

# HERMITE MARTINGALES

P.J. Fitzsimmons

Department of Mathematics  
University of California, San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112 USA

The Hermite polynomials  $h_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , defined by the Rodrigues formulae

$$h_n(x) := (-1)^n \exp(x^2/2) \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \exp(-x^2/2), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (1)$$

play an important role in the theory of Brownian motion; see, for example, [3], [4], [6]. In particular, if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$  is a filtered probability space on which is defined a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion  $\{B_t; t \geq 0\}$  with  $B_0 = 0$ , then  $\{t^{n/2}h_n(B_t/\sqrt{t}); t \geq 0\}$ , is a martingale for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

An interesting converse, characterizing the Hermite polynomials, has recently been discovered by A. Plucińska [5]: If  $n \geq 0$  is an integer,  $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is real analytic, and  $t \mapsto t^{n/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  is a martingale, then  $h$  is proportional to  $h_n$ . Strictly speaking, this assertion is true only if we alter the initial state of the Brownian motion to ensure that  $\mathbf{P}[B_0 = 0] < 1$ . Indeed, for every real  $p > 0$  there is a non-polynomial real analytic  $h$  such that  $\{t^{p/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t}); t \geq 0\}$  is a martingale, provided the Brownian motion satisfies  $\mathbf{P}[B_0 = 0] = 1$ ; see part (b) of Theorem 1 below. Our purpose in this note is to give a new proof of (an extension of) Plucińska's Theorem.

As preparation we collect some known results concerning the connection between space-time harmonic functions and martingale functions of space-time Brownian motion. Let

$$p_t(x, y) := [2\pi t]^{-1/2} \exp(-(y-x)^2/2t)$$

denote the Brownian transition kernel, and define the corresponding semigroup of transition operators by

$$\begin{aligned} P_t f(x) &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(x, y) f(y) dy \\ &= \mathbf{P}^x[f(B_t)] = \mathbf{P}[f(x + B_t)], \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here  $\mathbf{P}^x$  denotes both the law of Brownian motion started at  $x$  and the associated expectation operator.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $H : \mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is Borel measurable, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $P_{t-s}[H(\cdot, t)](x) = H(x, s)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $0 < s < t$ ;
- (b)  $P_{t-s}[H(\cdot, t)](x) = H(x, s)$  for Lebesgue a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , for all  $0 < s < t$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^x|H(B_t, t+r)| < \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $r, t > 0$ ;
- (c)  $t \mapsto H(B_t, t+r)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  martingale, for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $r > 0$ .

*Proof.* The implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is trivial, and (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) follows easily because the  $\mathbf{P}^x$ -distribution of  $B_s$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $s > 0$ :

$$\mathbf{P}^x[H(B_t, t+r)|\mathcal{F}_s] = P_{t-s}[H(\cdot, t+r)](B_s) = H(B_s, s+r), \quad \mathbf{P}^x\text{-a.s.}$$

Finally, if (c) holds then for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $r, t > 0$ ,

$$H(x, r) = \mathbf{P}^x[H(B_0, 0+r)] = \mathbf{P}^x[H(B_t, t+r)] = P_t[H(\cdot, t+r)](x),$$

which yields (a) after a change of variables.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $H : \mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function of class  $C^{2,1}$ .*

(i) *The process  $t \mapsto H(B_t, t+r)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  local martingale for all  $(x, r) \in \mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty)$  if and only if  $\partial H/\partial t + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 H/\partial x^2 \equiv 0$ .*

(ii) *Suppose that  $\partial H/\partial t + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 H/\partial x^2 \equiv 0$  and that for each  $T > 0$  there is a constant  $C_T$  such that  $|H(x, t)| \leq C_T \exp(x^2/2t)$  for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times (0, T]$ . Then  $t \mapsto H(B_t, t+r)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  martingale for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $r > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from Itô's formula. Assertion (ii) is a consequence of classical theorems on the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem. Let us fix  $T > 0$  and  $r > 0$ , and define

$$K(x, t) := P_{T-t}[H(\cdot, T+r)](x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T].$$

