

A dynamical system related to GIT

Nolan R. Wallach

June 4, 2015

A gradient system

- Let $\phi \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial that is homogeneous of degree m such that $\phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We consider the gradient system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\nabla\phi(x)$$

A gradient system

- Let $\phi \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial that is homogeneous of degree m such that $\phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We consider the gradient system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\nabla\phi(x)$$

- Note that

$$\langle \nabla\phi(x), x \rangle = m\phi(x)$$

Denoting by $F(t, x)$ the solution to the system near $t = 0$ with $F(0, x) = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle F(t, x), F(t, x) \rangle &= -2 \langle \nabla\phi(F(t, x)), F(t, x) \rangle \\ &= -2m\phi(F(t, x)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- This implies $\|F(t, x)\| \leq \|x\|$ where defined for $t \geq 0$ and hence $F(t, x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$.

- This implies $\|F(t, x)\| \leq \|x\|$ where defined for $t \geq 0$ and hence $F(t, x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$.
- The formula

$$\langle \nabla \phi(x), x \rangle = m\phi(x)$$

combined with the Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\|\nabla \phi(x)\| \|x\| \geq m\phi(x).$$

- This implies $\|F(t, x)\| \leq \|x\|$ where defined for $t \geq 0$ and hence $F(t, x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$.
- The formula

$$\langle \nabla \phi(x), x \rangle = m\phi(x)$$

combined with the Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\|\nabla \phi(x)\| \|x\| \geq m\phi(x).$$

- The Lojasiewicz gradient inequality implies the following improvement. There exists $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$ and $C > 0$ both depending only on ϕ such that

$$\|\nabla \phi(x)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \|x\|^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq C\phi(x).$$

- We take ε and C as above (but allow $\varepsilon = 0$ which is easy). If we write F for $F(t, X)$ and $H(t) = \phi(F(t, x))$ then we have

$$H'(t) = -d\phi(F)\nabla\phi(F) = -\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2.$$

- We take ε and C as above (but allow $\varepsilon = 0$ which is easy). If we write F for $F(t, X)$ and $H(t) = \phi(F(t, x))$ then we have

$$H'(t) = -d\phi(F)\nabla\phi(F) = -\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2.$$

- If $t \geq 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} r^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq \|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \|F\|^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq C\phi(x).$$

- We take ε and C as above (but allow $\varepsilon = 0$ which is easy). If we write F for $F(t, X)$ and $H(t) = \phi(F(t, x))$ then we have

$$H'(t) = -d\phi(F)\nabla\phi(F) = -\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2.$$

- If $t \geq 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} r^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq \|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \|F\|^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq C\phi(x).$$

- We will now run through what has come to be called “the Lojasiewicz argument” which I learned from a beautiful exposition of Neeman’s theorem by Gerry Schwarz.

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

-

$$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

-

$$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2 \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2 \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$|H'(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} = C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2 \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$|H'(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} = C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- Since $H'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ we have $-H'(t) \geq C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}$.
Assuming $H(t) > 0$ we have

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2 \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$|H'(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} \phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} = C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- Since $H'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ we have $-H'(t) \geq C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}$.
 Assuming $H(t) > 0$ we have

- $$\frac{d}{dt}H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} = -\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{H'(t)}{H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}} \geq C_1(r)$$

$$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$

-

$$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$

-

$$H(t) \leq C_2(r)t^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq C_2(r)t^{-(1+\varepsilon)},$$



$$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$



$$H(t) \leq C_2(r)t^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq C_2(r)t^{-(1+\varepsilon)},$$

- This is true if $H(t) = 0$ so the formula is valid for all $t > 0$.



$$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$



$$H(t) \leq C_2(r)t^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq C_2(r)t^{-(1+\varepsilon)},$$

- This is true if $H(t) = 0$ so the formula is valid for all $t > 0$.
- This is the first half of the calculus part of the Lojasiewicz argument. The first implication needs only the easy case $\varepsilon = 0$. If $\|x\| \leq r$ then

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}$$

so $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(F(t, x)) = 0$ uniformly for x in compacta. We now do the rest of the Lojasiewicz argument which uses the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$.

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1 + \delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1 + \delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$



$$H(s)f(s) - H(t)f(t) = \int_t^s \frac{d}{du}(H(u)f(u)) du =$$
$$\int_t^s H(u)f'(u) du + \int_t^s H'(u)f(u) du.$$

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1+\delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

-

$$H(s)f(s) - H(t)f(t) = \int_t^s \frac{d}{du}(H(u)f(u)) du =$$
$$\int_t^s H(u)f'(u) du + \int_t^s H'(u)f(u) du.$$

-

$$- \int_t^s H'(u)f(u) du = \int_t^s H(u)f'(u) du + H(t)f(t) - H(s)f(s).$$

$$0 \leq H(s)f(s) \leq C_2(r)s^{-(1+\varepsilon)}s^{1+\delta} = C_2(r)s^{-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1+\delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

