

**Martingale Functions of Brownian Motion  
and its Local Time**

by

P.J. Fitzsimmons and D.M. Wroblewski

Department of Mathematics, 0112  
University of California San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112  
USA

September 25, 2008

**ABSTRACT**

We characterize the class of local martingales of the form  $H(B_t, L_t)$  for a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and its local time at 0,  $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . The main result is closely related to work of J. Obłój, who studied the local martingales of the form  $H(B_t, \overline{B}_t)$ , where  $\overline{B}_t = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$ .

*Keywords.* Brownian motion, local martingale, local time.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60J65, Secondary: 60J55, 60G44.

## 1. Results.

Let  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion with  $B_0 = 0$ , defined on a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the filtration generated by  $B$  (augmented by the  $\mathbf{P}$ -null sets in  $\sigma\{B_t : t \geq 0\}$ ). The local time process  $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$  measures the zero set  $\mathcal{Z} := \{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\}$ . The process  $L$  is adapted to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , continuous, increasing, and flat on the complement of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ; and can be constructed as the almost sure limit

$$(1.1) \quad L_t = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^t 1_{\{|B_s| < \epsilon\}} ds,$$

the convergence being uniform on compact time intervals. Alternatively,  $L$  is characterized by Tanaka's formula:

$$(1.2) \quad L_t = |B_t| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The local time also features in the one-sided variants of Tanaka's formula; the "positive" case being

$$(1.3) \quad B_t^+ = \int_0^t 1_{\{B_s > 0\}} dB_s + \frac{1}{2} L_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where  $b^+ := b \vee 0$  for a real number  $b$ .

If  $f : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuously differentiable, then (1.3) and Itô's formula yield

$$(1.4) \quad B_t^+ \cdot f(L_t) = \int_0^t f(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s > 0\}} dB_s + \frac{1}{2} F(L_t)$$

where  $F(x) := \int_0^x f(u) du$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . A monotone class argument shows that (1.4) persists for general bounded measurable  $f$ , and then a truncation argument based on the following result yields the validity of (1.4) when  $f$  is merely locally integrable on  $[0, \infty[$  (a condition that is clearly necessary as well).

**(1.5) Lemma.** *Let  $f : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a measurable function. Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^t [f(L_s)]^2 ds < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.} \iff \int_0^x |f(u)| du < \infty, \quad \forall x > 0.$$

*Proof.* A theorem of Lévy tells us that

$$(1.7) \quad (\overline{B}_t, \overline{B}_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (L_t, |B_t|)_{t \geq 0},$$

so the assertion of the lemma follows from [OY; p. 523]; see also [O; p. 962].  $\square$

Combining the above discussion with the analogous remarks concerning  $B_t^- := (-B_t) \vee 0$ , we arrive at the following result.

**(1.8) Theorem.** Fix  $f_+, f_- \in L^1_{\text{loc}}[0, \infty[$  and define

$$(1.9) \quad M_t := H(B_t, L_t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad H(x, y) := x^+ \cdot f_+(y) - x^- \cdot f_-(y) - \frac{1}{2} [F_+(y) - F_-(y)],$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad F_{\pm}(y) := \int_0^y f_{\pm}(u) du, \quad y \geq 0.$$

Then  $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  local martingale, with stochastic integral representation

$$(1.12) \quad M_t = \int_0^t [f_+(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s > 0\}} + f_-(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s < 0\}}] dB_s,$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , almost surely.

Local martingales of the above type find application in [AY] and [RVY]. Two special cases are worth noting:  $f_+ = f_-$  and  $f_+ = -f_-$ .

**(1.13) Corollary.** Let  $f$  be in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  and define  $F(x) := \int_0^x f(u) du$  as before.

(a) The process  $B_t \cdot f(L_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale, and

$$(1.14) \quad B_t \cdot f(L_t) = \int_0^t f(L_s) dB_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

(b) The process  $|B_t| \cdot f(L_t) - F(L_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale, and

$$(1.15) \quad |B_t| \cdot f(L_t) - F(L_t) = \int_0^t f(L_s) \cdot \text{sgn}(B_s) dB_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

Part (a) of Corollary (1.13) is a special case of “balayage” considerations found in [Y; Thm. 2].

