparrow(t+u; x) = \int_{]y, B]} Q_u^y(x, dz) q_y^\uparrow(t; z).$$

But (5.4) means that $(t, x) \mapsto q_y^\uparrow(t; x)$ is also an exit law for (Q_t^y) . Finally, using (3.6), if $\alpha > 0$ and h is positive, measurable, and vanishes off $]y, B]$, we may compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \int_E q_y^\uparrow(t; x) h(x) m(dx) dt &= W^\alpha h(y) \\ &= \int_{]y, B]} [g_2^\alpha(x)/g_2^\alpha(y)] h(x) m(dx) \\ &= \int_{]y, B]} P^x(e^{-\alpha T_y}) h(x) m(dx) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \int_E f(t; x, y) h(x) m(dx). \end{aligned}$$

By Laplace inversion,

$$(5.5) \quad q_y^\uparrow(t; x) = f(t; x, y)$$

for $dt \otimes dm$ -a.e. (t, x) in $]0, +\infty[\times]y, B]$. Since both sides of (5.5) are exit laws (and so excessive functions in time-space), it follows that (5.5) holds identically for $t > 0$, $y \in E^\circ$ and $E \ni x > y$. See §3 of [5], and especially (3.17) therein.

(5.6) Proposition. For $b \in E$, $x \in E$, $u \in]0, t[$, and $y \in]A, b \wedge x[$,

$$(5.7) \quad P^b(H_t \in dy, \rho_t \in du, X_t \in dx) = f(u; b, y) f(t-u; x, y) ds(y) du m(dx).$$

Proof. Let g , h , and ϕ be bounded positive Borel functions on \mathbb{R} , vanishing off E , E , and $]0, t[$, respectively. Put $J(v, y, \omega) = 1_{\{S_y > v\}}(\omega)$. Using (2.11) we have, since $u = \rho_t(\omega)$ if and only if $u \in G(\omega)$ and $J(t - u, X_u(\omega), \mathbf{e}^u(\omega)) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^b(g(H_t)\phi(\rho_t)h(X_t)) &= P^b \sum_{u \in G} g(X_u)\phi(u)h(X_{t-u} \circ \theta_u)J(t - u, X_u, \mathbf{e}^u) \\ &= P^b \sum_{u \in G} g(X_u)\phi(u)h(\mathbf{e}_{t-u}^u)J(t - u, X_u, \mathbf{e}^u) \\ &= \int_A \int_{\Omega} g(y)\phi(T_y(\omega))n_y^\uparrow(h(X_{t-T_y(\omega)}))P^b(d\omega) ds(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_{]0, t[} g(y)\phi(u)n_y^\uparrow(h(X_{t-u}))f(u; b, y) du ds(y). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition now follows from (5.2) and (5.5). \square

Our local decomposition of X will be expressed in terms of certain “bridges” of X . First, let $\hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}$ denote the h -transform of P_y^\uparrow by means of the time-space harmonic function

$$h_{\ell, x}(t, z) = q^y(\ell - t; z, x) \left[\frac{r(y) - r(x)}{r(y) - r(z)} \right] 1_{]0, \ell[}(t),$$

where $\ell > 0$ and $x > y$. Straightforward computations show that the absolute probabilities and transition probabilities under $\hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}$ are given by

$$\hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}(X_t \in dz) = \frac{q^y(\ell - t; z, x)f(t; y, z)}{f(\ell; y, x)} m(dz),$$

and

$$\hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}(X_{t+v} \in dw | X_t = z) = \frac{q^y(v; z, w)q^y(\ell - t - v; w, x)}{q^y(\ell - t; z, x)} m(dw).$$

Moreover (cf. [15])

$$(5.8) \quad \hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}(\zeta = \ell, X_{\zeta-} = x) = 1,$$

$$\int_{]y, B]} \hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}(F) P_y^\uparrow(X_\ell \in dx) = P_y^\uparrow(F \circ k_\ell).$$

Thus, $\{\hat{K}^{y, \ell, x} : x \in]y, B]\}$ is a regular version of the conditional probabilities $F \mapsto P_y^\uparrow(F \circ k_\ell | X_\ell = x)$.

