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## 1 Representation and Character Varieties

For $n$ a positive integer and $\Gamma$ a group, let $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)$ denote the functor which sends a unital commutative ring $R$ to the set of group homomorphisms $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}(R)\right)$. We call this the $\mathrm{SL}_{n}$-representation variety of the group $\Gamma$.
We shall define the universal representation algebra $\mathrm{A}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)$ as follows:
Consider a set of indeterminates $\left\{a_{i j}(g)\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, g \in \Gamma}$.
For each $g \in \Gamma$ define the $n \times n$ matrix $\sigma(g):=\left(a_{i j}(g)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$.
Define the ideal
$I:=\left\langle a_{i j}(e)-\delta_{i j}, a_{i j}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k}\left(g_{1}\right) a_{k j}\left(g_{2}\right), \operatorname{det}(\sigma(g))-1 \mid g_{1}, g_{2}, g \in \Gamma, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\rangle$.
Then

$$
A\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right):=\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[a_{i j}(g) \mid g \in \Gamma, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right]}{I}
$$

Now define the universal representation of $\Gamma$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{n} \sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(A\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\sigma(g):=\left(a_{i j}(g)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}
$$

where now we are viewing the $a_{i j}$ 's as elements of $A\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)$.
Lemma 1. $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)$ is a representable functor represented by $A\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)$.
(See Section 1.1 of [13] for further explanation of this result.)
By an abuse of notation, we shall use $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)$ and the term "representation variety" to refer to the affine scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)\right)$.

Now we shall define another ring, the universal character ring of representations of $\Gamma$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$, by

$$
R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right):=\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[t_{g} \mid g \in \Gamma\right]}{\left\langle t_{e}-2, t_{g_{1}} t_{g_{2}}-t_{g_{1} g_{2}}-t_{g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}} \mid g_{1}, g_{2} \in \Gamma\right\rangle}
$$

where the relations we are modding out by are referred to as the Fricke identities.
We shall define the $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-character variety of $\Gamma$ to be the affine scheme given by taking Spec of the universal character ring, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Ch}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right):=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Define a ring homomorphism $\Phi: R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ by

$$
\Phi\left(t_{g}\right):=\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(g))
$$

It follows precisely from the Fricke identities that $\Phi$ is well-defined.
Recall that every ring homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$ induces a morphism of schemes $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(B)$, and conversely, every morphism of schemes $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ is induced by some ring homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$ (see Proposition 2.3 of [10]).

Thus, $\Phi$ induces a morphism $\pi_{\Gamma}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ch}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ from the $\mathrm{SL}_{2^{-}}$ representation variety of $\Gamma$ to the $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-character variety of $\Gamma$, which we call the invariant morphism.

It is a result of Robert Horowitz (see Theorem 3.1 of [11]) that if $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated group with a linearly ordered generating set $\Omega$, then $R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ is a finitely generated ring, with a generating set over $\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$
\left\{t_{\omega} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \Omega, g_{1}<g_{2}<\cdots<g_{n}\right\}
$$

$R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ being a finitely generated ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ with this generating set means that there exist polynomials

$$
p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t_{\omega} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \Omega, g_{1}<g_{2}<\cdots<g_{n}\right]
$$

such that

$$
R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[t_{\omega} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \Omega, g_{1}<g_{2}<\cdots<g_{n}\right]}{\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right\rangle}
$$

If we let

$$
\ell:=\left|\left\{\omega \in \Gamma \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \Omega, g_{1}<g_{2}<\cdots<g_{n}\right\}\right|
$$

then for a ring $R$, the set of $R$-points of the $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-character variety of $\Gamma$ is thus given by

$$
\mathrm{Ch}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)(R):=\left\{\vec{r} \in R^{\ell} \mid p_{i}(\vec{r})=0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}
$$

Hence we have a morphism $\pi_{\Gamma}(R): \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)(R)$ given by $\pi_{\Gamma}(R)(\rho):=\left(\operatorname{tr}(\rho(\omega)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \Omega, g_{1}<g_{2}<\cdots<g_{n}\right)$.