The following converse of Theorem (1.8) is the main result of this paper. It complements and (as a consequence of Lévy’s Theorem mentioned previously) generalizes Obłój’s characterization of the local martingale functions of Brownian motion and its running maximum. A footnote on p. 958 of [O] indicates that Obłój has obtained a similar result by the methods of [O]. The proof presented below is a condensed version of an argument found in the second-named author’s Ph. D. thesis [W], and it follows the broad outlines of the approach used in [O].

**(1.16) Theorem.** Let  $H : \mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a Borel measurable function, with  $H(0, 0) = 0$ , such that  $(H(B_t, L_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous local martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Then there exist locally integrable functions  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  such that, if we define  $F_{\pm}(y) = \int_0^y f_{\pm}(u) du$  and

$$(1.17) \quad \tilde{H}(x, y) := x^+ \cdot f_+(y) - x^- \cdot f_-(y) - \frac{1}{2} [F_+(y) - F_-(y)],$$

then

$$(1.18) \quad H(B_t, L_t) = \tilde{H}(B_t, L_t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

For the following corollary recall that  $\mathcal{Z} := \{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\}$ .

**(1.19) Corollary.** Let  $H : \mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a measurable function, with  $H(0, 0) = 0$ , such that  $M_t := H(B_t, L_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Suppose further that

$$(1.20) \quad M_t = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{Z},$$

almost surely. Then

$$(1.21) \quad M_t = B_t \cdot f(L_t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.},$$

for some  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ .

**(1.22) Remarks.** (a) A direct argument using Itô's formula shows that if  $H$  is of the class  $C^{2,1}$  with  $H(0, 0) = 0$ , and if  $M_t := H(B_t, L_t)$  is a (continuous) local martingale, then  $M$  must be of the form (1.21); cf. [OY; pp. 522–523]. Notice that (1.16) permits us to make the same deduction under the weaker smoothness condition that  $x \mapsto H(x, y)$  is differentiable at  $x = 0$  for Lebesgue a.e.  $y \geq 0$ .

(b) The new results presented in this paper are taken from the second-named author's doctoral dissertation [W].

(c) The pair  $(B, L)$  is a strong Markov process on the state space  $E := \mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty[$ . Under the law  $\mathbf{P}^x$  of Brownian motion started at  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , the process  $(B_t, y + L_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a realization of this process with starting point  $(x, y) \in E$ . Let us say that a Borel function  $H : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is *harmonic* provided  $M_t := H(B_t, y + L_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a continuous  $\mathbf{P}^x$ -local martingale for each starting point  $(x, y) \in E$ . It is not hard to deduce from (1.8) that if  $H$  is given by the right side of (1.17), then  $H$  is harmonic. Conversely, if  $H$  is harmonic, then there are locally integrable functions  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  such that  $H(x, y)$  is equal to the right side of (1.17) for *all*  $(x, y) \in E$ . We sketch the proof. If we start at  $(x, y)$  with  $x > 0$ , then  $M_t = H(B_t, y)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T_0$ , where  $T_z := \inf\{t : B_t = z\}$  for  $z \in \mathbf{R}$ . As noted already in (b), the harmonic functions of Brownian motion on  $]0, \infty[$  with absorbing barrier at 0 are the affine functions. Thus there are Borel functions  $\varphi_+$  and  $\gamma_+$  mapping  $]0, \infty[$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  such that for each  $x > 0$  and  $y \geq 0$ ,

$$(1.26) \quad M_t = H(B_t, y + L_t) = B_t \cdot \varphi_+(y) + \gamma_+(y), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0,$$

$\mathbf{P}^x$ -a.s. Fix  $x > 0$ , start the process  $(B, L)$  at  $(0, 0)$ , and consider the local martingale  $M$  on the time interval  $[T_x, D_x]$ , where  $D_x := \inf\{t > T_x : B_t = 0\}$ . The strong Markov property at time  $T_x$  implies that

$$(1.27) \quad M_t = B_t \cdot \varphi_+(L_t) + \gamma_+(L_t), \quad T_x \leq t \leq D_x,$$

$\mathbf{P}^0$ -a.s. Contrasting this with the information provided by Theorem (1.16), we deduce that

$$(1.28) \quad \varphi_+(y) = f_+(y)$$

for Lebesgue a.e.  $y \geq 0$ , because the  $\mathbf{P}^0$ -distribution of  $L_{T_x}$  has a strictly positive density with respect to Lebesgue measure. Thus, substituting  $\varphi_+$  for  $f_+$  we can suppose that, in addition to the conclusion of Theorem (1.16) holding, we have the identity

$$(1.26) \quad H(x, y) = x \cdot f_+(y) + H(0, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in ]0, \infty[ \times ]0, \infty[.$$

