

Math 103A Fall 2022

Chapter 0

Well Ordering Principle:

Every nonempty set of positive integers contains a smallest element.

or s is a multiple of t

Def: For $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ we say t divides s or t is a divisor of s (and write $t|s$)

if $\frac{s}{t} \in \mathbb{Z}$. When $\frac{s}{t} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ we write $t \nmid s$

- A prime is an integer greater than 1 whose only positive divisors are 1 and itself.

Thm 0.1 (The Division Algorithm):

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b > 0$ then there exist unique integers q, r such that $0 \leq r < b$ and

$$a = bq + r$$

Pf: (Existence) Set

$$S = \{a - bk : k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a - bk \geq 0\}$$

Notice $S \neq \emptyset$ since:

- when $a \geq 0$, $a - b \cdot 0 \in S$
- when $a < 0$, $a - b \cdot (2a) = a(1 - 2b)$ is positive and thus belongs to S

By W.O.P. S contains a least element r .

Since all elements of S are non-negative, $r \geq 0$.

Since $r \in S$, there is $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r = a - bq$, meaning $a = bq + r$.

Finally, we must have $r < b$ as otherwise $r - b = a - b(q+1)$ would belong to S but be smaller than r (contradicting that r is smallest element of S).

(Uniqueness) Suppose $a = bq + r = bq' + r'$ with $q, q', r, r' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq r, r' < b$.

Notice that $bq + r = bq' + r'$ implies $b(q - q') = r' - r$.

Also note $-b < r' - r < b$. If $q \neq q'$ then $|r' - r| = |b(q - q')| = |b| \cdot |q - q'| \geq |b| > |r' - r|$, a contradiction. So $q = q'$ and thus $r = r'$ since $r' - r = b(q - q') = 0$. \square

Ex: $a = 32, b = 5 \rightarrow 32 = 5 \cdot 6 + 2$

$a = -24, b = 7 \rightarrow -24 = 7 \cdot (-4) + 4$

$3(21 + 15) = 3 = 21 \cdot (-2) + 15 \cdot 3$

written gcd(a,b)

Def: • The greatest common divisor (~~gcd~~) of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ is the largest integer that divides both a and b

• $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ are relatively prime if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

Thm 0.2: For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ there are $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(a, b) = as + bt$. Moreover $\gcd(a, b)$ is the least ~~positive~~ member of $S = \{am + bn : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, am + bn > 0\}$

(use $n=0, m=\pm 1$)

Pf: Easy to check $S \neq \emptyset$. By W.O.P. S has a least element $d = as + bt$.

Claim: d is a common divisor of a and b .

By division alg. $a = dq + r$, $0 \leq r < d$.

Notice $r = a - dq = a - (as + bt)q = a(1 - sq) + b(-qt)$.

So r cannot be positive, otherwise it would be an element of \mathbb{N} smaller than d .

Thus $r = 0$ and $d \mid a$.

By symmetry, $d \mid b$ as well.

Claim: d is the greatest common divisor of a and b

If d' is any common divisor of a, b then there are $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a = d'h$, $b = d'k$. Then

$$d = as + bt = d'hs + d'kt = d'(hs + kt)$$

so $d' \mid d$ and thus $d = |d| \geq |d'| \geq d'$ \square

Ex: $\gcd(8, 11) = 1 = 8 \cdot (-4) + 11 \cdot 3$

$$\gcd(21, 15) = 3 = 21 \cdot (-2) + 15 \cdot 3$$

$$\gcd(6, 12) = 6 = 6 \cdot 1 + 12 \cdot 0$$

Euclid's lem: If p prime and $p \mid ab$ then
 $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$

Pf: Assume $p \mid ab$. If $p \mid a$ we're done.

If $p \nmid a$ then $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ so $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$

$1 = ps + at$. Then $b = psb + atb$ and

p divides $psb + atb$ so $p \mid b$. \square

Thm 0.3 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic):

Every integer $n > 1$ can be written as a product of primes, and the prime factors are unique ~~all~~ up to permuting their order

Defn: The least common multiple of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, denoted $\text{lcm}(a, b)$, is the smallest positive integer that is a multiple of both a and b .