An alternative way of thinking about $\pi_{\Gamma}(R)$ is by viewing $t_{g}$, for each $g \in \Gamma$, as a regular function of $\mathrm{Ch}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ such that for every $\rho \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)\right)$,

$$
t_{g}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}(R)(\rho)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\rho(g))
$$

Moving forward, we will often simply write $\pi_{\Gamma}$ instead of $\pi_{\Gamma}(R)$ if the ring $R$ is clear from context.

For the remainder of this work, we shall be concerned with representation varieties and character varieties of surface groups. By surface groups, we mean fundamental groups of compact, connected, orientable surfaces with finitely many punctures. (Of course, after puncturing, the surface is no longer compact.) Let $\Sigma_{g, n}$ denote such a surface with genus $g$ and $n$ punctures. Then the fundamental group of $\Sigma_{g, n}$ with respect to some arbitrary basepoint $x_{0}$, which we shall denote by $\Pi_{g, n}$, is given by the presentation:

$$
\Pi_{g, n}:=\pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}, x_{0}\right) \cong\left\langle a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \mid\left[a_{1}, b_{1}^{-1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{g}, b_{g}^{-1}\right] c_{1} \cdots c_{n}\right\rangle
$$

where $a_{i}$ 's, $b_{i}$ 's, and $c_{i}$ 's correspond to the homotopy classes of the blue, red, and green loops in the following diagram, respectively:


For convenience, we introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n} & :=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Pi_{g, n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n} & :=\operatorname{Ch}\left(\Pi_{g, n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \\
\pi_{g, n} & :=\pi_{\Pi_{g, n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 Examples

Let $F_{n}$ denote the free group of rank $n$. Observe that the representation and character variety corresponding to a given surface $\Sigma_{g, n}$ depend only on the fundamental group $\Pi_{g, n}$. For the three-punctured sphere and the one-punctured torus, we get a neat result, for which the details can be found in Section 6.2 of [2]:
Lemma 2. $R\left(F_{2}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$.
Notice that $\Pi_{0,3} \cong F_{2}$ and $\Pi_{1,1} \cong F_{2}$. Therefore, the lemma demonstrates that $\mathrm{Ch}_{0,3} \cong \mathbb{A}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1,1} \cong \mathbb{A}^{3}$.

The case of the four-holed sphere and the two-holed torus is less simple:
Lemma 3. Define the polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}\right]$ by
$p:=\sum_{j=1}^{7} x_{j}^{2}-\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{3} x_{4} x_{7}+x_{1} x_{6} x_{7}+x_{2} x_{5} x_{7}\right)+x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{7}-4$.
We have that $R\left(F_{3}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}\right]}{\langle p\rangle}$.
For details on this case see Section 1.5 of [4].
Notice this time that $\Pi_{0,4} \cong F_{3}$ and $\Pi_{1,2} \cong F_{3}$. Thus the lemma effectively gives us $\mathrm{Ch}_{0,4}$ and $\mathrm{Ch}_{1,2}$. This example demonstrates that $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$ is not always affine and may not be easy to understand in general.

## 3 Action of Mapping Class Group

Definition 1. The mapping class group of a surface $\Sigma_{g, n}$, denoted $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$, is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of $\Sigma_{g, n}$ which restrict to the identity on the boundary $\partial \Sigma_{g, n}$.

