

MATH 170B HW II. and #1 in Mid-term II.

See 6.7:

#5. By Thm 2, the derivative keeps the same radius, thus we can take further derivatives term by term.

i.e. $f^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k k!}{(k-n)!} (x-c)^{k-n}$, if $|x-c| < r$. \square

#16. $\cos x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!}$, $-\infty < x < \infty$.

then ① $\sin x = \int \cos x dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!} dx$
 $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$

② $\sin x = -\frac{d \cos x}{dx} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d \left((-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!} \right)}{dx} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$

#25. Obviously by Thm 2.

See 6.8:

#4 Use Induction.

① $\{p_0\}$ is obviously linear indep.

② Assume $\{p_0, \dots, p_k\}$ is linear indep.

Then consider $\{p_0, \dots, p_{k+1}\}$

$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i p_i = 0$, since only p_{k+1} has term x^{k+1} , so $a_{k+1} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^k a_i p_i = 0 - a_{k+1} p_{k+1} = 0$, by assumption, $a_i = 0, i=0, \dots, k$
 $\Rightarrow a_i = 0, i=0, \dots, k+1$. \square

#5 Show $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \langle g, u_i \rangle$, $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is orthonormal set.

Proof: ~~$\langle f, g \rangle =$~~ Since $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$, $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j u_j \right\rangle \text{ by orthogonality}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha_i u_i, \beta_i u_i \rangle, \text{ by } \|u_i\| = 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \langle g, u_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j u_j, u_i \right\rangle \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j u_j, u_i \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha_i u_i, u_i \rangle \langle \beta_i u_i, u_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i$$

□

#15 Proof: Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be an orthogonal set, and all $u_i \neq 0$.

Consider $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i = 0$

$$\text{then wlog } \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i, u_i \right\rangle = \langle 0, u_i \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i \langle u_i, u_i \rangle = a_i \|u_i\|^2 = 0, \text{ since } u_i \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is linear indep set.

□

#16 Proof: Let $Af_1 = \lambda_1 f_1$
 $Af_2 = \lambda_2 f_2$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$

then $\langle f_1, Af_2 \rangle = \langle Af_1, f_2 \rangle = \langle \lambda_1 f_1, f_2 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$
 by self adjoint
 $\Rightarrow \langle f_1, \lambda_2 f_2 \rangle = \lambda_2 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$.

Finally, we have $\lambda_2 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$, since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$
 $\Rightarrow \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0$, i.e. $f_1 \perp f_2$. □

#18. Proof: Thm 7

① $P_n(\alpha f + \beta g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha f + \beta g, u_i \rangle u_i = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g, u_i \rangle u_i$
 $= \alpha P_n f + \beta P_n g$, P_n is linear.

Then show P_n is "onto".

$\forall g \in U_n$, ~~one can~~ i.e. $g = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$ and $g \in E$ also.

then consider $P_n g = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g, u_i \rangle u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \sum_{j=1}^n a_j u_j, u_i \rangle u_i$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i = g$,

thus P_n is surjective.

② Show $P_n^2 = P_n$

In ①, we already showed if $g \in U_n$, then $P_n g = g$,

thus ~~$P_n(P_n g) = P_n g$~~

For $f \in E$, $P_n^2 f = P_n(P_n f) = P_n f$, i.e. $P_n^2 = P_n$.

③ Let $f \in E$, $P_n f = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i \in U_n$, $\forall g \in U_n$, $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle f - P_n f, g \rangle &= \left\langle f - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j u_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \beta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \langle u_i, u_i \rangle \beta_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \beta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \beta_i \stackrel{\text{Since}}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_i\|=1$
orthonormal.

Since g is arbitrary, $f - P_n f \perp U_n$.

④ Consider $\|f - P_n f\|^2 = \langle f - P_n f, f - P_n f \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle f, f - P_n f \rangle - \langle P_n f, f - P_n f \rangle \xrightarrow{0 \text{ by } \textcircled{3}} \\ &= \langle f - g + g, f - P_n f \rangle, \forall g \in U_n \xrightarrow{0 \text{ by } \textcircled{3}} \\ &= \langle f - g, f - P_n f \rangle + \langle g, f - P_n f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz $\leq \|f - g\| \|f - P_n f\|$,

\Rightarrow divide $\|f - P_n f\|$, $\|f - P_n f\| \leq \|f - g\|, \forall g \in U_n$.

i.e. $P_n f$ is best approximation.

Let $f, g \in E$

⑤ $\langle P_n f, g \rangle = \langle P_n f, g - P_n g + P_n g \rangle = \langle P_n f, g - P_n g \rangle + \langle P_n f, P_n g \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle P_n f - f + f, P_n g \rangle \\ &= \langle P_n f - f, P_n g \rangle + \langle f, P_n g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\xrightarrow{0 \text{ by } \textcircled{3}}$

#21 By Thm 5: from Example 2,

we have $P_0=1$, $P_1=x$, $P_2=x^2-\frac{1}{3}$.

then $P_3(x) = (x-a_3)P_2 - b_3P_1$

$$\text{where } a_3 = \frac{\langle xP_2, P_2 \rangle}{\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle} = \frac{\int_{-1}^1 x(x^2-\frac{1}{3})^2 dx}{\int_{-1}^1 (x^2-\frac{1}{3})^2 dx} = 0$$

$$b_3 = \frac{\langle xP_2, P_1 \rangle}{\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle} = \frac{\int_{-1}^1 x(x^2-\frac{1}{3})x dx}{\int_{-1}^1 x^2 dx} = \frac{4}{15}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_3 = xP_2 - \frac{4}{15}P_1 = x^3 - \frac{3}{5}x.$$

Use the same algorithm to find P_4 and P_5 .

#22. Here the space becomes $C[0,1]$,

and inner-product is $\int_0^1 fg dx$.

Let $P_0=1$, $P_1=x-a_1$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Find } a_1 = \frac{\langle xP_0, P_0 \rangle}{\langle P_0, P_0 \rangle} = \frac{\int_0^1 x dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1 = x - \frac{1}{2}$$

$\textcircled{2}$ $P_2 = (x-a_2)P_1 - b_2P_0$

$$\text{where } a_2 = \frac{\langle xP_1, P_1 \rangle}{\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle} = \frac{\int_0^1 x(x-\frac{1}{2})^2 dx}{\int_0^1 (x-\frac{1}{2})^2 dx} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{\langle xP_1, P_0 \rangle}{\langle P_0, P_0 \rangle} = \frac{\int_0^1 x(x-\frac{1}{2}) dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2 = (x-\frac{1}{2})(x-\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{12} = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$$

Similar for P_3 .

#1 of Mid-term II.

(a) p is a direction of decrease if $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$f(x^0 + \alpha p) < f(x^0) \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, \delta) \text{ at } x^0.$$

(b) p is a descent direction for f at x^0 if $\nabla f(x^0)^T p < 0$.

(c) $\nabla f(x^0) = 0$, then x_0 is a stationary pt.

(d) \exists open ball $B(x^*, r)$ s.t. $f(x^*) \leq f(y)$, $\forall y \in B(x^*, r)$,
then x^* is a local minimizer of f .

(e) First order necessary condition, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$

Second order " " " " , $p^T \nabla^2 f(x^*) p \geq 0$, $\forall p$.

(f) Sufficient condition, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$

and $p^T \nabla^2 f(x^*) p > 0$, $\forall p$.