Now let $K^{x, \ell, y}$ denote the image of $\hat{K}^{y, \ell, x}$ under the time-reversal mapping, taking ω to the path $\gamma_\ell \omega$ defined by

$$(\gamma_\ell \omega)(t) = \begin{cases} \omega(\ell - t), & 0 < t < \ell \\ \omega(\ell -), & t = 0 \\ \Delta, & t \geq \ell. \end{cases}$$

Like $\hat{K}^{y,\ell,x}$, $K^{x,\ell,y}$ is the law of a non-homogeneous Markov diffusion; from (5.8) we see that

$$K^{x,\ell,y}(X_0 = x, \zeta = \ell, X_{\zeta-} = y) = 1.$$

Moreover, computation of finite dimensional distributions shows that the transition probabilities for $K^{x,\ell,y}$ are given by

$$(5.9) \quad K^{x,\ell,y}(X_{t+v} \in dw | X_t = z) = \frac{q^y(v; z, w) f(\ell - t - v; w, y)}{f(\ell - t; z, y)}.$$

It follows that $\{K^{x,\ell,y} : \ell > 0\}$ is a regular version of the conditional probabilities

$$P_y^{x\downarrow}(\cdot | \zeta = \ell).$$

(5.10) Theorem. *Let $b \in E$. Then under P^b the path fragments $(X_t : 0 \leq t < \rho_t)$ and $(X_{\rho_t+u} : 0 \leq u < t - \rho_t)$ are conditionally independent given (H_t, ρ_t, X_t) on $\{X_t \in E\} = \{t < \zeta\}$. Moreover, given that $H_t = y$, $\rho_t = u$, and $X_t = x$ ($0 < u < t$, $y > x$), the above processes have conditional laws $K^{b,u,y}$ and $\hat{K}^{y,t-u,x}$ respectively.*

The proof of (5.10) is similar to that of (4.8) and is left to the interested reader as an exercise.

6. A result of W. Vervaat

In this last section we use the decomposition of §5 to give a new proof of a result of Vervaat [17] which concerns a path transformation carrying Brownian bridge into Brownian excursion.

In this section we take the basic process (X_t, P^x) to be standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R} . Let P_0 denote the law of *Brownian bridge*; namely,

$$P_0(F) = P^0(F | X_1 = 0), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}_1.$$

Under P_0 the coordinate process is centered Gaussian with continuous paths, $X_0 = 0$, and covariance $P_0(X_u X_t) = u(1 - t)$ for $0 \leq u \leq t \leq 1$.

Next, Let P_+ denote the law of scaled Brownian excursion. Under P_+ the coordinate process $(X_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is a non-homogeneous Markov diffusion with absolute probabilities

$$(6.1)(a) \quad P_+(X_t \in dx) = \frac{2x^2}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3(1-t)^3}} e^{-x^2/2t(1-t)}$$

and transition probabilities

$$(6.1)(b) \quad P_+(X_{t+v} \in dy | X_t = x) = p(v; y - x) \left(\frac{1-t}{1-t-v} \right)^{3/2} \frac{y \exp(-y^2/2(1-t-v))}{x \exp(-x^2/2(1-t))},$$

where $p(v; x) = (2\pi v)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/2v}$ is the Gauss kernel, and $0 < t < t+v < 1$, $0 < x, y$.

Also, $P_+(\zeta = 1) = P_+(X_t > 0, \forall t \in]0, 1[) = P_+(X_0 = X_{1-} = 0) = 1$.

Computation of finite dimensional distributions now shows that the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} k_u(P_+(\cdot | x_u = y)) &= \hat{K}^{0,u,y}, \\ \theta_u(P_+(\cdot | X_u = y)) &= K^{y,1-u,0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{K}^{0,u,y}$ and $K^{y,1-u,0}$ are as defined in the last section, the basic process being standard Brownian motion.

Now let $\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega(0) = \omega(1-) = 0, \zeta(\omega) = 1\}$ and $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega_0 \times]0, 1[$. Define a map $\Phi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega_0$ by

$$\Phi(\omega, u)(t) = \Phi_u(\omega)(t) = \begin{cases} \omega(u+t) - \omega(u), & 0 \leq t < 1-u, \\ \omega(u+t-1) - \omega(u), & 1-u \leq t < 1. \end{cases}$$

In the following we regard P_+ and P_0 as measures on Ω_0 . Define \bar{P} on $\bar{\Omega}$ by $\bar{P} = P_+ \otimes \lambda$, where λ is Lebesgue measure on $]0, 1[$. Set $U(\omega, u) = u$ and $V = 1 - U$ on $\bar{\Omega}$.