Definition 2. The pure mapping class group of a surface $\Sigma_{g, n}$, denoted $\Gamma_{g, n}$, is the subgroup of $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$ consisting of elements which fix each puncture individually.
I now aim to describe the action of $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$ on $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$.
Lemma 4. If $\Gamma$ is a group and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \Gamma$, then $t_{g_{1} g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}}=t_{g_{2}}$, viewed as elements of $R\left(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$.
Let $[\varphi] \in \operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$, where $\varphi$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of $\Sigma_{g, n}$ fixing the boundary pointwise. Recall $\Pi_{g, n}=\pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}, x_{0}\right)$, where $x_{0}$ was an arbitrarily chosen basepoint. For a choice of a path $\rho: I \rightarrow \Sigma_{g, n}$ from $x_{0}$ to $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)$, we can define a homomorphism $\varphi_{*}: \Pi_{g, n} \rightarrow \Pi_{g, n}$ by

$$
\varphi_{*}([\gamma]):=\left[\rho \cdot(\varphi \circ \gamma) \cdot \rho^{-1}\right] .
$$

Since $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism and is thus invertible, it follows that $\varphi_{*}$ is invertible and is thus an automorphism. Choosing a different path $\rho^{\prime}$ from $x_{0}$ to $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)$ results in a different automorphism $\varphi_{*}^{\prime}$, which is equivalent to $\varphi_{*}$ composed with an inner automorphism. Thus, what we have described defines a group homomorphism from $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{g, n}\right)$, where an isotopy class $[\varphi]$ gets sent to the automorphism class $\left[\varphi_{*}\right]$. For $[\varphi] \in \operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$, define a ring homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{Z}\left[t_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Pi_{g, n}\right] \rightarrow R\left(\Pi_{g, n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)
$$

by

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(t_{\gamma}\right):=t_{\varphi_{*}(\gamma)},
$$

where $\varphi_{*}$ is in $\left[\varphi_{*}\right]$, the $\operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{g, n}\right)$ element associated to $[\varphi]$, and the choice of $\varphi_{*} \in\left[\varphi_{*}\right]$ doesn't matter by Lemma 4 . Notice firstly that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is surjective. Also,

$$
\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{*}=\left\langle t_{e}-2, t_{\gamma_{1}} t_{\gamma_{2}}-t_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}-t_{\gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}} \mid \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Pi_{g, n}\right\rangle
$$

Therefore $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces a ring automorphism $\overline{\tilde{\varphi}}$ of $R\left(\Pi_{g, n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$, which in turn induces a scheme automorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$. It follows that $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$ acts on $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$, where an element $[\varphi]$ is associated to the scheme automorphism $\hat{\varphi}$. This action restricts to an action of $\Gamma_{g, n}$ on $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$.

## 4 Result of Golsefidy and Tamam

In this section we will develop the terminology for and then state the theorem from [14] upon which this thesis is meant to build upon.

Definition 3. For $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Pi_{g, n}$, the discriminant $\Delta\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \in R\left(\Pi_{g, n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\Delta\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right):=t_{\left[\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right]}-2
$$

Manipulation using the Fricke identities gives that for $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Pi_{g, n}$,

$$
\Delta\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=t_{\gamma}^{2}+t_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}+t_{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}}^{2}-t_{\gamma} t_{\gamma^{\prime}} t_{\gamma \gamma^{\prime}}-4
$$

Definition 4. The discriminant subvariety $D_{g, n}$ of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$ is the subvariety given by the ideal

$$
\left\langle\Delta\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \mid \gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Pi_{g, n}\right\rangle \unlhd R\left(\Pi_{g, n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)
$$

Definition 5. Define Zariski-open subschemes $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}$and $\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times}$of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}$ and $\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}$, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}:=\operatorname{Ch}_{g, n} \backslash D_{g, n} \\
\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times}:=\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n} \backslash \pi_{g, n}^{-1}\left(D_{g, n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The following result of Golsefidy and Tamam is directly from Section 2.2 of [14]:

Lemma 5. Let $G$ be a group isomorphic to either $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$, a double cover of $S_{4}$, or $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{5}\right)$. Then there exists a closed subscheme $\underline{F}_{g, n ; G}$ of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}$such that for every algebraically closed field $F$ of characteristic either zero or more than 5 ,

$$
\pi_{g, n}^{-1}\left(\underline{F}_{g, n ; G}(F)\right)=\left\{\rho \in \operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times}(F) \mid \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \cong G\right\} .
$$