Then  $K$  is a  $C^{2,1}$  solution of  $\partial H/\partial t + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 H/\partial x^2 \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbf{R} \times [0, T)$  with  $K(x, T) = H(x, T+r)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , and

$$|K(x, t)| \leq C \exp(k \cdot x^2), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T],$$

for some constant  $k > 0$ ; see Theorem 12 in Chapter 1 of [2]. By Theorem 16 *loc. cit.*,  $K(x, t) = H(x, t+r)$  for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]$ . That is

$$P_{T-t}[H(\cdot, T+r)](x) = H(x, t+r)$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]$ . Since  $T > 0$  and  $r > 0$  were arbitrary, part (ii) follows from Lemma 1.  $\square$

Here is the main result of this note. One could relax the conditions imposed on  $\alpha$  and  $h$  in part (a) (measurability and local boundedness would suffice); we leave this extension to the reader.

**Theorem 1.** (a) Let  $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be of class  $C^2$ , and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be  $C^1$  mappings of  $(0, \infty)$  into itself such that

$$\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(0+) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Define

$$H(x, t) := \alpha(t) \cdot h(x/\beta(t)), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (4)$$

and suppose that

$$t \mapsto H(B_t, t+r) \text{ is a } \mathbf{P}^x \text{ local martingale, for all } x \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and all } r > 0. \quad (5)$$

Then one of the following statements is true:

- (i)  $h$  is constant and  $\alpha \equiv 1$ .
- (ii)  $h(x) = \text{Const.} \cdot x$  and  $\alpha \equiv \beta$ .
- (iii)  $\beta(t) = \sqrt{t}$  for  $t > 0$ , there is a real number  $p$  such that  $\alpha(t) = t^{p/2}$  for  $t > 0$ , and  $h$  satisfies the Hermite equation

$$h''(x) - x \cdot h'(x) + p \cdot h(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (6)$$

(b) Conversely, if  $h$  is a  $C^2$  function satisfying (6), then  $t \mapsto H(B_t, t+r)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  martingale for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and every  $r > 0$ , where  $H(x, t) := t^{p/2} h(x/\sqrt{t})$ . If, in addition,  $p > 0$ , then  $t \mapsto H(B_t, t)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^0$  martingale.

(c) If  $h$  is a  $C^2$  function such that  $t \mapsto t^{p/2} h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  martingale for some  $x \neq 0$ , then  $p$  is a non-negative integer and  $h$  is proportional to the Hermite polynomial  $h_p$ .

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 2(i),  $H$  satisfies the (dual) heat equation  $\partial H/\partial t + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 H/\partial x^2 \equiv 0$ ; consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2}h''(x) - \beta(t)\beta'(t)xh'(x) + [\beta(t)]^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} h(x) = 0, \quad \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (7)$$

If  $\beta\beta'$  is non-constant then there are times  $s, t > 0$  such that  $c := \beta(t)\beta'(t) - \beta(s)\beta'(s)$  is non-zero. Fix such times and define  $b := [\beta(t)]^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} - [\beta(s)]^2 \frac{\alpha'(s)}{\alpha(s)}$ ; then (7) implies

$$c \cdot xh'(x) = b \cdot h(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (8)$$

Any solution of (8) must be of the form  $h(x) = \text{Const.} \cdot x^\gamma$  for  $x > 0$ , where  $\gamma := b/c$ . For an  $h$  of this form to satisfy (7) (for  $x > 0$ ) we must have  $\gamma = 0$  or  $\gamma = 1$ . If  $\gamma = 0$  then the  $C^2$  solutions of (8) are constant; this is case (i) of part (a) of Theorem 1. If  $\gamma = 1$  then  $h(x) = \text{Const.} \cdot x$ , which is case (ii).

Thus, with the exception of the trivial cases (i) and (ii),  $\beta(t)\beta'(t)$  is constant, which means that  $\beta(t) = \sqrt{t}$  for  $t \geq 0$ , because of (3). Inserting this expression for  $\beta$  into (7) we arrive at

$$h''(x) - xh'(x) + 2t \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} h(x) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Unless  $h$  is identically 0 (which case has already been dealt with), (9) implies that  $t \mapsto t\alpha'(t)/\alpha(t)$  is constant. In this case  $\alpha(t) = t^{p/2}$  for some  $p \in \mathbf{R}$ , and (9) simplifies to (6).

