# The lower tail: Poisson approximation revisited

Lutz Warnke University of Cambridge

Joint work with Svante Janson

## Important quantity in random graph theory

- $X_H$  = number of copies of H in  $G_{n,p}$
- *H* is a fixed graph (triangle, 4-cycle, *r*-clique, etc)

Classical result (Janson-Łuczak-Ruciński, 1987)

Let  $\Phi_H = \min_{J \subseteq H} \mathbb{E}X_J$ . If  $n \ge n_0(H)$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_H = 0) = \exp(-\Theta(\Phi_H))$$

This talk: lower tail problem (Janson-W., 2014+)

If  $\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H \ge c_0(H)$  and  $n \ge n_0(H)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(X_H \le (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) = \exp(-\Theta(\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H))$ 

## Important quantity in random graph theory

- $X_H$  = number of copies of H in  $G_{n,p}$
- *H* is a fixed graph (triangle, 4-cycle, *r*-clique, etc)

This talk: lower tail problem (Janson–W., 2014+)

Let 
$$\Phi_H = \min_{J \subseteq H} \mathbb{E}X_J$$
. If  $\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H \ge c_0(H)$  and  $n \ge n_0(H)$ , then  
 $\mathbb{P}(X_H \le (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) = \exp(-\Theta(\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H))$ 

## Why should we care?

- Test/develop tools in combinatorial probability (for tail behaviour)
- Interesting question: concentration of measure + large deviations

# TALK-OUTLINE

## State Janson's Inequality

 $\mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X) \leq \exp(-\phi(-\varepsilon)\Psi_X)$ 

- **a** Matching lower-bound in Poisson case  $\mathbb{P}(X \le (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X) \ge \exp(-(1 + o(1))\phi(-\varepsilon)\Psi_X)$
- **3** Lower-bound reduction to Poisson case (for subgraphs)  $\mathbb{P}(X_H \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) \geq \Omega(1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_J \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_J)$

• Open problem for triangle counts in  $G_{n,p}$  $-\log \mathbb{P}(X_{K_3} = 0) \sim f(c)n^{3/2}$  for  $p = cn^{-1/2}$ ?

# JANSON'S INEQUALITY

#### Binomial random subsets framework

•  $\Gamma_p$  = random subset: each  $i \in \Gamma$  included indep. with probability p

• 
$$X = \sum_{A \in S} I_A$$
, where  $I_A = \mathbf{1}_{\{A \subseteq \Gamma_p\}}$ 

- Parameter  $\delta$  measures how dependent the  $I_A$  are ( $\delta = 0$  if independent)
  - Special structure: X is sum of *increasing* indicators
  - X = "Number elements from S which are contained in  $\Gamma_p$ "

#### Janson's inequality (Janson, 1989)

Let 
$$\phi(x) = (1+x)\log(1+x) - x$$
 and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}X$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le (1 - \varepsilon)\mu) \le \exp(-\phi(-\varepsilon)\mu/(1 + \delta))$$

- Widely used in combinatorial probability/random graph theory
- Reduces to Chernoff/Bernstein bounds in case of  $\delta = 0$

#### Janson's inequality (Janson, 1989)

Let 
$$\phi(x) = (1+x)\log(1+x) - x$$
 and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}X$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - arepsilon) \mu) \leq \expigl(-\phi(-arepsilon) \mu/(1 + \delta)igr)$$

• For special case of independent summands ( $\delta = 0$ ) best possible (as Chernoff bounds are sharp)

#### Goal of this talk

Prove that Janson's inequality is very often (close to) best possible

ullet In the 'weekly dependent' case  $\delta=o(1)$  we, e.g., want to show

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mu) \geq \expig(-(1 + o(1))\phi(-\varepsilon)\muig)$$

Janson's inequality sharp if X approx. Poisson (Janson–W., 2014+)

Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}X$ ,  $\pi = \max_{A \in S} \mathbb{E}I_A$  and  $\phi(x) = (1+x)\log(1+x) - x$ . If  $\max\{\delta, \pi\} \to 0$  and  $\varepsilon^2 \mu \to \infty$ , then

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mu) \sim \phi(-\varepsilon)\mu = \Theta(\varepsilon^2 \mu)$$

#### Remarks

- Condition  $\varepsilon^2 \mu \to \infty$  is natural: study exponentially small probabilities
- Condition max $\{\delta, \pi\} \to 0$  is natural: implies  $d_{\mathrm{TV}}(X, \mathrm{Po}(\mu)) \to 0$
- Stronger than usual:  $\varepsilon$  is not fixed
- When  $\delta = O(1)$ : determine exponent up to constant factors

### Janson's inequality sharp if X approx. Poisson (Janson–W., 2014+)

Let 
$$\mu = \mathbb{E}X$$
,  $\pi = \max_{A \in S} \mathbb{E}I_A$  and  $\phi(x) = (1 + x)\log(1 + x) - x$ .  
If  $\max{\{\delta, \pi\}} \to 0$  and  $\varepsilon^2 \mu \to \infty$ , then