This lemma serves as a definition of $\underline{F}_{g, n ; G}$.
Definition 6. For $\mathcal{R}$ a subset of a free group on $2 g+n$ generators, let $\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n, \mathcal{R}}^{\times}$ denote the closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times}$such that for every unital commutative ring $R$,
$\rho \in \operatorname{Rep}_{g, n, \mathcal{R}}^{\times}(R) \Longleftrightarrow \rho \in \operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times}(R)$ and $\forall w \in \mathcal{R}, w(\rho)=1$.
Similarly, let $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \mathcal{R}}^{\times}$denote the closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}$such that for every unital commutative ring $R$,
$x \in \mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \mathcal{R}}^{\times}(R) \Longleftrightarrow x \in \mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}(R)$ and $\forall w \in \mathcal{R}, \forall s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \in S, t_{s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}(x)=$ $t_{w s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}}(x)$,
where $S:=\left\{1, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\}$.
It follows from this definition that $\pi_{g, n}$ induces a well-defined morphism from $\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n, \mathcal{R}}^{\times}$to $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \mathcal{R}}^{\times}$.
Definition 7. For every subset $I$ of

$$
\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\}
$$

let $\mathcal{R}_{I}$ denote the subset of the free group on $2 g+n$ generators generated by $I$. Then define

$$
\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\bullet}:=\operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{I} \operatorname{Rep}_{g, n, \mathcal{R}_{I}}^{\times} \cup \bigcup_{G} \pi_{g, n}^{-1}\left(\underline{F}_{g, n ; G}\right)\right),
$$

where $I$ ranges over all subsets of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\}$ and $G$ ranges over $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$, a double cover of $S_{4}$, and $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{5}\right)$. Similarly, define

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\bullet}:=\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{I} \mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \mathcal{R}_{I}}^{\times} \cup \bigcup_{G} \underline{F}_{g, n ; G}\right) .
$$

Now let $I$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and let $\epsilon:=\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a collection of signs $( \pm 1)$. Golsefidy and Tamam define a closed subscheme $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon}^{\times}$of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}$with the following property (see Section 3.1, Lemma 23 of [14]):

For any unital commutative ring $R$ and element $x \in \operatorname{Ch}_{g, n}^{\times}(R), x \in \operatorname{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon}^{\times}(R)$ if and only if for every ring extension $A$ of $R$ and for every $\rho \in \operatorname{Rep}_{g, n}^{\times}(A)$ such that $\pi_{g, n}(\rho)=x$ (we call such a representation a lift of $x$ ) we have that $\rho\left(c_{i}\right)=\epsilon_{i} 1$ for all $i \in I$.

Now further let $R$ be a unital commutative ring and let $\mathbf{k}:=\left(k_{i}\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash I}$ be a collection of elements of $R$. Golsefidy and Tamam define a subscheme $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\times}$ of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon}^{\times} \times{ }_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ given by the equation

$$
\left(t_{c_{i}}(x)\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash I}=\mathbf{k}
$$

That is, for $B$ another unital commutative ring and $x \in \mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\times}(B)$, we require that for every lift $\rho$ of $x$ and every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash I$,

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho\left(c_{i}\right)\right)=k_{i} .
$$

$\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\times}$is referred to as a modified relative character variety of $\Sigma_{g, n}$.
Finally, Golsefidy and Tamam define an open subscheme $\mathrm{C}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}$ of $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\times}$by requiring that for $B$ any unital commutative ring, the number

$$
\left|\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid \rho\left(c_{i}\right) \neq \pm 1\right\}\right|
$$

remains constant as $x$ ranges over $\mathrm{Ch}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\times}(B)$ and $\rho$ ranges over all lifts of $x$. We are almost ready to state the result.
For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let

$$
\mathrm{C}_{g, n+m ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right):=\mathrm{C}_{g, n+m ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right) \cap \mathrm{Ch}_{g, n+m}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right),
$$

where $n+m$ indicates that we are working with $\Sigma_{g, n+m}$ and $|I|=m$. Let $\bar{N}_{g, n ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}(k)$ denote the number of $\Gamma_{g, n+m}$-orbits in $\mathrm{C}_{g, n+m ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then the following is due to Golsefidy and Tamam (see Section 7.4, Theorem 98 in [14]):
Theorem 6. Suppose $p$ is a prime, $g$ is a positive integer, $n$ is a non-negative integer, $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$, and $\epsilon:=\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}^{m}$. Suppose one of the following conditions hold:

