

Math 742, Spring 2016
Homework 5
Due: February 26

1. EXERCISES

- (1) Let k be a field and let $\mathfrak{m} = (x - 1)$ be a maximal ideal in $k[x]$. Let $Q = \mathfrak{m} \cap k[x^2 - 1]$ where $k[x^2 - 1]$ is the subring generated by $x^2 - 1$. Show that $1/(x + 1) \in k[x]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not integral over $k[x^2 - 1]_Q$.

This shows that even if $S \subset R$ is integral, $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ need not be integral over $S_{S \cap \mathfrak{m}}$.

- (2) Let K be a field extension of the field k . A subset $S \subset K$ is **algebraically independent over k** if, given any nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ with coefficients in k and distinct $s_1, \dots, s_r \in S$, we have $p(s_1, \dots, s_r) \neq 0$. Order the set of algebraically independent subsets by inclusion. If S is a maximal algebraically independent subset, then S is a **transcendence basis**.

(a) Use Zorn's lemma to show that transcendence bases exist.

(b) If S is a finite algebraically independent subset and T is another algebraically independent subset with $|T| > |S|$, show that there exists $x \in T$ such that $S \cup \{x\}$ is also algebraically independent.

(c) Now assume that K is finitely generated over k (i.e., K is the fraction field of a finitely generated k -algebra). Show that K has a finite transcendence basis over k . Conclude from (b) that all transcendence bases have the same size; this number d is the **transcendence degree** of K over k .

In particular, if K is algebraic over a subfield generated by d elements, then these elements must be algebraically independent.

- (3) Let k be a field and let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. In class, we used the fact that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}} = n$ for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of R without proving it. The goal of this exercise is to show that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is independent of \mathfrak{m} without using the full version of (15.1), so don't quote any results including and after (15.9) from the text for this problem (also don't use (15.1)).
- (a) Show that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is independent of \mathfrak{m} when k is algebraically closed.
- (b) Show that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is independent of \mathfrak{m} in general by considering the integral extension $R \subset \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k .

- (4) Give an example of a non-noetherian ring such that every ascending chain of prime ideals $P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq \dots$ stabilizes, i.e., $P_j = P_{j+1} = \dots$ for some j . This shows that the obvious variation of Theorem 16.10 is not true.

2. SUGGESTED EXERCISES (DON'T SUBMIT)

From Altman–Kleiman:

- Chapter 14: 4, 13, 14, 17
- Chapter 15: 11, 17, 19