Similarly,

$$(1.27) \quad H(x, y) = x \cdot f_-(y) + H(0, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in ]-\infty, 0[ \times ]0, \infty[.$$

Together (1.26) and (1.27) yield

$$(1.28) \quad H(x, y) = x^+ \cdot f_+(y) - x^- \cdot f_-(y) + H(0, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbf{R} \times ]0, \infty[.$$

To verify the asserted form of  $H(0, y)$ , note that (1.28), the local martingale property of  $H(B_t, L_t)$ , and Theorem (1.8) imply that

$$(1.29) \quad 2H(0, L_t) + F_+(L_t) - F_-(L_t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a continuous  $\mathbf{P}^0$ -local martingale. This is enough to conclude that  $H(0, y) = [F_-(y) - F_+(y)]/2$  for all  $y \geq 0$ —see the discussion following (2.20) in the proof of Theorem (1.16) found in section 2.

(d) When the function  $H$  in Theorem (1.16) is symmetric in its first argument (i.e., when  $H(x, y) = G(|x|, y)$  for some  $G$ ), then we recover the main result of [O] because of (1.7)—the distribution of  $(|B_t|, L_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is that of a reflecting Brownian motion on  $]0, \infty[$  paired with its local time at 0. We record this result for the sake of completeness.

**(1.30) Theorem.** [O; Thm. 2] *Let  $(R_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a reflecting Brownian motion on  $]0, \infty[$  with  $R_0 = 0$ , and let  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be its (semimartingale) local time at 0. Let  $\Phi : \mathbf{R} \times ]0, \infty[$  be a Borel measurable function such that  $\Phi(R_t, K_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a continuous local martingale (relative to its natural filtration). Then there is a locally integrable function  $g : ]0, \infty[$  such that*

$$(1.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(R_t, K_t) - \Phi(0, 0) &= R_t \cdot g(K_t) - G(K_t), \\ &= \int_0^t g(K_s) dR_s - \int_0^t g(K_s) dK_s, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , almost surely, where  $G(x) := \int_0^x g(u) du$  for  $x \geq 0$ .

## 2. Proofs.

*Proof of Theorem (1.16).*

The proof is close in outline to Oblój's proof of [O; Thm. 1], so certain points will be only sketched.

Let  $H : ]0, \mathbf{R} \times ]0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a Borel function such that  $(H(B_t, L_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous local martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Define  $M_t := H(B_t, L_t) - H(B_0, L_0)$ . By the

Brownian Local Martingale Representation Theorem, there exists a real-valued predictable process  $\eta$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad M_t = \int_0^t \eta_s dB_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Fix  $0 < s < t$  and observe that the integrand  $\eta_s$  is equal to

$$\lim_{v \downarrow s} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \mathbf{E} [H(B_t, L_t) B_v | \mathcal{F}_s],$$

provided  $(H(B_t, L_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a square integrable martingale. Since the pair  $(B_t, L_t)$  is a Markov process, this makes it intuitively plausible that  $\eta$  takes the form

$$(2.2) \quad \eta_s = h(B_s, L_s), \quad \forall s \geq 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for some Borel function  $h$ ; see pp. 963–964 in [O] for a more detailed discussion of this point. Summarizing, we now have the following two identities:

$$(2.3) \quad M_t = H(B_t, L_t) - H(B_0, L_0),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad M_t = \int_0^t h(B_s, L_s) dB_s.$$

Define stopping times, for fixed  $x$ , by

$$T_x := \inf\{t > 0 : B_t = x\}, \quad U_x := \inf\{t > T_x : B_t = 0\},$$

and set

$$\xi_x := L_{T_x}, \quad R_x := U_x - T_x.$$

Also, for  $s \geq 0$ , define

$$\beta_s = B_{T_x+s} - B_{T_x}, \quad D_s = \inf\{t > s : B_t = 0\}.$$

Note that  $t \mapsto L_t$  is constant on  $[T_x, T_x + R_x]$ , and that  $\xi_x$  (being  $\mathcal{F}_{T_x}$ -measurable) is independent of  $(\beta_s)_{s \geq 0}$ . We sometimes write  $\beta_s^x := B_{T_x+s} - B_{T_x} = x + \beta_s$ , a Brownian motion started at  $x$ . By expanding