1. $g \geq 3$.
2. $g=2$ and either $n>0$ or $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_{i} \neq-1$.
3. $g=1$ and $n \neq 2$.

Then there exists a positive integer $k_{0}:=k_{0}(g, n, \epsilon, \boldsymbol{k}, p)$ and a real number $c_{0}:=c_{0}(g, n, \epsilon, \boldsymbol{k}, p) \geq 1$ such that for all $k \geq k_{0}$, the following statements hold:

1. $\bar{N}_{g, n ; \epsilon, k}(k)=\bar{N}_{g, n ; \epsilon, k}\left(k_{0}\right)$
2. For every $x \in \mathrm{C}_{g, n+m ; \epsilon, \boldsymbol{k}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$,

$$
c_{0}^{-1} p^{d k} \leq\left|\Gamma_{g, n+m} \cdot x\right| \leq c_{0} p^{d k}
$$

where $d=2(3 g+n-3)$.

We are concerned particularly with the first of the two statements. The result gives the existence of a number $k_{0}$ such that the number of $\Gamma_{g, n+m}$-orbits in $\mathrm{C}_{g, n+m ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is constant for increasing $k \geq k_{0}$. However, the method of proof does not provide any upper bounds on $k_{0}$. Our goal is to show that in specific cases, that is for explicit choices of $p, g, n, \mathbf{k}$, and $\epsilon$, we have that $k_{0}$ is actually small, for instance $k_{0}=1$ or $k_{0}=2$. In a sense, this would demonstrate that in certain cases, the number of $\Gamma_{g, n+m}$-orbits in $\mathrm{C}_{g, n+m ; \epsilon, \mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ "stabilizes quickly" with respect to $k$. The hope is that this would shed light on the general behavior of $k_{0}$ with respect to the different parameters.

## 5 Pure mapping class group is finitely generated

Definition 8. A closed curve in a surface $\Sigma_{g, n}$ is defined to be a continuous $\operatorname{map} S^{1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{g, n}$. We say that a closed curve is simple if the corresponding map $S^{1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{g, n}$ is injective.

Often when we refer to a closed curve, we are really referring to the image of the associated map.

We now define the notion of a Dehn twist, following closely to the exposition given in Chapter 3 of [3].
Consider the annulus $A=S^{1} \times[0,1]$. We orient $A$ by embedding it in the polar coordinate plane via the map

$$
(\theta, t) \mapsto(\theta, t+1)
$$

and giving it the orientation induced by the standard orientation of the plane. Now define the twist map $T: A \rightarrow A$ by

$$
T(\theta, t):=(\theta+2 \pi t, t)
$$

It may be helpful to see what $T$ does to the set $\{(0, t) \mid t \in[0,1]\} \subseteq A$ :


Notice that $T$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of $A$ which fixes $\partial A$ pointwise.
Remark. We could have defined $T$ by $(\theta, t) \mapsto(\theta-2 \pi t, t)$. This would be a "right" twist, while our definition above is a "left" twist.
Let $\alpha$ be a simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{g, n}$. Let $N$ be a regular neighborhood of $\alpha$, and let $\phi$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $A \rightarrow N$. Then the

Dehn twist about $\alpha$ is the homeomorphism $T_{\alpha}: \Sigma_{g, n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{g, n}$ defined by

$$
T_{\alpha}(x):= \begin{cases}\phi \circ T \circ \phi^{-1}(x) & x \in N \\ x & x \in \Sigma_{g, n} \backslash N\end{cases}
$$