(6.2) Proposition. *The joint law of (Φ, V, X_U) under \bar{P} is the same as the joint law of $(\omega, \rho_1, -H_1)$ under P_0 .*

Proof. For paths ω and ω' , and $t \in]0, 1[$ let $\omega/t/\omega'$ denote the spliced path

$$(\omega/t/\omega')(u) = \begin{cases} \omega(u), & 0 \leq u < t, \\ \omega'(u-t), & t \leq u < 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $\tau_y \omega(t) = \omega(t) - y$. Let $p_+(u, y) = P_+(X_u \in dy)/dy$. Note that if $\omega(u) = \omega'(0)$, then

$$\Phi(\omega/u/\omega', u) = (\tau_y \omega' / 1 - u / \tau_y \omega),$$

where $0 < u < 1$ and $y = \omega(u)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(F \circ \Phi \psi(V, X_U)) &= \int_0^1 P_+(F \circ \Phi_u \psi(1-u, X_u)) du \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \int_\Omega F(\Phi_u(\omega/u/\omega')) \psi(1-u, y) \hat{K}^{0,u,y}(d\omega) K^{y,1-u,0}(d\omega') p_+(u, y) dy du \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \int_\Omega F(\tau_y \omega' / 1 - u / \tau_y \omega) \psi(1-u, y) \hat{K}^{0,u,y}(d\omega) K^{y,1-u,0}(d\omega') p_+(u, y) dy du \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \int_\Omega F(\omega' / 1 - u / \omega) \psi(1-u, y) K^{0,1-u,-y}(d\omega') K^{-y,u,0}(d\omega) p_+(u, y) dy du \\ &= P_0(F \cdot \psi(\rho_1, -H_1)). \end{aligned}$$

□

(6.3) Corollary. (*Vervaat*): Define a transformation $\Psi : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_0$ by

$$(\Psi\omega)(t) = \begin{cases} \omega(\rho_1(\omega) + t) - H_1(\omega), & 0 \leq t < 1 - \rho_1(\omega), \\ \omega(\rho_1(\omega) + t + 1) - H_1(\omega), & 1 - \rho_1(\omega) \leq t < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Psi(P_0) = P_+$. That is, the P_0 -law of $(X_t \circ \Psi : 0 \leq t < 1)$ is P_+ .

Proof. It is easy to check that $\Psi \circ \Phi(\omega, u) = \omega$ for all $(\omega, u) \in \bar{\Omega}$. Using Proposition (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(F \circ \Psi) &= \bar{P}(F \circ \Psi \circ \Phi) \\ &= \bar{P}(F \circ \pi_1) \\ &= P_+(F), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1 : (\omega, u) \rightarrow \omega$. □

References

- [1] Blumenthal, R.M. and Gettoor, R.K.: *Markov Processes and Potential Theory*. Academic Press, New York-London 1968.
- [2] Chung, K.L.: Excursions in Brownian motion. *Ark. Mat.* **14** (1976) 155–177.
- [3] Fitzsimmons, P.J.: Another look at Williams' decomposition theorem. *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1985*, pp 79–85, Birkhäuser Boston, 1986.
- [4] Gettoor, R.K.: Splitting times and shift functionals. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **47** (1979) 69–81.
- [5] Gettoor, R.K. and Sharpe, M.J.: Excursions of dual processes. *Adv. Math.* **45** (1982) 259–309.
- [6] Imhof, J.-P.: Density factorizations for Brownian motion, meander and the three-dimensional Bessel process, and applications. *J. Appl. Probab.* **21** (1984) 500–510.
- [7] Itô, K.: Poisson point processes attached to Markov processes. *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. III, pp. 225–239. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, 1972.
- [8] Itô, K. and McKean, H.P.: *Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths*. (Second printing, corrected.) Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [9] Louchard, G.: Kac's formula, Lévy's local time and Brownian excursion. *J. Appl. Probab.* **21** (1984) 479–499.
- [10] Maisonneuve, B.: Exit systems. *Ann. Probab.* **3** (1975) 399–411.

- [11] Millar, P.W.: Zero-one laws and the minimum of a Markov process. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **226** (1977) 365–391.
- [12] Millar, P.W.: A path decomposition for Markov processes. *Ann. Probab.* **6** (1978) 345–348.
- [13] Pitman, J.W.: Lévy systems and path decompositions. *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1981*, pp. 79–110, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981.
- [14] Rogers, L.C.G.: Itô excursion theory via resolvents. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **63** (1983) 237–255.
- [15] Salminen, P.: One-dimensional diffusions and their exit spaces. *Math. Scand.* **54** (1984) 209–220.
- [16] Shepp, L.A.: The joint density of the maximum and its location for a Wiener process with drift. *J. Appl. Probab.* **16** (1979) 423–427.
- [17] Vervaat, W.: A relation between Brownian bridge and Brownian excursion. *Ann. Probab.* **7** (1979) 143–149.
- [18] Williams, D.: Path decomposition and continuity of local time for one-dimensional diffusions, I. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **28** (1974), 738–768.