$$M_{T_x+(t \wedge R_x)} - M_{T_x}$$

in two different ways using (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^{t \wedge R_x} h(x + \beta_u, \xi_x) d\beta_u = H(x + \beta_{t \wedge R_x}, \xi_x) - H(x, \xi_x) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The stochastic integral in (2.5) is a local martingale, hence so is the right side. However this is a local martingale function of (absorbed) Brownian motion and thus is an affine function of that Brownian motion. Hence, almost surely for all  $t \in [0, R_x]$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad H(x + \beta_t, \xi_x) - H(x, \xi_x) = f(x, \xi_x) \cdot \beta_t + g(x, \xi_x) = \int_0^t f(x, \xi_x) d\beta_u + g(x, \xi_x),$$

for certain functions  $f$  and  $g$ . Taking  $t = 0$  we find that  $g(x, \xi_x)$  is identically 0. Thus, almost surely for all  $t \in [0, R_x]$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad H(x + \beta_t, \xi_x) - H(x, \xi_x) = \int_0^t f(x, \xi_x) d\beta_u.$$

We now verify that  $f(x, y)$  does not depend on  $x$ . To this end fix  $x \neq 0$  and note that, by the independence of  $\beta$  and  $\xi_x$ , and the fact that  $\xi_x$  has a density with respect to Lebesgue measure, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^{t \wedge R_x} h(x + \beta_u, y) d\beta_u = \int_0^{t \wedge R_x} f(x, y) d\beta_u,$$

for a.e.  $y \in [0, \infty)$  with respect to Lebesgue measure. Now suppose that  $x > 0$ . Fix  $x'$  such that  $0 < x' < x$  and define the following:

$$T' := \inf(u > T_x : B_u = x'), \quad \beta'_s := B_{T'+s}.$$

Exploiting the fact that the  $\beta'$  path is a fragment of the  $\beta$  path:

$$\beta'_s = \beta_{s+R}^x,$$

where  $R := (T' - T_x)$ , we conclude that for  $0 \leq t \leq (U_x - T')$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^t f(x', y) d\beta'_u = \int_0^t f(x, y) d\beta_u,$$

which implies that for  $t \in [0, U_x - T']$  there exists a Lebesgue null set  $N_x$  such that for all  $y \in [0, \infty) \setminus N_x$  we have

$$(2.10) \quad f(x', y) = f(x, y), \quad \forall x' \in ]0, x[.$$

We now let  $x$  vary through  $\mathbf{N}$  to conclude that there exists a single function  $f_+ : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , uniquely determined on  $[0, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} N_n$ , such that

$$(2.11) \quad H(x + \beta_t, \xi_x) - H(x, \xi_x) = \int_0^t f_+(\xi_x) d\beta_u = f_+(\xi_x) \cdot \beta_t,$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq R_x$ , a.s. A similar argument holds for  $x < 0$  and we thus obtain for  $t \in [0, R_x]$ ,

$$(2.12) \quad H(x + \beta_t, L_{T_x}) - H(x, L_{T_x}) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t f_+(L_{T_x}) d\beta_u, & \text{for } x = \beta_0^x > 0, \\ \int_0^t f_-(L_{T_x}) d\beta_u, & \text{for } x = \beta_0^x < 0, \end{cases}$$

where we have denoted by  $f_+$ , and  $f_-$  the functions corresponding to excursions above and below 0 respectively, and  $\xi_x = L_{T_x}$ .

The above considerations have involved the *first* excursion of  $B$  (away from 0) to reach the level  $x$ , but they apply to subsequent excursions as well. A little thought now shows that (2.12) implies (via the Markov property and the fact that the local time is constant over each excursion) the following: For each fixed  $r \geq 0$ , almost surely on  $\{B_r \neq 0\}$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad H(B_s, L_s) - H(B_r, L_r) = \begin{cases} f_+(L_s)(B_s - B_r), & \text{for } B_r > 0, \\ f_-(L_s)(B_s - B_r), & \text{for } B_r < 0, \end{cases}$$

for all  $s \in [r, D_r]$ , where  $D_r$  is as before:  $D_r = \inf\{t > r : B_t = 0\}$ . By (2.13) and an approximation argument we may then conclude that

$$(2.14) \quad H(B_t, L_t) - H(0, L_t) = B_t^+ \cdot f_+(L_t) - B_t^- \cdot f_-(L_t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