Observe that $T_{\alpha}$ always fixes $\partial \Sigma_{g, n}$. We see that $T_{\alpha}$ itself depends on the choice of regular neighborhood $N$ and homeomorphism $\phi$. However, via the theory of regular neighborhoods, the isotopy class of $T_{\alpha}$ does not depend on these choices. Furthermore, it also doesn't depend on the choice of the simple closed curve within the isotopy class of $\alpha$. So if we let $a$ denote the isotopy class of $\alpha$, then $T_{a}$ is a well-defined element of $\operatorname{MCG}\left(\Sigma_{g, n}\right)$, which we shall refer to as the Dehn twist about $a$. The following result is remarkable and important:

Theorem 7. For any surface $\Sigma_{g, n}, \Gamma_{g, n}$ is generated by finitely many Dehn twists.

Furthermore, for $g \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, Dehn twists about the following simple closed curves generate $\Gamma_{g, n}$ :


## 6 A Hensel-type argument

Suppose we have polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Then for any unital commutative ring $R$, define

$$
X(R):=\left\{\vec{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in R^{n} \mid f_{i}(\vec{r})=0 \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}\right\}
$$

Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime, and let $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ denote the $p$-adic integers. For $k \geq 1$, let $\pi_{k}: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ denote the residue modulo $p^{k}$ ring homomorphism. By an abuse of notation, we will often use $\pi_{k}$ to also denote the map $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n}$ defined by applying $\pi_{k}$ to each entry of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{n}$. Then for a fixed $k \geq 1$ and a fixed $\vec{a} \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{n}$ such that $\pi_{k}(\vec{a}) \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we would like to know for which $\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n}$ it is true that $\pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \vec{x} \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. That is, we are interested in the set

$$
\left\{\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n} \mid \pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \vec{x} \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right\}
$$

This set describes exactly the elements in $X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ which get projected onto the same element $\pi_{k}(\vec{a}) \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We may call these elements the "children" of $\pi_{k}(\vec{a})$.

For $f \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $\vec{a}, \vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{n}$, the Taylor expansion of $f$ about $\vec{a}$ is given by

$$
f(\vec{x})=\sum_{I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}} \frac{\partial_{I} f(\vec{a})}{I!}(\vec{x}-\vec{a})^{I}
$$

where
$I!:=i_{1}!\cdots i_{n}!, \partial_{I} f:=\partial_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{n}^{i_{n}} f$, and $(\vec{x}-\vec{a})^{I}:=\left(x_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{i_{1}} \cdots\left(x_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{i_{n}}$.
Fix $k \geq 1$ and $\vec{a} \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{n}$ such that $\pi_{k}(\vec{a}) \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then for $\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{n}$ and $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we have by Taylor expansion about $\vec{a}$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{j}\left(\vec{a}+p^{k} \vec{x}\right)=\sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}} \frac{\partial_{I} f_{j}(\vec{a})}{I!}\left(p^{k} \vec{x}\right)^{I} \\
=f_{j}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} f_{j}(\vec{a}) x_{i}+\sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n},|I| \geq 2} \frac{p^{k|I|} \partial_{I} f_{j}(\vec{a})}{I!} \vec{x}^{I} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is a basic fact from number theory that

$$
\nu_{p}(i!)=\left\lfloor\frac{i}{p}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{p^{2}}\right\rfloor+\cdots
$$

Therefore for $i \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu_{p}(i!)<\frac{i}{p}+\frac{i}{p^{2}}+\cdots \\
=\frac{i}{p}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\cdots\right)=\frac{i}{p}\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}}\right)=\frac{i}{p-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Notice that for $k \geq 1,|I| \geq 2$, and $p \geq 3$,

$$
k|I|-\frac{|I|}{p-1}=|I|\left(k-\frac{1}{p-1}\right) \geq 2\left(k-\frac{1}{p-1}\right)=2 k-\frac{2}{p-1} \geq 2 k-1 \geq k
$$