Defn: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b > 0$. We write $a \bmod b = r$ if $0 \leq r < b$ and there is $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a = bq + r$.
In other words, $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{bq+r}{b} = q + \frac{r}{b}$ is an integer plus the non-negative remainder $\frac{r}{b}$

Ex: $4 \bmod 3 = 1$
 $-4 \bmod 3 = 2$
 $38 \bmod 11 = 5$
 $20897 \bmod 2 = 1$
 $10 \bmod 5 = 0$

Lem: Let $a_1, a_2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > 0$.

Then $a_1 \bmod n = a_2 \bmod n$ iff $n \mid (a_1 - a_2)$

Pf: Pick $q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a_1 = n \cdot q_1 + r_1$,
 $a_2 = n \cdot q_2 + r_2$, and $0 \leq r_1, r_2 < n$.

Then $a_1 - a_2 = n(a_1 - a_2) + r_1 - r_2$
is divisible by n iff $r_1 - r_2$ is divisible
by n . Since $0 \leq r_1 < n$ and $-n < r_2 \leq 0$,
we have $-n < r_1 - r_2 < n$, so n divides
 $r_1 - r_2$ iff $r_1 - r_2 = 0$. (i.e. $r_1 = r_2$).

This completes the proof since

$$a_1 \bmod n = r_1 \text{ and } a_2 \bmod n = r_2. \quad \square$$

Lemma: Let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > 0$.

If $a_1 \bmod n = a_2 \bmod n$ and $b_1 \bmod n = b_2 \bmod n$

then ① $a_1 + b_1 \bmod n = a_2 + b_2 \bmod n$

and ② $a_1 \cdot b_1 \bmod n = a_2 \cdot b_2 \bmod n$

Proof: From previous lemma, we know $n \mid (a_1 - a_2)$ and
 $n \mid (b_1 - b_2)$. Therefore n divides

$$(a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2) = (a_1 + b_1) - (a_2 + b_2)$$

so ① holds by previous lemma. Similarly,

$$a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2 = a_1 b_1 - a_1 b_2 + a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_2$$

$$= a_1 (b_1 - b_2) + (a_1 - a_2) b_2$$

divisible by n

is divisible by n , so ② holds. \square

Ex: $\bullet 38 \cdot 51 \bmod 11 = 5 \cdot 7 \bmod 11 = 35 \bmod 11 = 2$

$\bullet 19^5 \bmod 17 = 2^5 \bmod 17 = 32 \bmod 17 = 15$

Ex: Calculate last digit of 3^{403}

Fact: If $n > 0$, the last digit of n is $n \bmod 10$

$$\rightarrow 3^2 \bmod 10 = 9 \bmod 10 = 9$$

$$3^3 \bmod 10 = 27 \bmod 10 = 7$$

$$\Rightarrow 3^4 \bmod 10 = 81 \bmod 10 = 1 \Leftarrow !$$

$$3^{403} = (3^4)^{100} \cdot 3^3 \text{ so}$$

$$3^{403} \bmod 10 = (3^4)^{100} \cdot 3^3 \bmod 10$$

$$= 1^{100} \cdot 3^3 \bmod 10$$

$$= 3^3 \bmod 10 = 7$$

Fact: If $a^h \bmod n = 1$ and $k = hq + r$
with $h, k, q, r \geq 0$ then $a^k \bmod n = a^r \bmod n$.