(b) Fix  $p \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $h$  solve (6), and define  $H(x, t) := t^{p/2} h(x/\sqrt{t})$ . The function  $h$ , being a solution of (6), can be expressed as  $c_1 Y_1(x) + c_2 Y_2(x)$ , where

$$Y_1(x) := M(-\frac{1}{2}p, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}x^2), \quad Y_2(x) := xM(-\frac{1}{2}(p-1), \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}x^2) \quad (10)$$

are linearly independent solutions of (6); here  $z \mapsto M(a, b, z)$  is the solution of Kummer's equation

$$zw''(z) + (b-z)w'(z) - aw(z) = 0$$

given by

$$M(a, b, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1) z^n}{b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-1) n!}. \quad (11)$$

See 13.1.1, 13.1.2, 19.2.1 and 19.2.3 in [1]. For  $b > 0$  as in the present situation,  $M(a, b, z)$  is an entire function of  $z$ . Moreover,  $Y_1$  (resp.  $Y_2$ ) is a polynomial if and only if  $p$  is an even (resp. odd) non-negative integer. The asymptotic behavior of  $M$  is known [1; 13.1.4], and yields the estimate

$$|h(x)| \leq \text{Const.} \cdot \exp(x^2/2) \cdot [1 + |x|]^{-p-1}. \quad (12)$$

Clearly (12) implies the bound appearing in part (ii) of Lemma 2. Moreover, because  $h$  satisfies (6),  $H$  satisfies  $\partial H/\partial t + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 H/\partial x^2 \equiv 0$ . The first assertion therefore follows from Lemma 2(ii). Turning to the second assertion, if  $p > 0$ , then  $\mathbf{P}^0|H(B_t, t)| < \infty$  by (12). The family  $\{H(B_t, t); t > 0\}$  of  $\mathbf{P}^0$ -integrable random variables is a martingale because of Lemma 2(ii). By the backward martingale convergence theorem, the limit  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} H(B_t, t)$  exists  $\mathbf{P}^0$ -a.s. and in  $L^1(\mathbf{P}^0)$ ; the  $\mathbf{P}^0$ -a.s. limit is easily seen to be 0, by (12) and the law of the iterated logarithm. Consequently, if  $H(B_0, 0)$  is understood to be 0, then  $\{H(B_t, t); t \geq 0\}$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^0$  martingale.

(c) Let  $h$  be a  $C^2$  function such that  $t \mapsto t^{p/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  martingale for some  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $h$  satisfies (6), and unless  $h$  is a polynomial the estimate (12) can be strengthened to an asymptotic equivalence:

$$|h(x)| \sim \text{Const.} \cdot \exp(x^2/2) \cdot |x|^{-p-1}, \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

See 13.1.4 in [1]. The  $\mathbf{P}^x$  integrability of  $h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$ , for  $t = 1$ , implies that for  $N$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \int_{\mathbf{R}} |h(y)| \exp(-(y-x)^2/2) dy \\ &\geq \text{Const.} \cdot \exp(-x^2/2) \int_{|y| \geq N} \exp(xy) |y|^{-p-1} dy, \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly absurd because  $x \neq 0$ . Thus,  $h$  must be a polynomial. In view of (10) and (11), the only polynomial solutions of (6) occur when  $p$  is a non-negative integer, and any such polynomial solution is proportional to  $h_p$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Only the local martingale property of  $t^{p/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  and the integrability of  $h(B_1)$  were used in the proof of (c). An alternative proof, which uses more fully the hypothesis that  $t^{p/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  is a martingale, was suggested by the referee: If  $t^{p/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^x$  martingale for some  $x \neq 0$ , then  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{p/2}h(B_t/\sqrt{t})$  exists  $\mathbf{P}^x$  almost surely. This implies the existence of  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{p/2}h(x/\sqrt{t})$ , which forces the (entire!) function  $h$  to have a pole (of order at most  $p$ ) at infinity. In other words,  $h$  must be a polynomial.

**Acknowledgment.** I thank the referee for helpful comments, especially those concerning the confluent hypergeometric function  $M$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and A. Stegun: *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Reprint of the 1972 edition). Dover, New York, 1992.
- [2] A. Friedman: *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
- [3] S. Janson: *Gaussian Hilbert Spaces*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] D. Nualart: *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [5] A. Plucińska: A stochastic characterization of Hermite polynomials, *J. Math. Sci.* **89** (1998) 1541–1544.
- [6] D.W. Stroock: *Probability Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.