So for $k \geq 1,|I| \geq 2$, and $p \geq 3$,

$$
\nu_{p}\left(\frac{p^{k|I|}}{I!}\right)=\nu_{p}\left(p^{k|I|}\right)-\nu_{p}(I!)>k|I|-\frac{|I|}{p-1} \geq k
$$

which means that

$$
\nu_{p}\left(\frac{p^{k|I|}}{I!}\right) \geq k+1
$$

Thus for $\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n}$,

$$
f_{j}\left(\pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \vec{x}\right)=f_{j}\left(\pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})\right)+p^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} f_{j}\left(\pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})\right) x_{i}
$$

Observe that $f_{j}\left(\pi_{k}(\vec{a})\right)=0$ implies that there exists $t_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $f_{j}(\vec{a})=$ $p^{k} t_{j}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{j}\left(\pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \vec{x}\right)=0 \forall j \Longleftrightarrow p^{k} \pi_{k+1}\left(t_{j}\right)+p^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} f_{j}\left(\pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})\right) x_{i}=0 \quad \forall j \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(t_{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} f_{j}\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right) \pi_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=0 \forall j \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{1} f_{1}\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right) & \cdots & \partial_{n} f_{1}\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right) \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\partial_{1} f_{m}\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right) & \cdots & \partial_{n} f_{m}\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\pi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\pi_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\pi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\pi_{1}\left(t_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right] \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the Jacobian of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ by

$$
J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right):=\left[\partial_{j} f_{i}\right] \in \mathrm{M}_{m \times r}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]\right)
$$

For $A$ a unital commutative ring and $\mathbf{a} \in A^{r}$, define

$$
J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)(\mathbf{a}):=\left[\partial_{j} f_{i}(\mathbf{a})\right] \in \mathrm{M}_{m \times r}(A)
$$

What we have shown then is that if $J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right)$ is full rank and $m \leq n$, then the set

$$
\left\{\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n} \mid \pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \vec{x} \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right\}
$$

is non-empty, and by the rank-nullity theorem, has $p^{n-m}$ elements.
Moreover, let $\mathrm{T}_{\pi_{1}(\vec{a})} X(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ denote the kernel of the map $J\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\left(\pi_{1}(\vec{a})\right)$. Then we have also shown that the set

$$
\left\{\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n} \mid \pi_{1}(\vec{x}) \in \mathrm{T}_{\pi_{1}(\vec{a})} X(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})\right\}
$$

differs from the set

$$
\left\{\vec{x} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n} \mid \pi_{k+1}(\vec{a})+p^{k} \vec{x} \in X\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right\}
$$

by a translation.

## 7 Progress with twice-punctured torus

The example we have worked with so far has been the twice-punctured torus, that is, $g=1$ and $n=2$, and we have chosen $p=13, \epsilon=\varnothing$, and $\mathbf{k}=$ $(-2,2)$. Our over-arching goal then is to show that the number of $\Gamma_{1,2}$-orbits in $\mathrm{C}_{1,2 ;(-2,2)}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is constant for increasing $k \geq 1$. However, since the
definition of $\mathrm{C}_{1,2 ;(-2,2)}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is a bit technical, our strategy has been to investigate the $\Gamma_{1,2}$-orbits of a different but related set which is more straightforward to compute with, using the results of the last section. Then we expect to be able to expand our argument to all of $\mathrm{C}_{1,2 ;(-2,2)}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Recall our notation from Section 1 that

