

Parabolic subgroups $I \subseteq S$, $W_I = \text{subgroup of } W \text{ generated by } I$.

$(I, m|_I)$ is Coxeter system \rightsquigarrow get Coxeter group \overline{W}_I .

Get surjective homomorphism $\overline{W}_I \rightarrow W_I$

Lemma. $\overline{W}_I \rightarrow W_I$ is an isomorphism.

Pf. Let $V_I \subseteq V$ be subspace spanned by $\{\alpha_s \mid s \in I\}$.

V_I is naturally the geom. rep of \overline{W}_I , $\sigma_I: \overline{W}_I \rightarrow GL(V_I)$

σ_I is injective $\Rightarrow \overline{W}_I \rightarrow W_I \rightarrow GL(V_I)$
also injective $\Rightarrow \overline{W}_I \rightarrow W_I$ is injective. \square

W_I is a parabolic subgroup.

Thm. ① Pick $I \subseteq S$. If $w = s_1 \dots s_r$ is reduced expression, $s_i \in S$, and $w \in W_I$, then $s_i \in I$ for all i . So $l_I = l|_{W_I}$.

② $I, J \subseteq S$, $W_I \cap W_J = W_{I \cap J}$. $W_I \cup W_J$ is subgroup generated by W_I & W_J .

③ S is a minimal generating set for W , i.e., no proper subset of S generates W .

Pf. ① Induction on $l(w)$. Base case: $l(w)=0$, i.e., $w=1$. Clear.

Assume now $l(w) > 0$. Set $s = s_r$. By last lecture, $w(\alpha_s) < 0$

since $l(ws) < l(w)$. Since $w \in W_I$, have $w = t_1 \dots t_p$ w/ $t_i \in I$.

Hence $w(\alpha_s) = t_1 \dots t_p(\alpha_s) = \alpha_s + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j \alpha_{t_j}$.

If $s \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_p\}$, then coeff of α_s in $w(\alpha_s)$ is 1 $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

$\Rightarrow s \in I$ & $ws \in W_I$. By induction, since $ws = s_1 \dots s_{r-1}$,

we have $s_i \in I$ for $i=1, \dots, r-1$. \checkmark

② Clear from ①

③ Suppose $I \subsetneq S$ generates W . Pick $s \notin I$. Then $s \in W_I = W$

By ①, $s \in I$. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ \square

Lemma. $s \in S$, σ_s preserves $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s\}$ & σ_s preserves $\Phi^- \setminus \{-\alpha_s\}$.

PF. Pick $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $\alpha \neq \alpha_s$. $B(\alpha, \alpha) = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha$ not scalar multiple of α_s .

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \sum_{i \in S} c_i \alpha_i$ where $c_i \geq 0$ & $c_t > 0$ for some $t \neq s$.

$s(\alpha) = \alpha - 2B(\alpha, \alpha_s)\alpha_s \Rightarrow$ coeff of α_t in $s(\alpha)$ is $c_t > 0$.
 $\Rightarrow s(\alpha)$ is positive root.

Also $s(\alpha) \neq \alpha_s$. If not, then $\alpha = s(\alpha_s) = -\alpha_s \rightarrow \leftarrow$.

Second statement follows from first using that $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. \square

Thm. For $w \in W$, $l(w) = |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid w(\alpha) < 0\}|$.

PF. Induction on $l(w)$. Base case: $l(w) = 0 \Rightarrow w = 1$, clear.

So assume $l(w) > 0$, pick $s \in S$ s.t. $l(ws) = l(w) - 1 \Rightarrow w(\alpha_s) < 0$.

$\Rightarrow ws(\alpha_s) = w(-\alpha_s) > 0$.

Pick $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s\}$, then $s(\alpha) \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s\}$.

So $\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid w(\alpha) < 0\} = \{\alpha_s\} \perp \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid ws(\alpha) < 0\}$

By induction, last set has size $l(ws) = l(w) - 1 \Rightarrow |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid w(\alpha) < 0\}| = l(w)$. \square

EX. $W \cong S_n$, geom. rep. is (hyperplane in) \mathbb{R}^n , $w \in S_n$ acts by permuting coordinates. Roots are $e_i - e_j$ (up to scalar) for $i \neq j$.

positive roots are $e_i - e_j$ ($i < j$), negative roots are $e_i - e_j$ ($i > j$).

For $i < j$, $e_i - e_j$ becomes negative $\Leftrightarrow w(i) > w(j)$.

$\Rightarrow l(w) = |\{i < j \mid w(i) > w(j)\}|$ such a pair is an inversion of w .

Given $\alpha = w(\alpha_s)$, define $S_\alpha = w s w^{-1}$. (Reflections)

Lemma. $\sigma(S_\alpha)(v) = v - 2B(v, \alpha)\alpha$. In particular, S_α does not depend on choice of w, s s.t. $\alpha = w(\alpha_s)$. Furthermore, $S_\alpha = S_{-\alpha}$, and

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$, $S_\alpha = S_\beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$.

Pf. Write $\alpha = w(\alpha_s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S_\alpha)(v) &= w s w^{-1}(v) = w(w^{-1}v - 2B(w^{-1}v, \alpha_s)\alpha_s) \\ &= v - 2B(w^{-1}v, \alpha_s)\alpha = v - 2B(v, \alpha)\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

σ injective $\Rightarrow S_\alpha$ does not depend on w, s .

$S_\alpha = S_{-\alpha}$ clear from formula.

Now suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$, $S_\alpha = S_\beta$. Then

$$-\beta = S_\beta(\beta) = S_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - 2B(\beta, \alpha)\alpha \Rightarrow \beta = B(\beta, \alpha)\alpha$$

Both α, β are unit vectors, so $\beta = \pm\alpha$, both positive so $\beta = \alpha$. \square

$T =$ set of all reflections, $T \cong \Phi^+$
 $=$ union of conjugacy classes of $s \in S$.

Note: $\text{sgn}(t) = -1$ for $t \in T \Rightarrow l(wt) \neq l(w)$ for all $w \in W, t \in T$

Ex. $W = S_n$, reflections are transpositions (i, j)

Thm. Pick $w \in W, t \in T$. Then $l(wt) > l(w) \Leftrightarrow w(\alpha_t) > 0$.

Pf. First prove $l(wt) > l(w) \Rightarrow w(\alpha_t) > 0$ by induction on $l(w)$.

Base case clear. Assume $l(w) > 0$. Pick $s \in S$ s.t. $l(sw) = l(w) - 1$.

Then $l(swt) \geq l(wt) - 1 > l(w) - 1 = l(sw)$

By induction, $sw(\alpha_t) > 0$. Suppose $w(\alpha_t) < 0$. Since s preserves $\Phi^- \setminus \{-\alpha_s\}$

$$\Rightarrow w(\alpha_t) = -\alpha_s \Rightarrow \alpha_t = w^{-1}(-\alpha_s) \Rightarrow t = (w^{-1}s)s(sw) = w^{-1}sw.$$

$$\Rightarrow wt = sw. \text{ In particular, } l(wt) > l(w) > l(sw) \rightarrow \Leftarrow.$$

So $w(\alpha_t) > 0$.

Now need $l(wt) < l(w) \Rightarrow w(\alpha_t) < 0$.

Use previous part w/ wt in place of w : $l(wtt) > l(wt) \Rightarrow wt(\alpha_t) > 0$

$$w(-\alpha_t) > 0 \quad \square$$