Packing nearly optimal Ramsey R(3, t) graphs

Lutz Warnke

Georgia Tech

Joint work with He Guo

Context of this talk

Ramsey number R(s, t)

R(s,t) :=minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every red/blue edge-coloring of complete *n*-vertex graph K_n contains red K_s or blue K_t

- Major problem in combinatorics: determining asymptotics
- Testbed for new proof techniques/methods: Alteration, LLL, Concentration Ineq., Semi-Random, Differential Eq.

Celebrated Result (Ajtai-Komlós-Szemerédi 1980 + Kim 1995)

 $R(3,t) = \Theta(t^2/\log t)$

- Lower bound harder: Kim received Fulkerson Prize 1997
- $R(3,t) = \Omega(t^2/(\log t)^2)$ already by Erdős in 1961

Topic of this talk

Extension of Kim-result (implies asymptotics of other Ramsey parameter)

Main Result: nearly optimal R(3, t) graphs

Kim (1995) + Bohman (2008): one nearly optimal R(3, t) graph Both find an *n*-vertex graph $G \subseteq K_n$ such that

G is Δ -free with independence number $\alpha(G) \leq C\sqrt{n \log n}$

- Using (semi-random variation of) Δ-free process: greedily add random edges that do not close a Δ
- $\Delta\text{-free process:}$ add one random edge in each step



Main Result: nearly optimal R(3, t) graphs

Kim (1995) + Bohman (2008): one nearly optimal R(3, t) graph

Both find an *n*-vertex graph $G \subseteq K_n$ such that

G is Δ -free with independence number $\alpha(G) \leq C\sqrt{n\log n}$

• Using (semi-random variation of) Δ -free process: greedily add random edges that do not close a Δ

Semi-random variation: add many random-like edges in each step



Main Result: nearly optimal R(3, t) graphs

Kim (1995) + Bohman (2008): one nearly optimal R(3, t) graph

Both find an *n*-vertex graph $G \subseteq K_n$ such that

G is Δ -free with independence number $\alpha(G) \leq C\sqrt{n \log n}$

 Using (semi-random variation of) Δ-free process: greedily add random edges that do not close a Δ

Guo, W. (2017+): almost packing of nearly optimal R(3, t) graphs

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we find edge-disjoint graphs $(G_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ with $G_i \subseteq K_n$ such that (a) each G_i is Δ -free with $\alpha(G_i) \leq C_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{n \log n}$ (b) the union of the G_i contains $\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \binom{n}{2}$ edges

 Using simple *polynomial-time randomized algorithm*: sequentially choose G_i via semi-random variation of Δ-free process

Guo, W. (2017+): almost packing of nearly optimal R(3, t) graphs

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we find edge-disjoint graphs $(G_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ with $G_i \subseteq K_n$ such that (a) each G_i is Δ -free with $\alpha(G_i) \leq C_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{n \log n}$ (b) the union of the G_i contains $\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \binom{n}{2}$ edges

 Using simple *polynomial-time randomized algorithm*: sequentially choose G_i via semi-random variation of Δ-free process

Motivation: why should we care?

- Natural packing extension of Kim's result
- Technical challenge: controlling errors over $\Theta(\sqrt{n/\log n})$ iterations
- Establishes Ramsey-Theory conjecture by Fox et.al. (cf. next slides)

Ramsey Theory with $r \ge 2$ colors

 $G \to (H)_r \iff$ any r-coloring of E(G) has monochromatic copy of H

Ramsey theory \triangleq studying properties of "*r*-Ramsey minimal graphs"

 $\mathcal{M}_r(H) := \text{all graphs } G \text{ that are } r\text{-Ramsey minimal for } H \\ (\text{i.e., } G \to (H)_r \text{ and } G' \not\to (H)_r \text{ for all } G' \subsetneq G)$

•
$$\min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_r(K_k)} v(G) = \text{Ramsey number}$$

•
$$\min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_r(K_k)} e(G) = Size Ramsey number$$

Minimum degree of *r*-Ramsey minimal graphs (Burr, Erdős, Lovász 1976) $s_r(H) := \min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_r(H)} \delta(G)$

- $s_2(K_k) = (k-1)^2$: Burr, Erdős, Lovász (1976)
- $s_2(H) = 2\delta(H) 1$: for many bipartite H (trees, $K_{a,b}$, etc) Fox, Lin (2006) + Szabó, Zumstein, Zürcher (2010)