$$
\Pi_{1,2} \cong\left\langle a, b, c_{1}, c_{2} \mid\left[a, b^{-1}\right] c_{1} c_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Combining the result of Horowitz and our example from Section 2, we have that $\mathrm{Ch}_{1,2}$ is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{a}^{2}+t_{b}^{2}+t_{c_{1}}^{2}+t_{a b}^{2}+t_{a c_{1}}^{2}+t_{b c_{1}}^{2}+t_{a b c_{1}}^{2}-\left(t_{a} t_{b} t_{a b}+t_{c_{1}} t_{a b} t_{a b c_{1}}\right. \\
\left.+t_{b} t_{c_{1}} t_{b c_{1}}+t_{a} t_{b c_{1}} t_{a b c_{1}}+t_{a} t_{c_{1}} t_{a c_{1}}+t_{b} t_{a c_{1}} t_{a b c_{1}}\right) \\
+t_{a b} t_{a c_{1}} t_{b c_{1}}+t_{a} t_{b} t_{c_{1}} t_{a b c_{1}}-4=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the Fricke identities, we can deduce that

$$
t_{c_{2}}=t_{a} t_{a c_{1}}+t_{b} t_{b c_{1}}+t_{a b} t_{a b c_{1}}-t_{a} t_{b} t_{a b c_{1}}-t_{c_{1}}
$$

We want to investigate what happens when we fix the values of $t_{c_{1}}$ and $t_{c_{2}}$ to be -2 and 2 .

Thus, define
$f_{1}:=\sum_{i=1}^{6} T_{i}^{2}+2 T_{1} T_{3}-T_{2} T_{3} T_{6}-T_{1} T_{2} T_{5}+2 T_{5} T_{6}+2 T_{2} T_{4}-T_{1} T_{4} T_{6}+T_{3} T_{4} T_{5}-2 T_{1} T_{2} T_{6}$
and

$$
f_{2}:=T_{1} T_{3}+T_{2} T_{4}+T_{5} T_{6}-T_{1} T_{2} T_{6}
$$

$T_{1}$ through $T_{6}$ correspond to the generators of $R\left(\Gamma_{1,2}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$ given the ordering $a<b<c_{1}$.

In the spirit of the last section, for $k \geq 1$, define

$$
X\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right):=\left\{\vec{a} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{6} \mid f_{1}(\vec{a}), f_{2}(\vec{a})=0\right\}
$$

The action of $\Gamma_{1,2}$ is well-defined on $X\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, so it still makes sense to investigate orbit stability in this context. In particular we want to look at $X(\mathbb{Z} / 13 \mathbb{Z})$ and $X\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. However, instead of looking at all the elements of $X(\mathbb{Z} / 13 \mathbb{Z})$ at once, we will fix a single element $\vec{x} \in X(\mathbb{Z} / 13 \mathbb{Z})$ and look at its children, and we will check if $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(\vec{x})$ acts transitively on the children.
Utilizing Section 5 , we see that $\Gamma_{1,2}$ is generated by Dehn twists about the simple loops homotopic to $a, b$, and $c_{1}$. Recall that the action of an element $\gamma$ of $\Gamma_{1,2}$ is given by choosing an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Pi_{1,2}\right)$ which represents the element of $\operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{1,2}\right)$ associated to $\gamma$. Golsefidy and Tamam show in Section
8.2 of [14] that the following automorphisms of $\Pi_{1,2}$ are suitable representative elements of the generating Dehn twists:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{1}\left(a, b, c_{1}\right):=\left(a, a b, c_{1}\right) \\
\tau_{2}\left(a, b, c_{1}\right):=\left(a b^{-1}, b, c_{1}\right) \\
\tau_{3}\left(a, b, c_{1}\right):=\left(a, b d^{-1}, d c_{1} d^{-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $d:=c_{1}^{-1} b^{-1} a b$.
Using these Dehn twist "lifts", along with the Fricke identities, we can look at how the Dehn twists act on the generating set of $R\left(\Pi_{1,2}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$. This will give an explicit description of the action of $\Gamma_{1,2}$ on $X\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ as an action on $\left(\mathbb{Z} / 13^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{6}$.
We are currently in the process of calculating these explicit descriptions and writing a program in Mathematica to check if these descriptions lead to a transitive action of $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(\vec{x})$ on the children of a carefully selected solution.
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