By (2.4) and the above discussion, we have computed the Itô integrand  $\eta$ ;

$$(2.15) \quad \eta_s = f_+(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s > 0\}} + f_-(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s < 0\}} \text{ for a.e. pair } (s, \omega).$$

In view of (1.1) the local time  $L$  enjoys the following symmetry property:

$$(2.16) \quad (B, L) \stackrel{d}{=} (-B, L).$$

From (2.16), (1.5), and the fact that  $(H(B_t, L_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous local martingale we now deduce that both  $f_+$ , and  $f_-$  are in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$ . This in turn allows us to apply (1.8) to conclude that

$$(2.17) \quad B_t^+ \cdot f_+(L_t) = \int_0^t f_+(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s > 0\}} dB_s + \frac{1}{2} F_+(L_t)$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad B_t^- \cdot f_-(L_t) = - \int_0^t f_-(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s < 0\}} dB_s + \frac{1}{2} F_-(L_t),$$

where  $F_{\pm}(y) = \int_0^y f_{\pm}(u) du$ . Therefore the following holds,

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} H(B_t, L_t) - H(0, L_t) &= f_+(L_t) \cdot B_t^+ - f_-(L_t) \cdot B_t^-, \\ &= \int_0^t f_+(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s > 0\}} dB_s + \int_0^t f_-(L_s) \cdot 1_{\{B_s < 0\}} dB_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (F_+(L_t) - F_-(L_t)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , almost surely. This implies that

$$(2.20) \quad N_t := H(0, L_t) + \frac{1}{2} [F_+(L_t) - F_-(L_t)], \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a continuous local martingale. Since the local time  $L$  is flat off the zero set of  $B$ , the local martingale  $N$  must have vanishing quadratic variation, hence it must be constant in time. Consequently,

$$(2.21) \quad -H(0, L_t) = \frac{1}{2} [F_+(L_t) - F_-(L_t)], \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.},$$

yielding the main assertion in Theorem (1.16).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary (1.19).*

Let  $M_t = H(B_t, L_t)$  be a continuous local martingale vanishing on the zero set  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $B$ . By Theorem (1.16) we have

$$(2.22) \quad 0 = F_+(L_t) - F_-(L_t), \quad t \in \mathcal{Z},$$

almost surely. But as  $t$  increases through  $\mathcal{Z}$ , the local time process  $L$  increases continuously from 0 to  $+\infty$ . In other words,  $L(\mathcal{Z}) = [0, \infty[$ , almost surely. It follows from this and (2.22) that  $F_+(x) = F_-(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Consequently,  $f_+ = f_-$  Lebesgue a.e. on  $[0, \infty[$ . Define  $f := f_+$ . Since the distribution of  $L_t$  has a density with respect to Lebesgue measure, we have  $f(L_t) = f_+(L_t) = f_-(L_t)$  almost surely, for each fixed  $t > 0$ . Feeding this into (1.17) and (1.18) we deduce that

$$(2.23) \quad H(B_t, L_t) = B_t \cdot f(L_t),$$

first for each fixed  $t > 0$ , and then almost surely for all  $t \geq 0$  since both sides of (2.23) are continuous in  $t$ .  $\square$

## References

- [AY] J. Azéma and M. Yor: Une solution simple au problème de Skorokhod. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XIII*, pp. 90–115. Lecture Notes in Math. **721**, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [OY] J. Obłój and M. Yor: On local martingale and its supremum: harmonic functions and beyond, In *From Stochastic Calculus to Mathematical Finance*, pp. 517–533. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [O] J. Obłój: A complete characterization of local martingales which are functions of Brownian motion and its maximum, *Bernoulli* **12** (2006) 955–969.
- [RVY] B. Roynette, P. Vallois, M. Yor: Limiting laws associated with Brownian motion perturbed by its maximum, minimum and local time. II, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **43** (2006) 295–360.
- [W] D.M. Wroblewski: Non-smooth Brownian martingales and stochastic integral representations, Ph. D. thesis, University of California San Diego, 2007.
- [Y] M. Yor: Sur le balayage des semi-martingales continues. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XIII*, pp. 453–471. Lecture Notes in Math. **721**, Springer, Berlin, 1979.