• $s_r(K_k) = \tilde{\Theta}_k(r^2)$: Fox, Grinshpun, Liebenau, Person, Szabó (2015)

Minimum degree of minimal *r*-Ramsey graphs (Burr, Erdős, Lovász 1976) $s_r(K_k) := \min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_r(K_k)} \delta(G)$

•
$$cr^2\log r \leq s_r(K_3) \leq Cr^2(\log r)^2$$
 by FGLPS (2015)

Conjecture (Fox, Grinshpun, Liebenau, Person, Szabo, 2015)

 $s_r(K_3) = O(r^2 \log r)$

 They suggested to pack G_i sequentially via Δ-free process (their weaker upper bound relies on sequential LLL-argument)

Conj. True (Guo, W. 2017+): corollary of our main packing result
Implies
$$s_r(K_3) = \Theta(r^2 \log r)$$

• For technical reasons: use *semi-random variation* of Δ -free process

Main-Technical-Result: find random-like Δ -free subgraph $G \subseteq H$

Let $\varrho := \sqrt{\beta(\log n)/n}$ and $s := C_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{n\log n}$. If $H \subseteq K_n$ is such that $e_H(A, B) > \varepsilon |A||B|$

for all disjoint sets A, B of size s, then we can find Δ -free $G \subseteq H$ with

 $e_G(A,B) = (1 \pm \delta) \varrho e_H(A,B)$

for all disjoint A, B of size s.

Proof based on semi-random variation of Δ -free process:

- Do not require degree/codegree regularity of H
- 'Self-stabilization' mechanism built into process (to control errors)
- Tools: Bounded-Differences-Ineq. and Upper-Tail-Ineq. of mine

Main-Technical-Result: find random-like Δ -free subgraph $G \subseteq H$

Let $\varrho := \sqrt{\beta(\log n)/n}$ and $s := C_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{n\log n}$. If $H \subseteq K_n$ is such that

 $e_H(A,B) \geq \varepsilon |A||B|$

for all disjoint sets A, B of size s, then we can find Δ -free $G \subseteq H$ with

 $e_G(A,B) = (1 \pm \delta) \varrho e_H(A,B)$

for all disjoint A, B of size s.

Implies packing result: (maintaining $e_{H_i}(A, B)$ bounds inductively)

- Start with $H_0 = K_n$
- Sequentially choose $G_i \subseteq H_i$ and set $H_{i+1} = H_i \setminus G_i$
- Stop when $e_{H_l}(A, B) \approx \varepsilon |A||B|$ holds

Semi-random construction of Δ -free subgraph

To construct triangle-free T_J , we iteratively keep track of

- *E_j*: "random" set of edges
- $T_j \subseteq E_j$: Δ -free and $|T_j| \approx |E_j|$
- $O_j \subseteq \{ all \ e \notin E_j \text{ that don't form a } \Delta \text{ with any two edges of } E_j \}$

Idea of each step

(1) Generate few random edges $\Gamma_{j+1} \subseteq O_j$ (2) Alteration: find $\Gamma'_{j+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$ s.t. $T_{j+1} = T_j \cup \Gamma'_{j+1}$ remains Δ -free (3) Update $O_{j+1} \subseteq O_j \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}$

Idea of each step

(1) Generate few random edges $\Gamma_{j+1} \subseteq O_j$ (2) Alteration: find $\Gamma'_{j+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$ s.t. $T_{j+1} = T_j \cup \Gamma'_{j+1}$ remains Δ -free (3) Update $O_{j+1} \subseteq O_j \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}$

Definition of Γ_{j+1} and E_{j+1}

Why can we ensure $|\Gamma'_{i+1}| \approx |\Gamma_{j+1}|$?

- Γ_{j+1} small \Rightarrow very few <u>new</u> Δ 's created in $E_j \cup \Gamma_{j+1}$
- hence removal of few edges destroys all $\underline{new}\ \Delta$'s

Finding Δ -free $\Gamma'_{j+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$

Idea of each step

(1) Generate few random edges $\Gamma_{j+1} \subseteq O_j$ (2) Alteration: find $\Gamma'_{j+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$ s.t. $T_{j+1} = T_j \cup \Gamma'_{j+1}$ remains Δ -free (3) Update $O_{j+1} \subseteq O_j \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}$

 $E_j \cup \Gamma_{j+1}$ can create <u>new</u> Δ 's:



