

## Noether normalization

Lemma.  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  = homog. poly w/ coefficients in an infinite field  $k$ . Then:  $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  (all  $\neq 0$ )  $\in k$  s.t.

$$F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \neq 0.$$

PF. Induction on  $n$ . If  $n=1$ ,  $F(x_1) = x_1^d$ , take any nonzero  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\checkmark$ .

Now assume  $n > 1$ . Consider  $F$  as polynomial in  $x_n$  w/ coeff in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ :

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^d F_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_n^i$$

Some  $F_i \neq 0$ , pick one. By induction,  $\exists$  nonzero  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$  s.t.  $F_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \neq 0$ .

$F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, x_n)$  is a polynomial in  $k[x_n]$ , not zero.

Take  $\lambda_n$  to be any nonzero element, not a root of this polynomial.  $\square$

Remark. May assume  $\lambda_n = 1$ : if  $F$  has degree  $d$ ,

$$F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \lambda_n^d F\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_n}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}, 1\right)$$

Thm (Noether normalization).  $k$  = infinite field,  $A$  = finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Then  $\exists k$ -subalgebra  $B \subset A$

s.t.  $A$  is integral over  $B$  &  $B$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring over  $k$ .

Pf. Induction on number  $n$  of generators of  $A$ .

If  $n=0$ ,  $A=k$ , nothing to prove.

Assume  $n>0$  and statement holds for algebras gen. by  $n-1$  elements.

Let  $A$  be generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are alg. ind. over  $k$ , take  $B=A$ . ✓

Else, may reorder so that  $x_1, \dots, x_i$  are alg. ind. over  $k$ ,

&  $x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$  algebraic over  $\text{Frac}(k[x_1, \dots, x_i])$

$\Rightarrow x_n$  is algebraic over  $\text{Frac}(k[x_1, \dots, x_i])$

$\Rightarrow$  nonzero polynomial  $f$  s.t.  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ .  
w/ coeff. in  $k$ .

Let  $F =$  sum of highest degree terms in  $f$ .

$F$  is homog (let  $d = \text{degree}$ )

$\Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in k$  s.t.  $F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 1) \neq 0$ .

Set  $x'_i = x_i - \lambda_i x_n$  for  $i=1, \dots, n-1$ .

Set  $A' = k[x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1}]$ .

Claim:  $x_n$  is integral over  $A'$ .

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{f(x'_1 + \lambda_1 x_n, \dots, x'_{n-1} + \lambda_{n-1} x_n, x_n)} = 0$$

can think of as poly. expression in  $x_n$  w/ coeff. in  $k[x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1}]$

leading term is  $F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 1) x_n^d$

Define new variable  $t$ , consider monic polynomial.

$$\frac{1}{F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 1)} f(x'_1 + \lambda_1 t, \dots, x'_{n-1} + \lambda_{n-1} t, t) \in A'[t]$$

$x_n$  is a solution to this polynomial

$\Rightarrow x_n$  is integral over  $A'$ .

---

By induction,  $\exists B \subset A'$  s.t.  $A'$  integral over  $B$   
and  $B \cong$  poly. ring over  $K$

By transitivity,  $A$  is integral over  $B$ . □

Rmk. If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  generate  $A$  as  $K$ -algebra proof shows  
that can always take  $B$  to be generated by  $K$ -linear  
combinations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

In fact, "generic" (or "random") choice of linear combinations suffice.

How many generators does  $B$  have?

Answer:  $\dim A$