-

$$H(s)f(s) - H(t)f(t) = \int_t^s \frac{d}{du}(H(u)f(u)) du =$$
$$\int_t^s H(u)f'(u) du + \int_t^s H'(u)f(u) du.$$

-

$$- \int_t^s H'(u)f(u) du = \int_t^s H(u)f'(u) du + H(t)f(t) - H(s)f(s).$$

$$0 \leq H(s)f(s) \leq C_2(r)s^{-(1+\varepsilon)}s^{1+\delta} = C_2(r)s^{-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

-

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \int_t^s |H'(u)| f(u) du = \int_t^\infty H(u)f'(u) du + H(t)f(t).$$

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t, +\infty))$ for all $t > 0$ and so

$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|} = \sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u) u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}}} \in L^1([t, +\infty)).$$

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t, +\infty))$ for all $t > 0$ and so

$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|} = \sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u) u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}}} \in L^1([t, +\infty)).$$

- **Theorem.** If $t > 0$ then

$$\int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) \right\| du$$

converges uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t, +\infty))$ for all $t > 0$ and so

$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|} = \sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u) u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}}} \in L^1([t, +\infty)).$$

- **Theorem.** If $t > 0$ then

$$\int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) \right\| du$$

converges uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

-

$$\int_t^{\infty} \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) du$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t, +\infty))$ for all $t > 0$ and so

$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|} = \sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u) u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}}} \in L^1([t, +\infty)).$$

- **Theorem.** If $t > 0$ then

$$\int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) \right\| du$$

converges uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

-

$$\int_t^{\infty} \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) du$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

- Noting that if $s > t$ then

$$\int_t^s \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) du = F(s, x) - F(t, x)$$

we have for $t > 0$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F(s, x) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{d}{du} F(u, x) du + F(t, x).$$

- Finally, set $L(t, x) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, x)$ and define $L(1, x)$ by the limit above then $L : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and since

$$\nabla \phi(x) = 0 \iff \phi(x) = 0$$

we have

- Finally, set $L(t, x) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, x)$ and define $L(1, x)$ by the limit above then $L : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and since

$$\nabla\phi(x) = 0 \iff \phi(x) = 0$$

we have

- **Theorem.** $L : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a strong deformation retraction of \mathbb{R}^n onto $Y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \phi(x) = 0\}$.

- Finally, set $L(t, x) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, x)$ and define $L(1, x)$ by the limit above then $L : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and since

$$\nabla\phi(x) = 0 \iff \phi(x) = 0$$

we have

- **Theorem.** $L : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a strong deformation retraction of \mathbb{R}^n onto $Y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \phi(x) = 0\}$.
- **Corollary.** If $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and such that $F(t, z) \in Z$ for $t \geq 0$ and $z \in Z$ then $H : [0, 1] \times Z \rightarrow Z$ defines a strong deformation retraction of Z onto $Z \cap Y$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- ① If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$ for all $g \in G$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - 1 If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$ for all $g \in G$.
 - 2 If v is critical and if $w \in Gv$ is such that $\|v\| = \|w\|$ then $w \in Kv$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - 1 If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$ for all $g \in G$.
 - 2 If v is critical and if $w \in Gv$ is such that $\|v\| = \|w\|$ then $w \in Kv$.
 - 3 If Gv is closed then there exists a critical element in Gv .

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$, $g \rightarrow g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G -critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - 1 If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$ for all $g \in G$.
 - 2 If v is critical and if $w \in Gv$ is such that $\|v\| = \|w\|$ then $w \in Kv$.
 - 3 If Gv is closed then there exists a critical element in Gv .
 - 4 If v is critical then Gv is closed.

- We set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ as a G -module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If X_1, \dots, X_r is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{p} then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v \rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ as a G -module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If X_1, \dots, X_r is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{p} then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v \rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We consider \mathbb{R}^n as $n \times 1$ columns and thus if $v \in V$ then v^* is v as a row vector. So for $v, w \in V$, vw^* is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\langle Xv, w \rangle = \text{tr}Xvw^*.$$

- We set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ as a G -module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If X_1, \dots, X_r is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{p} then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v \rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We consider \mathbb{R}^n as $n \times 1$ columns and thus if $v \in V$ then v^* is v as a row vector. So for $v, w \in V$, vw^* is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\langle Xv, w \rangle = \text{tr}Xvw^*.$$

- Let $P_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the orthogonal projection of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ onto \mathfrak{g} then

$$\nabla\phi(v) = 4P_{\mathfrak{g}}(vw^*)v \in T_v(Gv).$$

- We set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ as a G -module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If X_1, \dots, X_r is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{p} then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v \rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We consider \mathbb{R}^n as $n \times 1$ columns and thus if $v \in V$ then v^* is v as a row vector. So for $v, w \in V$, vw^* is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\langle Xv, w \rangle = \text{tr}Xvw^*.$$

- Let $P_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the orthogonal projection of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ onto \mathfrak{g} then

$$\nabla\phi(v) = 4P_{\mathfrak{g}}(vw^*)v \in T_v(Gv).$$

- Also note that $\nabla\phi(kv) = k\nabla\phi(v)$ for $k \in K$.