Alteration to destroy new Δ 's: $\Gamma'_{j+1} = \Gamma_{j+1} \setminus D_{j+1}$

 $\mathcal{D}_{j+1} = edges$ of a maximal edge-disjoint collection of bad pairs/triples

easier to analyze than removing ≥ 1 edge from each <u>new</u> Δ
*T*_{i+1} = *T*_i ∪ Γ'_{i+1} is Δ-free by maximality of *D*_{i+1}

Open edges: effect of closed edges

Idea of each step

(1) Generate few random edges $\Gamma_{j+1} \subseteq O_j$ (2) Alteration: find $\Gamma'_{j+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$ s.t. $T_{j+1} = T_j \cup \Gamma'_{j+1}$ remains Δ -free (3) Update $O_{j+1} \subseteq O_j \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}$

Updating "open edges" that can still be added

 $O_{j+1} = O_j \setminus (\lceil_{j+1} \cup \{ \text{"closed edges"} \} \cup \{ \text{extra edges for technical reasons} \})$

"Closed edge" forms a triangle with two edges in $E_{j+1} = E_j \cup \Gamma_{j+1}$:



Open edges: self-stabilization mechanism

Updating "open edges" that can still be added $O_{j+1} = O_j \setminus (\Gamma_{j+1} \cup \{\text{"closed edges"}\} \cup \{\text{extra random edges}\})$

 $Y_e(j) = \#$ edges whose addition to Γ_{j+1} will close $e = \{u, v\}$



adding any of green edges closes
$$e = \{u, v\}$$

Self-stabilization: make $\mathbb{P}(closed)$ equal for all e (independent of history)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(e \text{ not closed in next step of iteration}) &\approx (1-p)^{|Y_e(j)|} \\ \mathbb{P}(e \text{ not (closed or extra edge})) &\approx (1-p)^{|Y_e(j)|} \cdot (1-q_e) \stackrel{!}{=} \text{same for all } e \end{split}$$

Summary: Semi-random construction of Δ -free subgraph

To construct triangle-free T_J , we iteratively keep track of

- E_j : "random" set of edges
- $T_j \subseteq E_j$: Δ -free and $|T_j| \approx |E_j|$
- $O_j \subseteq \{ all \ e \notin E_j \text{ that don't form a } \Delta \text{ with any two edges of } E_j \}$

Idea of each step (= iterated alteration approach)

(1) Generate few random edges $\Gamma_{j+1} \subseteq O_j$ (2) Alteration: find $\Gamma'_{j+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$ s.t. $T_{j+1} = T_j \cup \Gamma'_{j+1}$ remains Δ -free (3) Update $O_{j+1} \subseteq O_j \setminus \Gamma_{j+1}$

Number of edges between two large sets

Assume we can show

$$|O_j(A,B)| \approx q_j |A| |B|$$
, where $q_j = \Psi'(j\sigma)$, for $O_0 = H = K_n$.

Use $p = \sigma / \sqrt{n}$, then we can approximate $|T_J(A, B)|$

$$|T_J(A,B)| = \sum_{0 \le j < J} |T_{j+1}(A,B) \setminus T_j| \approx \sum_{0 \le j < J} |\Gamma_{j+1}(A,B)|$$

$$\approx \sum_{0 \le j < J} p|O_j(A,B)| \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{0 \le j < J} \sigma q_j \cdot |A||B|$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{J\sigma} \Psi'(x) dx \cdot |A||B| \approx \frac{\Psi(J\sigma)}{\sqrt{n}} |A||B|$$

$$\approx \frac{\sqrt{\beta(\log n)}}{\sqrt{n}} |A||B| = \varrho|A||B|$$

A technical difficulty

Difficulty of tracking $|O_j(A, B)|$

Choosing one edge into Γ_{j+1} may cause large change of $|O_j(A, B)|$:



A technical difficulty

Difficulty of tracking $|O_j(A, B)|$

Choosing one edge into Γ_{j+1} may cause large change of $|O_j(A, B)|$:



Guo, W. (2017+): almost packing of nearly optimal R(3, t) graphs

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we find edge-disjoint graphs $(G_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ with $G_i \subseteq K_n$ such that (a) each G_i is Δ -free with $\alpha(G_i) \leq C_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{n\log n}$ (b) the union of the G_i contains $\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \binom{n}{2}$ edges

Remarks

- Natural algorithmic packing version of Kim's R(3, t) construction
- Establishes $s_r(K_3) = \Theta(r^2 \log r)$ asymptotics conjectured by Fox et.al.

Questions

- Further applications of the K_3 -free packing result?
- Generalization of packing-result to K_k -free graphs worth effort?