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(X \leq (1-arepsilon)\mu) \sim \phi(-arepsilon)\mu = \Theta(arepsilon^2\mu)$$

### **Proof remarks**

- Our contribution: 'matching lower bound'
- Special case  $\varepsilon = 1$  has simple FKG-based proof (JŁR, 1987)
- We use Hoelder's inequality, Laplace transform, correlation ineq. etc

Janson's inequality sharp if X approx. Poisson (Janson–W., 2014+)

Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}X$ ,  $\pi = \max_{A \in S} \mathbb{E}I_A$  and  $\phi(x) = (1+x)\log(1+x) - x$ . If  $\max\{\delta, \pi\} \to 0$  and  $\varepsilon^2 \mu \to \infty$ , then

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mu) \sim \phi(-\varepsilon)\mu = \Theta(\varepsilon^2 \mu)$$

#### What about $\delta \rightarrow \infty$ case?

- For subgraph counts we can always reduce to (weakly) Poisson case
- There is  $J \subseteq H$  with  $\delta(J) = O(1)$ , so that

 $\mathbb{P}(X_H \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) \geq \Omega(1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_J \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_J)$ 

# CASE-STUDY: 'HOUSE OF SANTA CLAUS' GRAPH

H = 'house of santa claus'

So far we know

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(X_H \leq (1-arepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) \sim egin{cases} \phi(-arepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H, & ext{if } p \ll n^{-1/2}, \ \Theta(arepsilon^2\mathbb{E}X_H), & ext{if } p = O(n^{-1/2}). \end{cases}$$

- For  $p \gg n^{-1/2}$  our discussed methods break:  $\delta(H) \to \infty$
- Fact: for  $n^{-1/2} \le p \le n^{-2/5}$  Janson's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{H} \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_{H}) \leq \exp(-c\varepsilon^{2}\mathbb{E}X_{K_{4}})$$

## Tantalizing observation for $n^{-1/2} \le p \le n^{-2/5}$

 $K_4$  is in weakly Poisson case:  $\delta(K_4) = O(1)$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{\mathcal{K}_4} \leq (1 - arepsilon) \mathbb{E} X_{\mathcal{K}_4}) \geq \exp(-Carepsilon^2 \mathbb{E} X_{\mathcal{K}_4})$$

## Reduction to $\delta = O(1)$ case

• Writing  $\mathcal{D}_J = "X_J \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbb{E} X_J$ ", we aim at

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_{H}) \geq \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_{K_{4}})}_{\text{apply lower bound}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_{H} \mid \mathcal{D}_{K_{4}})}_{\text{hope that } \Omega(1)} \geq \exp(-\Theta(\varepsilon^{2}\mathbb{E}X_{K_{4}}))$$

• Idea: "conditioning on  $\mathcal{D}_{K_4}$  converts rare event  $\mathcal{D}_H$  into typical one"

#### Intuition for H= 'house of santa claus'

- !!! We only managed to prove weaker variants of (\*) !!!
- Calculating conditional second moment seems difficult

Lower tail for subgraph counts (Janson–W., 2014+)

Let  $\Phi_H = \min_{J \subseteq H} \mathbb{E}X_J$ . If  $\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H \ge c_0(H)$  and  $n \ge n_0(H)$ , then

 $\mathbb{P}(X_H \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) = \exp(-\Theta(\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H))$ 

Bootstrapping approach can always be applied

• Enough to focus on the subgraph  $J \subseteq H$  with  $\Phi_H = \mathbb{E}X_J$ 

Rate of decay consistent with normal approximation: •  $\varepsilon^2 \Phi_H = \Theta((\varepsilon \mathbb{E} X_H)^2 / \operatorname{Var} X_H)$  With more care we can, e.g., also establish the following result

Gaussian behavior for 2-balanced graphs (Janson-W., 2014+)

Assume that *H* is "2-balanced" (a tree, cycle, clique, hypercube, etc) If  $(\varepsilon \mathbb{E} X_H)^2 \gg \text{Var } X_H$  and  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , then

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(X_H \leq (1-arepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) \sim rac{(arepsilon \mathbb{E}X_H)^2}{2\operatorname{Var}X_H},$$

excluding only the ranges  $p = \Theta(n^{-1/m_2(H)})$  and  $p = \Theta(1)$ .

### Informal summary (Janson–W.)

Janson's inequality is often close to best possible

- Large deviation rate function in Poisson case:
  − log P(X ≤ (1 − ε)EX) ~ φ(ε)EX
- Subgraphs example (reduction to Poisson case for lower bound):  $\mathbb{P}(X_H \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}X_H) = \exp\left(-\Theta(\varepsilon^2 \min_{J \subseteq G} \mathbb{E}X_J)\right)$

#### Open problem: Triangle counts in $G_{n,p}$ for $p = cn^{-1/2}$

Would be nice to prove  $-\log \mathbb{P}(X_{\kappa_3} = 0) \sim f(c)n^{3/2}$ 

- Know asymptotics of  $-\log \mathbb{P}(X_{\kappa_3} = 0)$  for all other ranges of p
- Maybe (some variant of) the interpolation method works?