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G -invariant then $F(t, Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G -invariant then $F(t, Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t, Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} L(t, Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(\text{Crit}_G(V) \cap Z) / K$ for any G -invariant closed subset of V .

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G -invariant then $F(t, Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t, Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} L(t, Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(\text{Crit}_G(V) \cap Z) / K$ for any G -invariant closed subset of V .
- The statement of the next result is simplified.

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G -invariant then $F(t, Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t, Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} L(t, Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(\text{Crit}_G(V) \cap Z) / K$ for any G -invariant closed subset of V .
- The statement of the next result is simplified.
- **Corollary.** If Z is Zariski closed in V then the GIT quotient, $Z // G$, of Z is a strict deformation retract of Z/K .

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G -invariant then $F(t, Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
 - Theorem.** Setting $L(t, Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} L(t, Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(\text{Crit}_G(V) \cap Z) / K$ for any G -invariant closed subset of V .
 - The statement of the next result is simplified.
 - Corollary.** If Z is Zariski closed in V then the GIT quotient, $Z // G$, of Z is a strict deformation retract of Z/K .
 - This is a very useful result since if G is connected K is connected and this implies that $Z // G$ has path lifting. In the complex case this is an important result of Kraft, Petrie and Randall.

- Let $F(t, x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ . Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t, x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G -invariant then $F(t, Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- Theorem.** Setting $L(t, Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} L(t, Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(\text{Crit}_G(V) \cap Z) / K$ for any G -invariant closed subset of V .
- The statement of the next result is simplified.
- Corollary.** If Z is Zariski closed in V then the GIT quotient, $Z // G$, of Z is a strict deformation retract of Z/K .
- This is a very useful result since if G is connected K is connected and this implies that $Z // G$ has path lifting. In the complex case this is an important result of Kraft, Petrie and Randall.
- We now consider the result implied by using the deep results of Lojasiewicz.

- The Lojasiewicz argument implies that if we set $L(t, v) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} H(t, v)$ converges uniformly on compacta.

- The Lojasiewicz argument implies that if we set $L(t, v) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} H(t, v)$ converges uniformly on compacta.
- **Theorem.** Let $Z \subset V$ be closed and G invariant then $L : [0, 1] \times Z \rightarrow Z$ defines a strong, K -equivariant deformation retraction of Z onto $Z \cap \text{Crit}_G(V)$.

- The Lojasiewicz argument implies that if we set $L(t, v) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} H(t, v)$ converges uniformly on compacta.
- **Theorem.** Let $Z \subset V$ be closed and G invariant then $L : [0, 1] \times Z \rightarrow Z$ defines a strong, K -equivariant deformation retraction of Z onto $Z \cap \text{Crit}_G(V)$.
- Over \mathbb{C} this result is due to Neeman.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n becomes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n becomes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

- If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Zariski closed and defined by f_1, \dots, f_k in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then it is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sum |f_j(x + iy)|^2$ as a real variety.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.
The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n becomes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

- If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Zariski closed and defined by f_1, \dots, f_k in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then it is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sum |f_j(x + iy)|^2$ as a real variety.
- If $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a Zariski closed subgroup invariant under adjoint then G as a subgroup of $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is invariant under transpose. Furthermore, if we define the critical set for the action of G on \mathbb{C}^n to be

$$\{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \langle Xv, v \rangle = 0, X \in \text{Lie}(G)\}$$

then this set is exactly $\text{Crit}_G(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n becomes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

- If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Zariski closed and defined by f_1, \dots, f_k in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then it is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sum |f_j(x + iy)|^2$ as a real variety.
- If $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a Zariski closed subgroup invariant under adjoint then G as a subgroup of $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is invariant under transpose. Furthermore, if we define the critical set for the action of G on \mathbb{C}^n to be

$$\{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \langle Xv, v \rangle = 0, X \in \text{Lie}(G)\}$$

then this set is exactly $\text{Crit}_G(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

- The original Kempf-Ness theorem is now a special case of the real Kempf-Ness theorem since Zariski closure of complex orbits is the same as the closure in the metric topology of \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

- The system in the abstract for my talk is just the case of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation. Yielding the gradient system

$$\dot{X} = -4[[X, X^*], X].$$

- The system in the abstract for my talk is just the case of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation. Yielding the gradient system

$$\dot{X} = -4[[X, X^*], X].$$

- Writing $F_\infty(X) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(t, X)$ then $F_\infty(X)$ is a normal operator with the same eigenvalues as X .

$$\mathfrak{g} \subset M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$X^* \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad \text{tr } \lambda Y^* = \langle \lambda, Y \rangle.$$

$\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ automorphism
of order $m > 0$.

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta \quad V = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \theta X = \gamma X\}$$
$$y = e^{2\pi i / m}$$

~~$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}$~~

$H =$ connected
subgroup
w.r.t. \mathfrak{h} .

$\text{Ad}(H)$ acts on V .

$\forall X, Y \in V, [X, Y] \in \mathfrak{h}$.

σ can be chosen so that
 $\text{crit}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V) = K \cdot \sigma$.