Ex: Prove that $x^2 - y^2 = 1002$ has no solutions with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$

Consider the equation mod 4.

$$1002 \bmod 4 = 2$$

$$x \bmod 4 \mid x^2 \bmod 4$$

0

0

1

1

2

0

3

1

$x^2 \bmod 4$ and $y^2 \bmod 4$
are each either 0 or 1

Consider all possible cases

$x^2 \pmod 4$	$y^2 \pmod 4$	$x^2 - y^2 \pmod 4$
0	0	0
0	1	3
1	0	1
1	1	0

So for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x^2 - y^2 \pmod 4 \neq 2 = 1002 \pmod 4$
and thus $x^2 - y^2 \neq 1002$

Equivalence relations generalize the concept of equality

Defn: An equivalence relation R on a set S is

a set of ordered pairs of elements of S such that:

① (Reflexive) $\forall a \in S \quad (a, a) \in R$

② (Symmetric) $\forall a, b \in S \quad (a, b) \in R \Leftrightarrow (b, a) \in R$

③ (Transitive) $\forall a, b, c \in S \quad [(a, b) \in R \text{ and } (b, c) \in R] \Rightarrow (a, c) \in R$

In this setting, we typically write " aRb " to mean " $(a, b) \in R$ "

~~Often~~ Often we use symbols such as \sim , \approx , or \equiv
to denote the equivalence relation R .

When R is an equivalence relation on S and $a \in S$
we define

$$[a] = [a]_R = \{b \in S : a R b\}$$

Ex: The following are equivalence relations

• $S = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, $R = \{(A, B) : A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \mid |A| = |B|\}$

• $S = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $R = \{(A, B) : A, B \in S \mid \min A = \min B\}$

• $S = \mathbb{Z}$, $R = \{(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : nm > 0 \text{ or } n = m = 0\}$

- $S = \mathbb{R}$, $R = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \exists q \in \mathbb{Z} a = b + 2\pi q\}$
- Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 0$. $S = \mathbb{Z}$, $R = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : a \bmod n = b \bmod n\}$

Defn: A partition of a set S is a collection of nonempty subsets of S that are pairwise disjoint and that have union S .

Ex: $\{\{2,3,5,6\}, \{1,7\}, \{4\}\}$ is a partition of $S = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$

Thm 0.7: ① If R is an equivalence relation on S , then $P = \{[a]_R : a \in S\}$ is a partition of S .
 ② If P is a partition of S , then $R = \{(a,b) \in S \times S : \exists D \in P, a,b \in D\}$ is an equivalence relation on S .

PF: ① (Pairwise disjoint) Suppose $[a]_R \neq [b]_R$. We claim $[a]_R \cap [b]_R = \emptyset$. Suppose not, say $c \in [a]_R \cap [b]_R$ (meaning $(a,c), (b,c) \in R$). For any $x \in [a]_R$ we have $(a,x) \in R$ hence $(x,c) \in R$ (symmetry). Since $(x,c), (a,c) \in R$, we have $(x,a) \in R$ (transitivity) hence $(c,x) \in R$ (symmetry). Finally, since $(c,x), (b,c) \in R$ we have $(b,x) \in R$ (transitivity), meaning $x \in [b]_R$. Therefore $[a]_R \subseteq [b]_R$ and by symmetry $[b]_R \subseteq [a]_R$. So $[a]_R = [b]_R$, contradiction. We conclude $[a]_R \cap [b]_R = \emptyset$ (Union is S) Clearly $\cup P \subseteq S$. Conversely, for every $a \in S$ $a \in [a]_R$ so $\{a\} \subseteq [a]_R$ and $\cup P = \bigcup_{a \in S} [a]_R \supseteq \bigcup_{a \in S} \{a\} = S$

② Clearly $\forall a \in S$ $(a,a) \in R$ and $\forall a,b \in S$
 $(a,b) \in R \Leftrightarrow (b,a) \in R$. Now let $a,b,c \in S$
and assume $(a,b), (b,c) \in R$. Pick $D, D' \in \mathcal{P}$
with $a,b \in D, b,c \in D'$. Since \mathcal{P} is a partition,
either $D=D'$ or $D \cap D' = \emptyset$. But $b \in D \cap D'$,
so we must have $D=D'$. Therefore $a,c \in D$
and $(a,c) \in R$. \square